



Associated Students of the University of Washington

Senate Floor Minutes | Senate Session XXXII

28 April 2026

Location: HUB 250

CALL TO ORDER

5:01 pm

LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Erick Jacobsen reads the following statement: The ASUW Student Senate acknowledges the Indigenous peoples of this land, and the land which touches the shared waters of all tribes and bands within the Suquamish, Tulalip, and Muckleshoot nations, and the Duwamish peoples, whose land our university currently occupies. It is our role and responsibility as guests to understand how our impact entangles the caretakers.

APPROVAL OF SENATE AGENDA

Erick Jacobsen: Moving on to the approval of the agenda, are there any motions?

Justin Amaral moves SB-32-04 to item B of not time-sensitive old business.

Collin Saywers seconds

Approved by raised hand vote

Avery Kirscht moves to approve the agenda

Kathleen Esnaurrizar seconds

Approved by raised hand vote

LEADERSHIP REPORTS| [Erick Jacobsen, Joseph Riggio, Julia Tanner, Jothsna Sundar](#)

Following discussion about the STF budget:

Aiden Reeder: Point of Information. Do you know how much does SAF operate, like the SAF committee does operate on, and what number of positions they have?

Erick Jacobsen: As far as I'm aware, SAF has four positions, which are either \$23 or \$25 an hour. \$25 per hour for the chair, \$23 per hour for the other employees, as far as I'm aware. So the original proposal in STF was for \$37 per hour for the chair. That was brought down to 32 and then \$29. Also, the STF wages have increased like 4% every year. ASUW wages are increased by 0.5% every year. There's a lot of conversation about this and like why and like what can or will be done about it. And like I said, ongoing, there may be more later that I'll report.

Erick Jacobsen continues presenting leadership report.

Following explanation of the Speaker's role and responsibilities.

Abigail Appleyard: This is just like a less about the role, but I'm seeing the compensation. I was wondering why you get paid more in winter and spring than you do over summer and autumn

Erick Jacobsen: Yeah, so the pay is increased every year and that increase starts in January. So that's like just a mandatory increase with regards to like UW policy and such for like inflation and such. ASUW tries not to like fall behind on that. Any other questions about the role? Again, I'll ask again later.

Leadership continues presenting reports.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

Addison Wooster: I'm Addison I just wanted to provide an update about a position that you all voted me into, which is the liaison to the Student Regent Selection Committee. So I just want to give an update to say that we had all of our interviews this past weekend and though I cannot obviously discuss any of the identities of the candidates, we were able to come to a decision and this Friday, May 1st, Governor Ferguson is going to sit down and decide who is our next student regent. So really important stuff is happening. Hopefully that will be communicated to everyone out there during the summer. I'm not quite sure of that timeline, but that was completed. So thank you all.

Dylan Bianchi: Hi everybody. You all probably know me by now, but I'm Dylan Bianchi. I'm the AAA Chair and as of Friday, I've been elected to be your next ASUW Vice

President. So along with the rest of the board who could make it because they didn't have class, we wanted to come in just quickly introduce ourselves because for anyone who's sticking around in the Senate or in any part of ASUW next year, we'll be the ones you guys are working with and we want to make a better effort this year at connection with Senate and transparency with Senate. So again, Dylan Bianchi, Vice President. Do you want to let people introduce themselves?

Shantanu Adekar: Shantanu Adekar, ASUW President. Thank you, guys, for being super involved and caring about this. I really enjoyed the Senate forum and every conversation I had with you guys over the campaign process. If I want to be completely transparent, I am very excited to be working with all you guys next year, and I really hope we start on the right foot. Do the best we can for UW. Thank you.

Kitana Ludwig: Hi everyone, my name is Kitana Ludwig, Director of University Affairs, and I'm just really excited to work with you all this upcoming year.

Caroline Huguely: Yeah, and I'm Caroline. I'm your next Director of Campus Partnerships and what they said. Yeah.

Simon Thompson: Hi guys, I'm Simon. I'm your next Director of Community Relations. Really excited to be back in this room and continue to be back in this room next year.

