



Associated Students of the University of Washington

Senate Floor Minutes | Senate Session XXXII

21 April 2026

Location: HUB 250

CALL TO ORDER

5:02 pm

LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Erick Jacobsen reads the following statement: The ASUW Student Senate acknowledges the Indigenous peoples of this land, and the land which touches the shared waters of all tribes and bands within the Suquamish, Tulalip, and Muckleshoot nations, and the Duwamish peoples, whose land our university currently occupies. It is our role and responsibility as guests to understand how our impact entangles the caretakers.

APPROVAL OF SENATE AGENDA

Erick Jacobsen: Moving on to the approval of the agenda, I will note that Senate leadership made a little bit of a mistake. We forgot to put recommitted bills back in committee. I will talk about the bills that were recommitted, but we need it reflected on the agenda. Are there any motions?

Addison Wooster moves to approve agenda edits adding recommitted bills to committees

Kavi Pasupathi seconds

Approved by raised hand vote

Aiden Reeder moves to approve the agenda

Maggie Schoenfeldt seconds

Approved by raised hand vote

LEADERSHIP REPORTS| [Erick Jacobsen](#), [Joseph Riggio](#), [Julia Tanner](#), [Jothsna Sundar](#)

ANNOUNCEMENTS

Kira McKey: Hi everyone, I'm your STF liaison. And my job is to represent the Senate on this committee. And I saw some things that I thought the Senate, some of you would be really interested in, specifically to do with wages and hours of STF staff. This impacts all of you and all of your constituents because you all pay for that directly. So if any of you would like to have a, I'm trying to gather opinions so that I can bring them to the committee and like really have a full-fledged argument. If any of you would like to be part of that and have a conversation with me, I would be very grateful. I'll be staying here after Senate so come find me or send me a Teams message. But yeah, thank you.

Nandana Jaideep: Hey, everybody, I'm Nandana, I'm the ASUW President. I had a quick announcement. Yeah, but basically, I think Student Life reached out to me and said they would like you all to fill out a survey. And it's a national engagement survey. So, if you could fill it out by this deadline, it'd be super, super helpful. It's just to find out how UW can help improve like your student experience. And I think these results can be impactful in how admin makes certain decisions. So, take the time to give your input. And my other announcement is that the student regent application is open now. So, if you just look up UW student regent, you should be able to find the application. But we will also be making an Instagram post on the ASUW account very shortly. But for context, the student regent is basically the student representative on the UW Board of Regents. I also go to these meetings, but I'm a non-voting member and I represent the student body. They don't necessarily represent students, but they are like a student perspective on the Board of Regents. And the Board of Regents is like the highest decision-making body at the University of Washington. This is not a paid position, but they do cover your tuition if you're selected. So how this works is once the committee picks three names, the governor then appoints the student regent. So, if you're interested in this position, it is super awesome if you're passionate about making an impact. So come talk to me if you have more questions, but check out the application and consider applying. Thank you.

Aiden Reeder: Hi everyone, It's Aiden, I'm your guys' SAF liaison. As Erick had slightly mentioned a little bit earlier, I have some announcements for you guys concerning the

Food Pantry and SAF decisions. So we have actually approved the full 38,000 increase so fantastic. This does come hinging upon whether or not, the regents will approve that, however they rarely do disapprove of SAF allocations. So that is likely going to be the increase. Additionally, there was a capital request that went through from the Food Pantry. Originally, most of it was on food items, of which, according to SAF definition of capital, is not something that we really cover through that. But we did have them resubmit and we have covered them for I think 9.7k of non-food items that are essential, so like hygiene products or like bulk bags for the Grab and Go program. So we're doing our best to support the Food Pantry however we can.

Radcliffe Cushman: Howdy, announcement on behalf of YDSA. the Mayor's Office, along with UW and YDSA, we're hosting a listening session for renters junk fees. So if you live off campus and your bill this month has been really crazy, get like random fees for random things, please go to this listening session. It's next Monday in the Computer Science Engineering Building in that room. Good time to make your voice heard, impact some of the future legislation that's going to be happening at the city level. So yeah, very good event. Put that in your calendars if you live off campus and you have some bad rent bills. Also, I personally, as a senator, am writing a bill about cutting the contract of Starbucks that the UW has. I'm looking for co-sponsors, so if you want to talk to me after the meeting, more than happy to. Thanks.

Reaa Dureja: Hey guys, I'm Reaa from EAC. Can I have a quick show of hands who have a voted here? Okay, I like to see more hands up. Please do that. Who has voted? Yes. That's what I like to see, but I want more hands up. There are some voter supplies, voter guides. We have a lot of coupons and t-shirts and tote bags and bottles up there. Please see me if you want to know how to vote and stuff. So yeah.

Erick Jacobsen: We will also have time in committee to vote

Matthew Furey Soper: Hello, I'm Matthew Furey Soper. I was just at the Washington Student Association GA, and I was elected VP of Legislative Affairs for the Washington Student Association. And I'm just here to introduce myself. I'm a transfer student from Seattle Central. I've only been here for two quarters, and in that short time, I was down advocating for higher education at the Capitol. And yeah, in this short time, I've become very proud to be here at UW Seattle as well. You know, I can't wait to be down at the Capitol again for this upcoming session representing us. Yeah, I'm looking forward to working with you all, and I'm offering any support that I can give you as VP of

Legislative Affairs for WSA. Like in previous session where we hosted Huskies on the Hill with our office that made us able to reserve multiple spaces for simultaneous activities, like facilitating workshops, which we couldn't have done with some of our WSA alumni, which helped to prepare our students for advocacy. Some of our alumni include, you know, Representative Jerry Pollet, a professor here at UW, as well as a former WSA president and executive LA, and a commissioner candidate in Bellingham, Samantha Cruz Mendoza, as well as a Washington Student Achievement Council, Joel Anderson. Yeah, and I also want to try to expand opportunities for students by allowing them to come down to the Capitol during session and shadow me any day. You know, just trying to make that, help them learn more about the legislative process and make connections with the lawmakers and give our campus a bigger presence in Washington's political landscape. I'm going to be here after the meeting if anybody wants to meet and discuss with that. Thank you.