Dylan Bianchi: And, really quick, Aisha Rana, our new Director of Programming, Carlos Hugo Ladron de Guevara, our new Director of Diversity Efforts, and Raagini Ganesh, our new director of Internal Policy, all had class, so they couldn't make it, but they wish they could, they wish they were here. Thanks, guys.

Nandana Jaideep: Well I'm gonna do the rest of my announcements in the meantime. Hi, everyone. It's me again, Nandana, your ASUW President. I just want to give a quick reminder. So I know we've been talking extensively this year about bylaws reform and institutional reform. So our constitution that was on the ballot did pass. So shout out if you worked on it. We're having a bylaws workshop this Saturday from 11 A.m. until tentatively like 5 or whenever we get done. And all of the Board of Directors are going to be there. Erick is going to be there. Open Public meeting so please make sure you guys come if you have any input on our bylaws for next year. We're just trying to make sure that it goes with our constitution and that everything's synced up. And yeah, I'm just waiting on the, I'm pulling up the graphic. But besides that, there's also lots of jobs open on Handshake right now if you guys are interested in a paid position within ASUW,

including jobs on exec, which get a seat at the Board of Directors as ex officio members. So Finance and Budget and Personnel are both open right now. So if you're interested, please apply. And we have tons of other jobs open on Handshake right now. So make sure to look at them before they close. And if you have any questions, I'm happy to answer. Yes, and this again. Student Life really, really wants me to push this survey out about how to improve your UW experience. And it's open for quite a minute, so please fill it out. It doesn't matter what year you are. They just really want some input on what they could do to improve your Husky experience overall. But yeah, thank you guys .

Matthew Furey: Hello I'm Matthew Furey I'm VP of f Legislative Affairs for Washington Students Association. I'm here to encourage you all to not support the resolution to withdraw from WSA. This resolution was framed WSA as a sinking ship, which is now reflective of the organization having met with Western, they have no intention of leaving WSA. You know, we also have South Seattle College joining us as well and we're currently in the works of having Seattle Central join us too. WSA is something that the large majority of member campuses still believe in and view the WSA as a worthwhile investment. It is also unfair to put the burden of previous leadership carelessness on new leadership that has worked diligently to resolve those issues. Right now, we are under a federal administration that has a motive against higher education, and we're in the face of our state's budget deficit, which puts higher education on the chopping block for these budget cuts. It's important now more than ever to make sure that our campuses stick together and show that we are a united front that is ready to fight for our right to higher education. From my time down at the Capitol, I can tell you, unfortunately, not every legislator is going to care about UW. For Huskies on the Hill, the team that I led, we were only able to meet with Representative Cindy Jacobsen because we were her constituents. Leaving the WSA will lose us a lot of political capital that expands farther than our legislative district. This proposal was written with outdated facts and misleading information. It is not fair to our students nor to you all to consider a resolution that is not entirely accurate.

Zoe Love: Hi everyone, I'm Zoe, I'm the GPSS Policy Director and I have a comment from Ryan Wicklund, our GPSS President. I'm sorry, I'm going to read a little bit. So last week, GPSS President Ryan Wicklund shared that it is legally ambiguous whether GPSS can stay in WSA if ASUW withdraws, and Ryan, who is unfortunately occupied with another meeting right now, wants to clarify that. So SAO confirmed that ASUW is the parent entity to GPSS, which is an unincorporated entity. GPSS is defined and

recognized in the ASUW Constitution in Article 15. There are also laws that govern unincorporated entities like GPSS that supersede our governing documents, which means any changes to internal documents may not change the situation. If ASUW, our parent entity, leaves, it is legally ambiguous whether GPSS can stay in the WSA. Ryan has reached out to the AAG to clarify this matter, and until we can have that clarification, it is possible that this decision to withdraw from the WSA could affect both the GPSS directly and graduate students at large by withdrawing the GPSS along with ASUW. Thank you.

Erick Jacobsen: Adding on to that a little bit, I asked Carrie Moore, the Executive Director of the HUB, on Thursday. She said that it seems like it's likely that GPSS can stay, but like Zoe said, we don't know until the AAG replies.

Blair Yeh: Hi everyone, my name is Blair Yeh for those who don't know me I'm the On-Campus Affairs Committee Chair. And just a reminder to my committee, we have our bonding this Friday. We're going bowling downstairs in the HUB at 6 P.m. and everyone is free to join if you want to join.

Bridget Pasco: Hi everyone, I'm Bridget Pasco, I'm a senator here. I guess I'm Vice Chair of AAA now. Socialist Alternative and the YDSA are doing a walkout against ICE and the Trump Administration on Friday at 11 a.m. If you're interested in that, it's in the quad. We're going to Cal Anderson Park afterwards

Kathleen Esnaurrizar: Hello, I'm Kathleen, I just want to talk about a bill that I'm going to start writing and see if anyone's interested in co-sponsoring it with me or writing it with me. It's going to be about, if you do not know, so engineering sent out a message about a week before the finals of winter quarter. And it was talking about a fee that they're going to implement for all undergraduate engineering majors. And it's going to be a \$250 fee per quarter next year, each quarter and then the year after that, it's going to be \$500. But they weren't really very clear in like what they're going to implement the money into. And also it felt ambiguous that they sent that email the week before finals week of winter. So I'm just kind of writing a bill to talk more about trying to get more collaboration with letting us know what's going on with that and also why \$250 and then \$500. So yeah, my email is kesnau@uw.edu. But you can also just talk to me after the meeting as well. Thank you

Carlos Hugo Ladron de Guevara: Hey guys, so I was a bit late because I had a midterm. My name is Carlos. I was elected as Director of Diversity Efforts. Thank you for electing me. And yeah, just that. Thank you so much, guys.

Leila De Folo: Hi everyone, my name is Leila, I'm the Judicial committee liaison for the Senate. And I just wanted to give everyone a heads up that next week on Wednesday, May 6th, we are having the elections complaint hearing in case anyone is interested in coming. It's going to be at 5 p.m. in HUB 337.

Husna Chepkemboi: Hi everyone, my name is Husna I'm the Terry Hall senator. Can you hear me? Oh, OK. I'm the Terry Hall Council Senator and we prepared an egg hunt for most Terry Hall residents. Please, please look for those eggs. There's a prize and stuff, so please. I worked so hard for that.

Erick Jacobsen: Are there any other announcements?

FORUMS

NOMINATIONS AND ELECTIONS

Erick Jacobsen: We will move on now to our nominations and elections. We have the Speaker position up for nominations. We will elect this position next week. That will be the majority of our Senate meeting. As I mentioned, the Senate Speaker is the Entity Director of the Senate, the Chair of Senate and Steering, the Board Rep for the ASUW. Our questions are, we have 3 questions. It is, it is the chair's role to maintain neutrality, present objective context when necessary, and prioritize senators in the queue who have not spoken. How will you ensure that there is balance for everyone's voice to be heard? Our second question is, describe your leadership strategy and how you deal with making difficult decisions under pressure from various stakeholders. Use an example if applicable. And our third question is, outside of your duty of presenting and advocating for past legislation to the board, your role as board liaison is very broad. How will you use that role to bridge the gap between the board and Senate while maintaining its independence? For all three of these questions, you will have 90 seconds. We will have 30 seconds introductions and conclusions. And after all that, we will have an endorsements period or like a period where people can speak in favor of candidates. You may not speak against any candidate, but you may speak in favor of as many

candidates as you'd like. And each senator and member of the public who does that will be kept anonymous in the minutes. We will ask the candidates to step outside and you'll have a certain amount of time. So that's basically what the election entails. It's also instant runoff voting. Before I ask for nominations, are there any questions about the Senate election process or the Speaker's, a role? Okay. Are there any nominations?