Ryan Wicklund: Howdy folks. I understand that there's a resolution to withdraw ASUW from the WSA based on concerns that were raised in an open letter much earlier in the year. And as a signatory on that letter and as someone who spent over 10 hours this weekend with the other members of the WSA addressing the concerns laid out in the resolution, I can say that this resolution is missing some context. I am also very glad to say that the WSA is in a financially secure place in major part due to our new executive director, Vanessa Ponce, who has brought in \$50,000 in grants and helped us in discussions of balancing the budget and forming contingencies as member campuses are to drop out. Those most recent 10 plus hours were actually the tip of the iceberg in terms of our work, however as we had spent the past several months leading up to this in the restructuring committees, preparing proposals which included reducing our number of paid positions, including my own within the WSA, and instating many transparency measures. Although I am an executive member of the WSA, I am also the president of the GPSS, and that representation comes first and foremost. And as GPSS technically exists as a component of ASUW, it is currently legally questionable if the GPSS can remain as part of WSA if ASUW pulls out. And this is concerning to us. We're also somewhat concerned as ASUW and GPSS pay their dues to WSA cooperatively through a fund that was historically accumulated via the donated funds of both undergraduate and graduate students. And even if GPSS were allowed to remain as part of the WSA without ASUW, that dues paying mechanism would then be jeopardized. The WSA is also a critical resource for legislative lobbying for ASUW, GPSS, and many

more. It provides critical support in office, political capital from its history of legislative success, and above all else, a way to unify students across the state for their shared interests. And having this strong coalition is critical now more than ever when our funding on the state level is so threatened. We need everyone rallied behind efforts to preserve the Washington College Grant, stop funding cuts, stop tuition raises, and much more. And the WSA has an incredible history of this, as it was under their coalition that we were able to create the Washington College Grant in the first place. It was also Just last year successfully defeated a bill that was going to almost completely cut the Washington College Grant on top of your tuition 5 percent. Thank you.

Erick Jacobsen: And after the people that are in line or have their hands raised, we will move on from the public comment and announcement period. Please, everyone keep it brief. We do have a forum.

Zoe Love: Hi my name is Zoe Love. I am the GPSS Policy Director, so I am working really close with our GPSS legislative Affairs. And I also want to talk about my experience with the Washington Student Association before we hear the resolution today about withdrawing from it, because I love WSA. I got to go to the General Assembly just this weekend and watch some of the, some super hardworking students across the state come together, work to fix some of the problems that the WSA has had, and they really cared and really care about bringing together students across the state. I also got to shadow the GPSS, our student lobbyist, Annika Peterson, who I work with at the Capitol this year during the legislative session, and see WSA in action during the session. And all of the legislative liaisons were coming together, working to help each other through policy strategy and It was a really cool thing to see. I can't really imagine our policy working without WSA. I am such a huge fan of them and everyone involved and I've also gotten to see how they really support Huskies on the Hill, which can really benefit all of you if you want to come to that next year. We got to use their office and it helps us build relations with the tri-campus of UW. So yeah, I just, I really have loved my time with them and wanted to share that before we do that today. So thank you.

Pierce Wallbaum: Hello everybody, I'm doing this announcement on behalf of your Off Campus Chair, Abigail. So the School of Public Health Dean Advisory Council is looking for students on Medicaid through Apple Health to learn about their experience navigating the program under the current administration. Through your insight, they will be able to develop a Medicaid navigation website specifically tailored to students. So, if

you are interested in this opportunity to have your voice heard and help other students navigating this program, please reach out to Abigail Appleyard, again, the Off-Campus Committee Chair, via Teams or via her email at aea25@uw.edu.

Elias Feleke: Hello, this is in relation to the WSA agenda item. Good afternoon, everybody. Can you all hear me? Okay, yeah, so as a student, former student body president, this is something I had to work on as the finance major at the Milgard School of Business and looking at the Washington Student Association and how they operate financially. I won't spend too much time on that, but through conversations with other campuses and reviewing WSA financials, we work to better understand how these funds are being managed. And looking directly at the WSA budget versus expenses spreadsheet, which will be sent out, a few numbers do stand out. The traveling spending is totaled around \$6,686 against a budget of \$1,666. That's an overage about \$5,019 or 400% over budget. Taxes came in about \$14,540 compared to \$4,650 that was budgeted. Nearly \$10,000 over, then triple the original allocation. There's also \$3,123 in unknown costs with no initial budget, meaning thousands of dollars are at the same time. categorized. At the same time, \$108 was used, \$4,250 in benefits of the budgeting. And categories like rent and liaison funding showed little to no spending. And what this shows is a clear misalignment. Significant overages in some areas, while other allocated funds are largely unused or unaccounted for. So the question becomes how are we budgeting student dollars if actual spending can defer by 300 to 400% in major categories? And if we cannot clearly account for where funds are going, then it's fair to ask whether those resources are being used in the ways that students expect. Appreciate your time. Thank you.

FORUMS

Erick Jacobsen: We will move on now to our forum. First, we have the UW PD and Campus Safety Officer Forum. If the host would like to come to the front, please.

Audrianna Scott: Hello everybody, my name is Audriana Scott. I'm your Director of Campus Partnerships, and I'm here to introduce the Campus Community Safety and UW PD Forum. So here we have Sally Clark, the Vice President of Campus Community Safety. We have Craig Wilson, UW Police Chief, and we have our new unit, Husky Assistant, under Campus Community Safety. They'll be introducing themselves later. And yeah, I'm going to hand it off to Sally to get us started.

Sally Clark presents [UWPD and Campus Safety Forum](#)

Kelsie Pence: Oh, cool. Okay, my name is Kelsey. I am a new mobile crisis responder here on campus. I'm actually a UW alum, so I'm excited to be back working here. This is Grace, another mobile crisis responder.

Grace Kim: I'm Grace. I use she/her pronouns. I'm also a mobile crisis responder.

Sally Clark continues presenting Campus Safety Forum

Craig Wilson continues presenting UWPD Forum

Sally Clark continues presenting Campus Safety Forum

Erick Jacobsen: The first question is, has there been any conversation about making buildings tap in in order to like preserve that private space and make things a little more secure, especially after the Psych 210 incident as well?

Sally Clark: Ugh Psych 210. You know, there is conversation about should all UW buildings just be Husky card access only. And I will say that in general, when I talk with people about that, I have not found widespread support for that. There are some tactical things that are difficult in terms of the number of buildings that have general assignment classrooms where the rosters change regularly enough. And the CAMS system is, the CAMS is the is the system that controls the card key access. So that is not the easiest system in the world. And again, the culture of each building, not all units have said that that's the way they want to go. Some units have said, no, we want to maintain being an open building. I will say one challenge that we're continuing to try to address is that of the 180 some buildings on the Seattle campus, approximately 70 are still on brass key. More than you would think. We are chipping away at that little by little. We've made a couple of pitches to the federal government to cover converting them all to CAMS. We have not won the lottery yet. We've gotten little bits of money here and there and so we're trying to chip that down little by little, but it's a fair number of buildings where you still have custodians who are opening and closing those buildings every day.

Erick Jacobsen: Our next question, actually a couple of people had this question, regards Husky Assist. When it comes to the hours, what was the rationale behind the decision of making it 11 to 8 rather than later in the day where there might be more need?

Sally Clark: It's interesting and I had the same assumptions before we did the analysis of call data. And again, we may change this after Husky Assist has been in place for a while because you don't know what the latent demand looks like until you have a service in place. But when we had the Evans School, we had two capstone groups, and one capstone group took a look at UWPD's call data. I want to say we pulled like 4 years' worth. We went pre-COVID and pulled about four years' worth and looked at the times and how two types of calls, wellness check and anything that had a mental health thing that was flagged as a part of it. And the student team took a look at and charted and mapped where those calls came in during the course of a week and during the course of a quarter. And then we looked at, okay, where would we fit our initial window to try to capture it as much as we can? And so that's how we started with the initial window. We went by the data. I should add, we added in the Safe Campus as well, as far as when calls were being received by Safe Campus. And again, whether folks were on campus or whether they were calling from off campus as well. So I had the same assumption. And I think if we are able to prove success and if we're able to expand, we would need more people for sure in order to expand hours, but I would guess that we probably would expand later. I don't know if it means that we would expand to a weekend day. Again, we would need to look at the data and see what it's telling us as far as where the majority of those calls are coming in.

Erick Jacobsen: Our next question is, what was the thought process behind the implementation of new restrictions surrounding the cut?

Sally Clark: You know of all The Daily articles that have run in the past year, the idea that we're going to shut down Montlake Beach has been the one that's had the most attention. It's been fascinating. So I want to be clear, there is no effort to shut down Montlake Beach. There is a strong desire by the students and the faculty and the wee little ones who go to the Herring Center for their schooling to have people stop peeing in the bushes and on the buildings right adjacent to the Herring Center, and to stop leaving piles of trash at the end of every sunny day. That would be really great. And I will add, having people not stumbling around drunk through the buildings that are right adjacent to Mont Lake Beach would really be awesome as well. And I'm assuming they're drunk. Oh, the music. Oh my God. Yeah, so the music was... was it two Wednesdays ago? Two Wednesdays ago was a really nice day. And I mean, the complaints started coming in quickly from the Portage Bay building and the adjacent buildings. Like they were like, there is a freaking DJ out there. It's 6 p.m. and 6 p.m. may

sound after hours to you guys. It's not for the researchers and folks who are working there. They're like, there's a freaking DJ out there. It is cranked up. This is a problem. This is disruptive to our work. So if we could get the speakers maybe turned towards the cut and maybe down just a little bit, UW PD won't get the noise complaints. I understand there aren't bathrooms nearby. There's some discussion about that. I worry that porta potties will not be used appropriately for their intended use. I worry that they, somebody else said, aren't they just going to be tipped over into the cut and used as rafts? So I'm joking about this and I'm trying to have some levity about it, but the impact on the buildings there from the last couple of seasons has grown. And the number, and you guys know, you guys who go down there, you know that the number of people has grown. The piles of garbage, the folks who are impaired at best. The sound for the adjacent folks who are trying to do their work and the peeing in the bushes and on the buildings, like that's, we can't, we can't ignore that. So the question of like, well, why are you guys clamping down on the cut? We need some behavior change. Help us. Nobody wants us spending time down there. Help us.

Erick Jacobsen: I think we only have time for like maybe two more short questions. Our penultimate one is for Night Ride. And it is, has there been any plans to expand the number of stops that the night ride bus can do?

Sally Clark: Who did we just talk to? Oh, the shuttle. Who did we just talk with? We just had a meeting with folks about the shuttle and I'm trying to remember; it might have been with the Panhellenic leadership. We had a discussion about expanding the shuttle. So you guys shouldn't have to care about this, but bureaucratically, UW PD is the owner of the Safe Trip service, which is you call or you're about to have an app to try to have the security guard come and pick you up in a car and drop you someplace within the map. UW Transportation Services is the owner of the shuttle bus. And I would love, who's on the transportation committee? I would love that shuttle to be so much better than it is. We can do it. Because adding stops north of campus would be awesome. And I think it would be, I think it would have more utility for folks if we planted a couple of stops around that 47th and 15th or 50th and 20th, like a couple as it's looping back, those of you who live north of campus, you would still have to walk a block or two to where you live, but god, it would be a huge improvement. So I would advocate that you all have the power to do that. The shuttle is actually supported by your transportation fees. The Safe Trip escort escorted ride with a security guard is actually not supported by

your fees. And I know you don't have fees to go around, but we have a need to come up with a sustained funding plan for that program long term.

Erick Jacobsen: And our final question is, how can Campus Community Safety support sexual assault survivors, especially at night when we're limited?

Sally Clark: I'm going to take that question to mean like an immediate call for help, an immediate call for service. So there are, Craig, I should probably have deferred to you. There are a couple of things that happen. One is if there is a call to 911, that officer who's responding is going into support mode for that individual as well and figuring out does that individual need to be taken for medical assistance? It is survivor centered as well. There is the question of do you want to go to UW Montlake? Do you want to go to urgent care? Do you want to go to do a rape kit? Things of this nature. I'll say the other folks who get involved with that are going to be the Live Well team, Safe Campus, is always a great call if someone decides that they are not ready to call 911 and make a police report. Safe Campus is a great call, but they would not be answering in the they would not be answering in the middle of the night. That would be more of a 911 call and asking for support and assistance. There are other resources if the person is off campus that are not UW resources in terms of crisis line, 988, things like that, that are going to have immediate services as well. So we're speaking mostly, we're speaking to what happens on campus.

Erick Jacobsen: Well, thank you for your time. That's all the time we have for today. Thank you. Our next item on the agenda is the U-Pass fee increase forum. All righty, let's focus, y'all. This is tied to the U-PASS fee increase bill. So after the presentation, we will also read the bill and we will have a vote on that. Thank you.

Jayce Blanchard present [U-Pass Fee Increase Forum](#)

Erick Jacobsen: Are there any questions for Jayce?