Collin Saywers nominates **Pierce Wallbaum**

Pierce Wallbaum accepts

Francisco Dojenia nominates **Spencer Grubbs**

Spencer Grubbs accepts

Kaitlyn O'Connor nominates **Avery Kirscht**

Avery Kirscht accepts

Francisco Dojenia nominates **Matt Freire**

Matt Freire cannot accept due to absence

Kira McKey nominates **Detlef Gerbing**

Detlef Gerbing accepts

Francisco Dojenia nominates **Justin Amaral**

Justin Amaral accepts

Elizabeth McAleer nominates **Ruby Santos**

Ruby Santos accepts

Francisco Dojenia nominates **Addison Wooster**

Addison Wooster declines

Cecillia Hellman nominates **Kathleen Esnaurrizar**

Kathleen Esnaurrizar accepts

Francisco Dojenia nominates **Aiden Reeder**

Aiden Reeder declines

Francisco Dojenia nominates himself

Bridget Pasco nominates **Francisco Dojenia**

Francisco Dojenia is already nominated

Zain Asif nominates **Jesse Luu**

Jesse Luu declines

Erick Jacobsen: are there any nominations x3

NEW BUSINESS

Erick Jacobsen: moving on to Summary of New Business. We only managed to pass one bill out of steering yesterday. This is R-32-12. The bill summary is this resolution supports the formal implementation of FreeFoodAlert as a component of the University of Washington Food Pantry's Food Recovery Program. FreeFoodAlert is a student-led initiative that redistributes surplus food from campus catering events to students, reducing food waste and addressing food insecurity. This resolution encourages the future ASUW Board of Directors, 2026 to 2027, to allocate \$5,000 in recurring annual funding to sustain and scale the program. Yeah. That is our only item of new business.

COMMITTEE MEETINGS

On Campus Affairs

- Elizabeth McAleer moves to suspend the rules and move (7-0-3) R-32-11 A Resolution to Expand Access to Microwaves on Campus
- Read and discussed R-32-11: A Resolution Urging the University of Washington to Expand Financial Aid Emergency Funding, and Scholarship Access for Non-Resident and International Undergraduate Students
 - Heard from sponsor Julia Tanner
 - Madeline Steppe moved to edit line 52, adding two new THAT clauses, 2nded by Elizabeth McAleer
 - Naomi law moves to strike executer, Cecelia Hellman seconds
 - Greyson moves to change "finable" to "accessible" in line 52, seconded by Mirmattia Ottaviani

- Naomi moves to pass bill favorably, Greyson seconded (17-0-1)
- Read and discussed R-32-05 to Direct ASUW and GPSS Executives to Create the Student Health Fee MOU
 - Naomi moves to edit line 295, (16-0-2)
 - Naomi moves to amend bill with current proposed edits, Greyson seconds (12-0-0)
 - Cecelia moves to pass bill out of committee favorably (14-0-2)
- Read and discussed R-32-13 A Resolution Endorsing the Return of Tent City 3 to Campus
 - Heard from sponsor Dylan Bianchi
 - Naomi moves to amend line 13 and change the word homeless to the word housed, Elizabeth seconded
 - passed
 - Dylan suggests amendment about spreading campus awareness about the city
 - Naomi created amendment to address communication to be added after first that clause, Addison Auer seconds
 - passed
 - Grammatical edits to change homeless to unhoused elsewhere in the bill
 - Naomi moves to pass bill favorably, Elizabeth seconds (14-0-1)

Off Campus Affairs

WSA Bill:

reading of the bill

Spencer: Lines 23-28, Source 6 does not substantiate the claim. Moves to strike Lines 23-28. 5-0-1

Line 142-148:

Isaac: Line 142, in that clause all official communication on Signal (what is used to back that up).

Brandon: Multiple emails received to communicate via Signal. And there are concerns. During session, communication is on signal. Issac: Is it

Avery: Line 146, if someone named Signal would those be subject to the Public Records request.

Brandon: Yes, it would be viable. Avery: I think for WSA, separate org and this clause does not say.

Justin: Has WSA offered to move to Teams, and communicate that.

Brandon: Majority of legislative representatives use Singal, ASUW is not comfortable with that so we never joined. Previous Directors also say this is long standing precedent.

Maggie: Since they don't violate the records act, why Teams would be more compliant than Signal.

Ryan: Specific appetitive to switch to Teams in the future. As a WSA executive member

Justin moves to strike, Zoe seconds: 6-1-2. Amendment struck

Maggie: That clause regarding the use of WSA office space.

Brandon: It gives a consideration to WSA to continue supporting Huskies on the Hill if ASUW were to withdraw. This office space has been used for free.