Spencer Grubbs: It's a slightly broader question I think, but something that I've thought about a lot with like U-PASS is why, like, haven't we expanded services to things like the state ferries?

Jayce Blanchard: That's a great question we were actually asked the same question by GPSS. So, Washington State Ferries is something we have been looking into. We work with the U-PASS Advisory Board we're under transportation services and they have access to all that commuter data. And we found that in their commute transfer or

commute trip surveys of the last couple of years, the share of students using Washington State Ferries was like 0.1%. So that, it makes it hard to justify expanding the program into there from a financials perspective, but that's not something we've taken off the table. And if you or anyone else here do take Washington State Ferries to work, we invite you to come to our meetings and talk to us because we would love to hear more. And that would be super helpful for actually moving that forward with transportation services.

Addison Wooster: I will just say I think there would be a lot more people would use Washington state ferries if they were under the UPAS system, which is a hard thing to put into data. But as somebody whose family lives in Kitsap County, the state ferries would be really helpful. And I do think a lot more people would even use it for, you know, daily trips, tourism to Kitsap County.

Jayce Blanchard: I 100% agree so if you'd like to say that to us, please come to one of our meetings.

Husna Chepkemboi: I know you guys have the leftover 5.5 million. I get the increasing the money gradually, but what do you do with the leftover money? Like, where does it go?

Jayce Blanchard: If I understand your question correctly, basically, so we currently have that 5.5 million in funding. We're supposed to have 1.1. So how it's set right now, the U-PASS fee, the \$73 a quarter is effectively artificially low. We're currently collecting revenue that is far below our expenses to slowly drain that. So the excess funding is just going straight back into funding transportation for everyone. Does that answer your question?

Erick Jacobsen: Are there any other questions?

Kyra Lui: I just wanted to point out that like I was wondering if it was a possibility if we could like match the student ID numbers to like a U-PASS like number or something because personally I'm a minor and I'm really just adding to the revenue kind of budget. I'm paying for it and I'm still 17. I started at 17. I've really been paying two quarters of \$73 and I'm paying \$18,000 a quarter. I really don't think that's really adding up for me

Jayce Blanchard: I would encourage you to reach out to UW Transportation Services because King County made public transportation free for those under 18. It was integrated into the U-PASS program so that all people under the age of 19 should not

be paying the U-PASS fee. So if you are seeing that on your tuition statement, and that this is a statement to anyone here, and you are 18 or under, something is wrong because you should not be paying that. So I would encourage you to reach out to Transportation Services with any concerns regarding that, because I also agree, you should not be paying for that.

James Yu: Forgive me if you already answered this in your presentation before but I was just wondering how did the reserves get this high to begin with and how did the fee that we're paying right now get this low to like begin with? Like how did this situation happen?

Jayce Blanchard: Yeah, I can clarify. I'll go into, I kind of glossed over it. So a little more detail. Basically, like I said, COVID, the quarterly fee was \$92 a quarter. Then COVID happened and the current board wasn't sure, is ridership just going to go back to where it was before or is it not? So they kept that fee at \$92 a quarter. So they're collecting a bunch of revenue and then people just weren't riding it. So basically they collected a ton more in revenue than they actually paid out in expenses. So that led to a massive ballooning of the reserve funding in that like 2020, 2021, 2022 academic years. So, and the second prior question is like, why is the fee so low right now? Is that, is that what you mean?

James Yu: That explanation answers that question.

Jayce Blanchard: But to anyone else, basically the fee is low right now, so we can get back down to where we are. And then in a couple of years, we'll be back to a place where revenue equals expenses and everything will be normal. We won't have such drastic increases every year.

Sarah Parker: We're obviously in a fortunate position where we get to hear all of this information for the reasoning for this. Is there a plan to communicate this to all students like in an email or something or?

Jayce Blanchard: We do host every year, which I guess a little bit more background on the U-PASS process. We get the data of the budget and transit ridership about the end of January, beginning of February, and we spend time every week for about two months until the end of March going through that to make our decision and our formal recommendation. So at the very end of that period, we do have a where we had a public meeting where anyone could come and comment on the fee if they would like to. But

traditionally, like this is typically how we would kind of do it to get people in favor of it. But our meetings are always available to the public. We do a public comment section every time. And we did have that public meeting in case anyone wanted to do that. So that was, that's typically our way of getting people to getting student opinions on that.

Aiden Reeder: I know that this has been a previous topic of discussion in both in Senate and later on at U-PASS in some of the meetings I've gone to, but for those who are either unaware, Jayce, could you elaborate on some of the possibilities for a summer U-PASS program that has been talked about?

Jayce Blanchard: Yes thank you Aiden. So the Actually, thank you for asking that, because I was going to say something about that anyway. So a lot of you may be aware that if you are in Seattle over the summer, but if you are not enrolled in classes, you don't have access to U-PASS. That was the situation I was in last summer, and I hated having to reload my Orca card like every other day. So, we are currently investigating. putting together a summer U-Pass program. That would be an opt in program for students that are enrolled in both summer and fall, or if they just have some gap quarter throughout the academic year, so that they can have access to the U-PASS and subsidized transportation during the time that they're not enrolled. So I will be working with our Senate liaison to potentially get a survey out to everyone and that you can spread to your constituents as well. So yeah, that's something we're looking into right now. So if you want to know more or have questions about that, feel free to reach out to me

Justin Amaral: This question pertains to the previous slide if we could pull that graph up. Can you explain this graph?

Jayce Blanchard: Can I explain this? Yes. So this is basically just an Excel breakdown of how the budget works. Like I mentioned before, we have central funding, which is 10% from the university, grad school pass through, that's for students, so not available to ASUW. And then, so basically we have our revenue and expenses. So the key kind of moving parts here are the forecasted expense increase. So for fiscal year 2027, we forecast a 10% increase in expenses, which is a combination of both increased ridership as well as larger enrollment growth. Ten percent is a pretty big estimate relative to what we would typically forecast, but the last few years, the forecasts have been really underestimating transit ridership, so we decided to take a different approach this year. And then the other moving parts, you just have different fees for different years, which

then tie into that graph on the next slide. And then the key thing down here, we have our required reserves, which is that approximate 1.1 million that does rise every year. And then the ending balance of where the reserves actually will be. So does that help?

Erick Jacobsen: We do have an associated bill with this. This is R-32-10 A Resolution to Set the U-PASS Fee to \$81. Historically, the ASUW Senate is behind approving all increases or decreases to the U-PASS fee. So this is the bill. I believe all of the information in here is the same as the information in the graph. Okay. In that case, we can forego reading it. So are there any questions or comments on this bill?

Radcliffe Cushman: This is related to that table that was on the slideshow. I was wondering what grad school pass-through is.

Jayce Blanchard: I believe it has to do with Academic Student Employees. A lot of, which maybe Ryan could help me with that too. But a lot of grad students are ASEs, so they don't pay that fee. It just, it's covered by the program. I'm sorry to put you on the spot, Ryan. That was an accident

Ryan Wicklund: I'll just specify that the academic student employee graduate appointment means that there is a tuition and fee waive for any mandatory fees that graduate students have to pay if they are under that contract, which many PhDs, TAs, research assistants are.

Jayce Blanchard: Thank you. Are there any other questions or comments on this bill? We will eventually have to approve it. And we have, what time is it?

Erick Jacobsen awkwardly checks time on Aiden Reeder's computer.

Commented [JS1]: I will delete this if that is so desired

Erick Jacobsen: It's 6:27. We'll be going straight into old business at 6:40. So this is probably a time sensitive thing. We won't have much time in committee either way so just so you're all aware, are there any other questions, comments, or motions? I'll go to Aiden. Thanks for letting me use your computer.

Aiden Reeder: You're welcome, Erick Jacobsen. With that, I feel like we've gone into this, so I think I'm just going to move to approve.

Aiden Reeder moves to approve

Kathleen Esnaurrizar seconds

Approved 71-6-14

Applause

Spencer Grubbs moves to suspend the rules and move old business timestamp to 7:50

Bridget Pasco seconds

Approved by raised hand vote

NOMINATIONS AND ELECTIONS

NEW BUSINESS

Erick Jacobsen: We have five pieces of new business today. Starting off with R-32-08. Wow this is a long summary. Non-resident undergraduate students at UW Seattle pay \$44,640 in tuition per year - more than three times the \$13,406 paid by Washington residents - while international undergraduate students receive zero institutional financial aid or scholarships from UW. Together, non-resident and international students comprise approximately one in three undergraduates enrolled at UW Seattle, yet are systematically excluded from state grants, most university grants, and need-based institutional aid. UW's sole merit award for non-resident students, the Purple and Gold Scholarship, explicitly excludes all international (F-1/J-1) students and covers only a fraction of the \$31,234 tuition differential. No emergency fund designed for international undergraduates exists at UW, unlike peer institutions such as the University of Michigan and UC Berkeley, which offer automatic scholarship consideration and dedicated emergency resources. This Resolution urges UW administration to establish a dedicated International and Non-Resident Undergraduate Emergency Fund, expand the Purple and Gold Scholarship to include international students, create a centralized scholarship portal for affected students, publish transparent annual aid distribution data, and transmit formal responses to ASUW detailing planned actions within sixty days. Our next bit of new business is R-32-09 A resolution to improve knowledge of artificial intelligence, environmental concerns. There was no bill summary submitted for this. Our next bill is R-32-11, [a resolution to expand access to microwaves on campus](#). With the high cost of eating food on and off campus, warming up food made at home offers a cheaper alternative. This alternative is especially important for commuter students and students living off campus who do not have a dining plan. As such, it is important that microwaves are readily available across campus for students to use. While the difficulty

Commented [JS2]: The original transcription of this was "a resolution to expand my grades on campus"

of installing and maintaining microwaves remains high, providing a way to efficiently find the already existing microwaves is a solid compromise and still makes it easier to bring and eat food from home. This legislation seeks to design a comprehensive list or map available to students that will assist them in finding public microwaves closest to their classes and other on-campus arrangements. That bill was sent to On-Campus Affairs. The AI bill was sent to General Affairs. Next up is R-32-13, a resolution to endorse the return of Tent City 3 to campus. Uh-oh. What? Okay, sorry. This bill endorses a letter distributed by Huskies for Housing that calls on the UW Administration to invite the organized homeless encampment of tent City 3 back to UW's campus. And that bill is in on-campus Affairs. And last but not least, R-32-14, a resolution to withdraw from the Washington Student Association. This also has no bill summary. I think the title is pretty descriptive.

Commented [JS3]: it also changed this to "housekeys" 🗝️

COMMITTEE MEETINGS

On Campus Affairs

- Vice Chair Ruby Santos acted as committee chair in the absence of Chair Blair Yeh
 - Elizabeth McAleer appointed temporary Notetaker/Vice Chair
- Given time to vote in ASUW elections
- Read and discussed R-32-11 - A Resolution to Expand Access to Microwaves on Campus

Off Campus Affairs

- Read part of R-32-14 A Resolution to Withdraw from the Washington Student Association

General Affairs

- Committee Meeting begins at 6:40pm
- Sarah took attendance for Teams, Pierce took in-person attendance
- Autumn Yi here to present her bill: R-32-09 A Resolution to Improve Knowledge of Artificial Intelligence Environmental Concern

- Em Coleman: question about why there is not a bill summary
- We will continue to amend bill next week
- Adjourned at 6:51pm

Academic and Administrative Affairs

- Began reading R-32-08 A Resolution Urging the University of Washington to Expand Financial Aid Emergency Funding, and Scholarship Access for Non-Resident and International Undergraduate Students

ASUW Restructuring

DISCUSSION

- Meeting outside of senate to discuss
- Recap of what was mentioned last meeting
- Differences between the BOD and the Senate constitutions

ACTION ITEMS

- When2Meet filling out

OLD BUSINESS

Erick Jacobsen: We're going to do the attendance vote now.

Teddie Schmitt moves to suspend the rules and move SB-32-03 to the bottom of old business

Collin Saywers seconds

Justin Amaral objects

Teddie Schmitt: I think it's important that the prime sponsor is here to discuss this bill, especially, and we should be able to use all 30 minutes of the time.

Erick Jacobsen: Just to explain that 30 minute time limit, that is per meeting. We'll allocate 30 minutes to it. That's something that we all agree to as leadership, including Joseph, the prime sponsor. So at the end of that 30 minutes, we would table it for a

week and then we get 30 more minutes the next week. The idea is that we can still get to the bill, but not spend our whole meeting on it

Justin Amaral: There are really not that many Senate meetings left and this bill has already been in old business for so long. We're not going to get this bill through today. Joseph is going to have time to come in here at the next meeting and discuss it as well but I think it's important that we at least get started on discussing this bill. Thank you

Erick Jacobsen: With that we are in a period of debate. A period of debate can be ended in one of three ways. Either the objector withdraws their objection, the motion maker withdraws their motion, or someone moves the previous question, which would initiate a vote on the initial motion.