Zoe: How much would it take to reserve these new rooms?

Brandon: It wouldn't be that much to reserve with legislative assistant help. Confident in getting these spaces.

Justin: are there any firm plans for how to implement this

Brandon: we have talked to other peer institutions and we believe this will work

Spencer moves to approx all of Brandon's edits

Seconded by Isaac Patterson

6-0-3

Avery: that clause regarding building another student coalition

General Affairs

- Committee Meeting begins at 5:40 pm
- Sarah took attendance for teams; Pierce took in-person attendance
- Ava Wahl begins with reading their bill: R-32-12 A Resolution to Support the Implementation of FreeFoodAlert Under the UW Food Pantry Food Recovery Program at 5:43pm
- After reading through the bill Ava wanted to give some extra context; Angelina Durbin (UW Food Pantry Food Recovery Coordinator) brought by Ava to share insight and answer additional questions
- Pierce shares some context on how favorably this passed out of steering and that our job is to share what we do and do not support on behalf of the students, as opposed to the
- Ishaan Verma moves to change on lines 34-35 the amount from \$10,000 to the correct \$8,000 that had been allocated, Em Coleman seconds
- Passes unanimously
- Skylar Fridriksson motions to include that the ASUW 2026-2027 BOD starts to fund during the 2027-2028 in lines 63-65, Ishaan seconds
- Passed unanimously
- Skylar Fridriksson wants to add BOD 2026-2027 to the forwarding clause (grammatical)
- Em Coleman, point of information on lines 47-50- why it has been continually implemented to be pushed but has not been implemented

- Figuring out logistics, no real concerns via Angelina Durbin
- Question via Ishaan, how much food will even be in consideration here?
- Outside vendors not included during pilot phase, just the UW catering, but would love to work with more in the future, don't have a specific estimate yet, but that with first conversations with those groups has been very favorably (generally)- Angelina Durbin
- UW sustainability and UW recycling very positive, Bay Laurel as well
- Ishaan Verma moves to add a whereas clause on lines 51-53 "WHEREAS Bay Laurel, the ECC, and many departments on campus including UW Sustainability and UW Recycling have expressed support for this initiative; and "
- Passed unanimously
- Brief conversation about bonding while Ava and Angelina meet to discuss a bill amendment
- Skylar Fridriksson motions to add a THAT Clause on lines 66-69: "THAT the ASUW Student Senate highly recommend that the ASUW Student Food Co-op transfer \$5000 of their remaining funds to the UW Food Pantry to pay for the program's first year of implementation in the 2026-2027 academic year; and " and seconded by Ishaan
- Passes unanimously
- Isabelle Green moves to approve the bill, Em Coleman objects, period of open debate, Isabelle rescinds motion
- After Ava briefly talked with Brendan Chang to ask about the proceedings for changing the bill summary, answer is that it needs to be a passed amendment
- Alicia DeGaetano motions to add current to line 26
- To change the last line of the summary: Em Coleman makes a motion to change the following: "This resolution encourages the future ASUW Board of Directors 2026–2027 to allocate \$5,000 in recurring annual funding starting in fiscal year 2028 to sustain and scale the program" and Skylar seconds
- Passes unanimously

- Skylar moves to approve this bill out of committee favorably, Em Coleman seconds
- Passed: 18-0-2
- At 6:20 Pierce asks for a brief 3-minute break to resume at 6:23
- Reconvene at 6:23
- Sarah Parker moves to ratify all of the actions that the committee has taken, Ava Wahl seconds
- Passed unanimously
- Moving on to second agenda item: R-32-09 A Resolution to Improve Knowledge of Artificial Intelligence Environmental Concerns
- Jacob Perryman, why only AI? Other things cause harm too
- Pierce answers to the best of his ability, the bills intention is just on knowledge for AI
- Ava Wahl has a question on the THAT clauses on lines 52-53, asking if that is really the best place to put that education, Em Coleman agrees
- Isabelle Green on Teams as a FYP thing, but maybe incorporate into a module similar to the Civil Rights one that they just put out
- Sarah Parker shares some GAVEL insight on what implementation for this bill might look like, Ava Wahl stops to write an amendment
- Ava Wahl moves to add the following to line 59: THAT if such a module cannot be incorporated into First Year Programs, other avenues of implementation be pursued such as a Canvas module accessible to all students; and, seconded by Em Coleman
- Passes unanimously
- Alicia DeGaetano asks a quick question regarding if it is needed to update the forwarding clause to add the next year BOD or if it will carry over, Sarah Parker responds and says it will carry over and GAVEL will worry about it

- Skylar Fredrickson moves to pass this bill out favorably, Jacob Perryman objects, doesn't find it super necessary (wants to pass it out neutrally), period of open debate
- Ava Wahl responds saying that just because people are aware of it, we should still consider how to educate students about it, Jacob Perryman responds and still disagrees
- Committee meeting needs to end, tabled for 1 week
- Committee meeting adjourned at 6:41pm

Academic and Administrative Affairs

Talking about reason why bill got sent back to senate by Board,

Consensus that Scope of Bill was fine on part of Board.

Potentially tabling the bill, to get to it later.

Kira motioned to table bill until next week, Suamaiya seconded, passed 8-0-3

Working on R-32-08(bill to expand financial aid to international students)

We do not know if there are any legal precedents about financial aid to international students. WSU gives financial aid to international students.

Discussion of whether or not this is a good idea. Appears that WSU gets funding for international students from the same pool as it gets funding from for everyone else.

School of environmental and forest sciences gives scholarships to everyone.

It may be legal for UW to appropriate state funds, state of Washington wants to reduce all financial aid and UW does not have a lot of money at the moment.

If its from gifts, gifts are for specific things generally.

Other universities give out scholarships.

More discussion of the abysmal state of finances of Washington state as well as the university of Washington.

If you get accepted to a university its the first step to getting a student visa.

School does not sponsor you, but if you lose enrollment or such you can lose the visa.

Whether or not Washington state can afford this or this is an inequality that ought to be addressed.

Needs language about how the bill should be funded.

Financial aid is paid for in taxes....

Bill addresses non international students as well.

Feelings about bill are not anti immigrant...

If you've lived here for two or more years you qualify for in state tuition automatically, giant pile of money solution is at expense of in state students.

To the point of WSU, takes money from gifts and grants, not just a pile of money. Maybe make a separate thing for international students.

Bill can be reworked to look into the how part of the bill.

Feedback on bill, talk to student legal services, going back to financial aid office,

Criteria for qualifying for in state are specifically designed to exclude people who come here for college, international students are contributing its a question of the quality of this bill. Bill to vague.

Not in fact in state students.

Kira motions to table bill, Summaiya seconds, tabled bill, 6-0-0

ASUW Restructuring Committee

- Judicial
- Consider the Judicial response to the SB
- FD: Do we want to streamline? How do we balance the double appointment process with timeline?
- AW: Board picks then senate approves?
AR: BOD is given a pool of applicants, then the senate picks one. There is a significant amount of structural changes
- FD: is it supportive that the BOD picks first, then the senate confirms/picks after.
- AW: avoiding spending too much time, new procedures need to be put into place

- FD: also need to update bylaws to match const

Electoral Branch

- EAC currently operates under the "committees of the ASUW"
- "Governed" by the EPP, which is not a governing document
 - Adjust to be considered a governing document in the new constitution
 - Only way to do it is to put the EPP in the bylaws
- FD: EAC transferred to single director position, change voting to maintain uneven number
- RC: would recalls happen if it requires a %5 vote of the student body?
- AW: was this seen/approved by the EAC?
 - FD: Reaa had reviewed it but she should check again
- JK: was there justification behind striking the recall for people in BOD/Senate
 - FD: it would be the impeachment process for ASUW members (BOD/Senate)
 - JT: What is the impeachment process?
 - FD: same as the current recall process
 - AR: streamlines the timeline, current one is not feasible or worth it
 - KE: impeachment would also need 5%
 - FD: recall is student drive, impeachment is internal
 - RC: they cannot be rehired or reelected after impeached or recalled during the session?
 - FD: yes
 - AF: expelling people from the senate