Aiden Reeder: Given the statement that yes, the prime sponsor is not here we are actively wasting time on discussing this, and the fact that the basically paired bill for, that's still in ASUW instruction, which we've not been able to actually fully get to because of how short committee meetings are, I don't think it's a good idea to talk on this quite yet. A lot of this deals with just the institutional things. So even if this is later, we can still get to it. It's not necessarily something that is going to time out. It's just something that we do want to get to at some point when we give proper consideration to it.

Naomi Law moves the previous question

Collin Saywers seconds

Approved by raised hand vote

SB-32-03 moved to bottom of old business

Dylan Bianchi moves to put R-32-14 out of Off-Campus Affairs to the top of old business

Bridget Pasco seconds

Spencer Grubbs objects

Dylan Bianchi: I'm of two minds of this bill. I don't want to miss to be interpreted as me saying we need to like push this through to the finish and approve it and get out of WSA as soon as possible. I think what we need to bear in mind here is that this decision is going to have a, like this is essentially Senate deciding whether or not UW will leave

WSA. And that decision has very large implications for WSA. I think it is most respectful and in the interest of future potential collaborations with WSA or other schools in the event that we do leave, it's best for preserving that relationship. Or if we stay, it's best to preserve the relationship by giving them an answer in a relatively rapid manner and prioritizing it as an item of business.

Erick Jacobsen: I'll go to the objector and then we'll be in a period of debate. As I said before, this can end with a withdrawn objection, withdrawn motion, or a previous question motion.

Spencer Grubbs: I agree with you. I'm also totally, like, I'm not, I can totally withdraw my objection if I like it changed my mind. But I also would like to point out that the committee has not had like any time to look at it at all. And if you think that it would be better handled on the Senate floor, I'd be inclined to withdraw my objection. But that is why I did object.

Abigail Appleyard: I'm the committee chair for the committee that this is in. And to be honest, I don't think it's a bad idea to move it straight onto the floor. I don't think we're going to have any major amendments that, you know, we couldn't come up with here on the floor. And I sometimes I think committee is like not as efficient as it could be as just moving it. So I would support Dylan's motion.

Dylan Bianchi yields to Spencer Grubbs

Spencer withdraws objection

Avery Kirscht objects

Dylan Bianchi: If this is someone else who shares Spencer's previous objection, I would point out that like by moving it to committee, we are not by any means preventing anyone from voicing their thoughts on it. We can still make amendments. You can still share your thoughts on it. We're just kind of deprioritizing that more like intense kind of work session time that committee represents in the interests of I would say acting is a better faith partner with WSA and other campuses.

Avery Kirscht: yields to **Aiden Reeder**

Aiden Reeder: an interesting thing that I want to point out is that, like, I understand in the efforts of good faith, but is there like a detailed deadline that we actually have to meet for this? Because I feel, if at all necessary, we should go through what is our

delineated process, apologies, a delineated process in Senate to actually give this the full consideration, because this is a big ask. And especially between what is on paper here, as we can see, what appears to be financial mismanagement versus what members of the GPSS have come in to say about very good things about the WSA I think there's a lot more that needs to be said, and I would really prefer it to have that intense discussion within committee and then for us to actually get into it on the floor.

Erick Jacobsen: I will take that as a point of information and see if Nandana has any insight on when the bill will be sent to us. Like the bill meaning the money that we would have to pay the dues.

Nandana Jaideep: So there really is no strict deadline. I know presidents in the past years have kind of had their own timelines on when they chose to pay. I think I paid last year's dues this year because last year they didn't do it. But there's no strict deadline. I just think the sooner rather than later because it helps them make their annual fiscal budget for next year. So I think it just us trying to be good partners and help them out in whatever decision we choose to make. Plus, this would also require a change in the bylaws if we do choose to withdraw. And that is something that we'd like to get at sooner rather than later since we're all talking about institutional reform.

Abigail Appleyard: Just kind of a comment about what Aiden was saying. I'm sure a lot of you know, but many of you probably also don't know, we didn't always do putting bills straight into committee. We did use to talk about them. And so this isn't like totally out of the norm for the way that Senate used to be, at least at the start of last year. So I don't think we're really doing anything too crazy by moving it. And if we decided as a group that it really did need more intense consideration, I don't see any reason we couldn't just move it back into committee.

Erick Jacobsen: That is absolutely possible as well.

Avery Kirscht: I'd be more inclined to agree with the initial motion if off-campus had had time to even read the bill. We got maybe, maybe a page and a half into it before we were pulled out of committee. That's not even nearly enough time to really understand the entire scope of it. So the whole reason I objected here isn't just that we can read the whole thing in full. I think Dylan's point is actually really kind of great. We need to make sure that we're working with our partnerships. That includes the committees here in Senate. That is a partner of Senate, that is a subsidiary of Senate, and we have to work

with each other to make sure that our ideas really are the best they can be, which includes the checks that happen in committee.

Justin Amaral: Yeah I'd just like to concur what Avery just said, but also as someone who is in this committee and did look over the bill, this is not just the type, this is not the type of bill you just pass along. This is a bill that requires heavy consideration and a lot of debate on the decision considering the weight of it. And I think that skipping the Committee entirely is not the right decision and that it really does need to be discussed in this important part. Thank you.

Erick Jacobsen: We are still in the period of debate about this motion for the record.

Zoe Love: I was at the general assembly y for the Washington Student Association this weekend and we made changes to the constitution and the bylaws and the budget. So there are issues in the bill that are not factually accurate anymore for what the organization looks like. And I think that's something we could clean up in committee. So I'm very pro having some more time to work on it in committee.

Spencer Grubbs: I think I flipped on my position from earlier. I think because, no, no, no, hear me out. We, and so I agree with the original motion because I think that regardless of anything that we do in committee, there will still be extensive debates on the floor. And I don't think that this is going to be passed today regardless. So I am of that mind.

Dylan Bianchi: Yeah I'd love to hear from WSA people in the room what would be more helpful to them as an organization. So if there's any WSA member or person who's heavily involved in WSA who'd like to raise their hand that I could yield my time to, I'll yield my time to Matthew here.

Dylan Bianchi yields to **Matthew Furey Soper**

Matthew Furey Soper: Yes going back to what Zoe said about the resolution does not have everything in there is actually true anymore. I personally think that it should be heavily considered again. Yeah, that I yield my time

Nandana Jaideep: Ok everybody brings up great points. I'll just say that the only reason I'm pushing to consider this urgently is because that gives us more time to like actually read through it and come back next week and hopefully pass it. I want to mention that WSU Pullman has already withdrawn, so they have given their notice to the WSA and I

would like to tell them one way or another as soon as possible. I also represent ASUW on the WSA Board of Directors, and they had a whole restructuring task force and a bunch of other things that I was waiting for to conclude before I presented this. So we do have a lot of information to give you guys, stuff that they have given to us and stuff that we have from audits done previously. So I'd like to get to it sooner rather than later. But thank you all for your participation.

Erick Jacobsen: Just for a bit of context. The WSA does know that this may happen and they have like in their budgetary considerations, they have like the budget for if ASUW doesn't leave and like a proposal for if ASUW does leave, but they are not operating on any assumption either way.

Dylan Bianchi: Given that WSA folks seem to be in favor of this staying in committee I withdraw my motion.

Dylan Bianchi withdraws motion.

Erick Jacobsen: With that we are moving into our first item of old business, which is OA-32 No, yeah, OA-32-04. We're getting to provide RCSA representative on the ASUW Senate steering committee. Would the sponsors like to come to the front, please?

Collin Saywers reads [OA-32-04 An Organic Act to Provide RCSA a Representative on the ASUW Senate Steering Committee](#)

Collin Saywers: Yeah, this bill, it started after a certain event in steering, which saw a bill get tabled indefinitely. And there was no member of Hall Caucus at that Senate meeting who could have a say in that decision. We felt that The RCSA Director of Government Affairs, they chair a group of senators greater than any of the committee chairs. And as such, they should have a seat at that table where these decisions are being made

Julia Tanner: Okay, unfortunately, this is not a grammatical change, and so I have to make a motion. So I move in line 16 from 2 sessions to three sessions, as it's the last three sessions of ASUW Senate speakers who have been in Hall Caucus.

Erick Jacobsen: And this may be a consideration for the future, but Hall Caucus is not the only like caucus. It's the only one called a caucus, but it's not the only like gathering of senators

Julia Tanner moves to change the reference of number of sessions in which the speaker was from Hall Caucus from 2 to 3.

Avery Kirscht seconds

Approved by raised hand vote

Spencer Grubbs: I would just like clarification on lines 22 to 24, specifically where it says "the Senate committee on steering exercises an unmatched influence on what student advocacy, if any, out of the Senate", which I don't know, that feels back, wouldn't it have, forgive me for being too nitpicky, but I just want some clarification here on, wouldn't it, it's on what advocacy is able to go into rather than what is actually able to come out because that decision rests with board.

Collin Saywers: I believe the intention of that clause was specifically that steering had the power to postpone a bill indefinitely. And since Senate is the opinion-making body of the of the ASUW If they can effectively kill a bill without it coming to Senate, then they're exercising unmatched influence over what advocacy is coming out of Senate.

Erick Jacobsen: Also to add a little bit of context before I move on to Abigail, Senate is the one that makes the final decision on anything. So even if board vetoes a bill, Senate will immediately schedule a vote to overturn that veto and is capable of doing that. And if steering tables a bill indefinitely, Senate is fully able to bring that bill back. I'll go to Abigail and that has happened both of those have happened on multiple occasions

Abigail Appleyard: I don't know if you could tell by how jumpy my hand was, but I have some thoughts about this bill. I don't really think the number of RCSA senators is that relevant because I think it kind of opens this door for like, what about everyone else? You know, does Panhellenic need a representative on steering? Do frats need a, I don't know what the panel and the equivalent for frats is, but do they need a representative on steering? What about Policy majors? If they form a caucus, can they get their own representative? The point of steering is to set an agenda and that just becomes increasingly difficult in when you add more and more people into the mix. If you are speaking and not paying attention to steering or Senate, the vast, vast majority of the time, and this is no offense to Senate leadership, but steering is boring. Like there is not that much going on in steering that is this like great debate. And if you want to come when it is a great debate, it's a public meeting and you're welcome to come and to voice your opinions as well. I think this kind of just like opens a door to things that will ultimately complicate the way that Senate runs. Another additional point I wanted to make is that I have never seen a time where we tabled something in steering, someone brought it up in Senate, and we weren't able to then have a discussion about it. People

decided if we wanted to bring it to – I think the check of steering is that people can move to bring things to the floor in Senate. And I think that's enough of a check to warrant not adding more people to steering for the sake of representation.

Francisco Dojenia: I am generally apprehensive about this legislation and there are points that I talked to you guys about that. And I love RCSA. I was a part of Hall Caucus like during my undergrad for some time. But when you guys rightfully say that the steering committee has large influence over Senate legislation and advocacy I don't think the solution of adding RCSA or having this serve as a precedent for other student organizations that represent specific student interests necessarily solves the underlying problem which you are trying to address by having a seat. Because. It seems like by lifting RCSA up to the level in which you say the steering committee is, you're not really solving why it is in a way above the rest of the Senate, because you're just leaving the rest of the senators at the level that you say that you don't want to be anymore. And again, this doesn't necessarily solve that underlying problem of how can you make Senate legislation unnecessarily be like pigeonholed in the steering committee? How can you make that process more equitable to the other members of the Senate? And this does go into the question, like, who else can be part of the steering committee if they want to send legislation, like the organic act for themselves. Like Abigail said, like, do the Greek life councils, does [OMA&D SAB](#), like, what are the criteria? Because at that point, if we do pass this legislation, worldwide do any of us have to say you can't be a part of the steering committee as well. So I think you rightfully say that there is an unmatched influence of the steering committee over the rest of the Senate when it comes to legislation. But this solution doesn't, in my opinion, adequately address that problem. Thank you.