Personnel

- AR: initial worry is hiring committees, conflict of interest - who would replace? If they are running for a position, they should be required to have a proxy

- JT: expand on purposes for the hiring processes
- FD: Processes can be long. Too much power in the BOD overall, allows for the senate to have more power/say in processes. We may not need the personnel appointment to be so long, some positions could go through one or the other
 - JT: follow up: not delineated in the bylaws yet, what is the process to ensure that it would be in the bylaws
 - FD: joint resolution to adjust bylaws
- AR: concern for cronyism, people are appointed by the director - the director of personnel could pick whoever. It needs to be revamped to avoid cronyism
 - FD: could change it to a randomly determined ASUW employee through availability
- JT: was this written along with the Personnel policy or would there be an amendment to it proposed as well
 - FD: not considered
 - AR: It's difficult on who can change the personnel policy, the BOD can approve any changes if there is limited personnel committee or can amend any change put forward by the personnel committee
 - Two pieces of legislation put forward to ensure that the bylaws match up with the proposed and potentially accepted constitution
 - KW: we could consider, once we have a final proposal, we could talk to different entities/directors to get their thoughts on it to ensure that everything gets adjusted on all ends
 - JT: We should talk to the incoming BOD about each relevant section, improve senate and BOD relations.

OLD BUSINESS

Collin Saywers moves to suspend the rules and move OA-32-04 to item B of old business

Ava Wahl seconds

Approved by raised hand vote

Erick Jacobsen: Our first item of old business is SB-32-03 A Senate Bill on Institutional Reform. We have a 30 minute time stamp on this that we agreed to as leadership alongside Joseph so that if discussion continues past 30 minutes, it will be automatically tabled for a week, and we'll come back to it next week. It'll be the top of the old business. Okay, so each person will have two minutes to speak. We will have 30 minutes for the entire item, starting from when Joseph starts speaking. I will not accept interruptive points of information and the sponsor may claim time to answer questions that are set in the regular queue. So every time someone asks a question, Joseph will be automatically recognized and he can answer. If it is a comment, Joseph has to get in the queue in order to respond. This is also how we do it anyway but just clarifying that. And make sure you are speaking with regards to the bill, the contents of the bill and not with regards to any person that is involved or mentioned, just the contents of the bill themselves. Speak to the subject on its merits. I don't want to get anyone into the weeds on what a person did or didn't do anything or said or didn't say anything. And as always, I will prioritize senators who haven't spoken yet and then I will prioritize senators who have spoken yet, and then I will prioritize members of the public. Members of the public are allowed to raise their hand, but they will not be prioritized. And also board members are senators, even if they are not voting senators. Those are the rules, does that make sense to everyone?

Joseph Riggio: Point of parliamentary inquiry, Would it be possible for a senator to suspend the rules and have the prime sponsor read the bill summary or recess for a certain number of time?

Erick Jacobsen: That would be possible.

Aiden Reeder moves to recess for 7 minutes to read bill

Avery Kirscht seconds

Abigail Appleyard objects

Aiden Reeder yields

Abigail Appleyard: If we do it that way at least half the people will not read the bill. It's better if we do it now. That way everyone's actually hearing it and probably more engaged. Also, some people are very slow readers like myself and I could not read this

in 7 minutes. So I think if we're going to give time, it would have to be a lot more. I just don't think it's practical. I think we should just read it aloud.

Erick Jacobsen: We are now in a period of debate.

Aiden Reeder rescinds motion.

Naomi Law moves for the 30 minutes to start after the bill has been read in full.

Teddie Schmitt seconds

Approved by raised hand vote

Francisco Dojenia and **Joseph Riggio** read [SB-32-03 A Senate Bill on Institutional Reform](#)

Erick Jacobsen: So in 30 minutes if nothing's happened, this will be automatically tabled. That does not mean we cannot table it, adjourn, or do anything else in this time.

ADJOURNMENT

Collin Saywers moves to adjourn

Ava Wahl seconds

Approved by raised hand vote

Adjourned 7:30 pm.

Minutes Prepared by Jothsna Sundar
Clerk for the ASUW Student Senate