Kavi Pasupathi: I just want to say I've sat in like for a whole quarter of steering. I've sat through like almost every single meeting. And while there is a certain influence on what gets put onto the agenda for the week and what gets taken off, right? But there also, like Francisco, like Abigail said. There hasn't been a time where if someone disagreed with a bill not being here, they have voiced it. There have been plenty of discussions made, especially last quarter, over whether a bill should be brought up or not in Senate right. And I'm inclined to agree with Abigail and Francisco on the point that opening one door means opening doors for everyone. We can't just open one door and then set like a high bar and be like, okay, now these people can't make it in just because they don't have a certain number of members. And I also don't agree with the unmatched

Commented [JS4]: I don't know what this is I tried.

influence terms. If anything, we're kind of like Discord mods in a way, for the lack of a better term. Right. And that we just moderate. We kind of make sure whatever gets put out is worth the time that Senate takes to review it. And if it's not ready, most of the time, we're told that, hey, go back, work on this, make these amendments, and then it'll be ready for presentation. There has been very, very few instances. And from my own personal, like, kind of work that I've done is that the more people that you tend to put on a board that is meant to serve as just moderators and kind of like, not restrictions, but you know, I think you understand the idea. It becomes harder to do that job. That's when their influence actually starts to grow. Because then you have more of these like specific opinions seated at the table that each kind of have their own agenda, for the lack of a better term. And that's when that influence doesn't go very well if that makes sense.

Erick Jacobsen: Yeah while I head over to Kathleen, I will note that committee chairs are instructed to not consider their opinion in the, any votes and discussions in steering. They are instructed to consider whether they believe a bill has been written and researched to the point where it's ready for the Senate to see it. And this has been the case for three years at least.

Kathleen Esnaurrizar: I was gonna like kind of disagree maybe with that point where I feel like I don't see the problem with having more people like if RCSA slash Hall Caucus thinks that they would want some representation on steering so that way more information gets relied on and if there's a possibility for more people to like if a bill gets tabled and they don't know about it, nobody else recommits it to Senate, then maybe some people on Hall Caucus or on RCSA, they'd be interested in reapplying it. I don't see why having more people and more opinions are a problem if they all understand that they should be moderating it and not just putting their opinions in.

Maggie Schoenfeldt: One of those things that was kind of stressed in this bill is representation on the steering committee. And part of that representation, I think, comes from the senators that you're representing by being on that steering committee. However, Hall Caucus members are members of various committees. So that potentially opens up the possibility for over-representation of hall senators. And that's my main issue because we need to make sure that each senator is represented equally on this Senate steering committee so that the steering committee knows it's ready to go to the Senate. So while I sympathize with the concern, I just think that if we're taking this from

an equitable standpoint, we need to ensure that it's equity for all of these senators present.

Bridget Pasco: I personally am dubious as to whether or not Hall Council has the logistical capability to like manage having someone on the steering committee right now, other than just effectively like, yeah, there's a person on the steering committee, we don't I wish I think it would require like a level of organization that we don't have at the minute politically as a block, which we should get.

Addison Wooster: This is more so a proposal for you guys but also kind of for the Senate in general. Would it be applicable to have RCSA having a non-voting member, but still acknowledged? Just because I think that could, if RCSA really super does want that kind of representation in steering, I think that could ease some of the tension with other groups that might feel not as represented. And that could solve some of the issues of kind of overcrowding the committee or starting issues when it comes to things like attendance and quorum. Because once you start adding more, especially voting members, that can get into the trouble of, you know, how many people are there and, you know, the necessary amounts of hoops to jump through to even have the meeting and have consensus. So, I'm not proposing any specific wording, but I do encourage kind of everyone to maybe think about that as a way to move forward with this bill.

Erick Jacobsen: Is that intended as a question for the sponsors? Ok I will go to Justin.

Justin Amaral: Okay, I think this was touched on by a previous senator, but with what Erick said earlier that these members are supposed to not bring their own opinions into this, I feel like this bill goes directly against that, because what you're saying is you want an RCSA member in there to be able to voice RCSA's opinion on what should and shouldn't go through. And I think that that is ultimately against what the members of steering committee are supposed to do. And because of that, I don't really see the reason why a representative from RCSA would be necessary in those discussions. Thank you.

Abigail Appleyard: Kathleen brought up earlier that part of the goal of this would be to make sure that RCSA is a bit more aware of what's going on in Senate. I'm sorry, in steering. And to that, I would say, yeah, I think that's a great idea, but that can be accomplished with either a non-voting seat like Addison proposed, or, you know, not actually proposed, but mentioned, or just reviewing the very public minutes and

recordings, which if part of your job or your organization would benefit from that, then that's something that you should be doing.

Erick Jacobsen: And Naomi can correct me on this but I was under the impression that the Director of Government Affairs was encouraged to go to steering anyway. Do you have insight on that?

Naomi Law: Yes, we are encouraged to go over the minutes or attend the meetings or both. And I typically go over the meetings because currently the Senate steering meeting occurs at the same time as the executive board meeting, but the executive board meeting is flex, so that can adjust quarter to quarter. And I believe so is Senate sort of flex, more or less, there's high precedent for this for the time that it isn't right now, but the executive board meeting is flexed, so that wouldn't be an issue.

Kavi Pasupathi: I just want to say I think I agree with the point that Addison and Abigail both made. It's that more representation was never the matter, I think. It was just that, like they mentioned, the more votes you start adding on, the harder it is to reach quorum, the harder it is to reach a decision, just in general, right? And, you know, I think it is a good point consideration to have in mind that if representation is what we want, then you know a non-voting seat or other alternatives are a good thing to consider.

Teddie Schmitt: So I want to provide a couple things. I want to provide a little bit of context on when this bill was written. At the time that we wrote this bill, two bills had been killed, basically killed in steering by a vote of 1-0-7 and then 1-0-6, just basically abstained. And so we were thinking about potentially just encouraging students to vote in general. And we went in the process of writing this bill and when we were consulting with Erick and consulting with RCSA, we genuinely considered also doing it as a non-voting seat and we're very much open to that. It was mainly just have a little bit more oversight. Personally, I don't know about you guys. I don't know how many of you actually read the steering agenda when it comes out and you look at it in between what the steering agenda is and the one actually the Senate agenda is.

Erick Jacobsen: If anyone's confused on the 1-0-7 or 1-0-6 thing, basically how our parliamentary procedure works is if you are abstaining, what you're saying is your vote will not, will be counted towards quorum but will not be counted towards the percent. So parliamentarily speaking, that was a 100% vote, even though only one person approved. Jothsna, do you want to talk about clerk reports and why we did that?

Jothsna Sundar: Yeah, as you guys may have noticed since the beginning of this quarter, I've been providing a report of what's going on in steering as a Clerk report. And that was kind of to address like concerns over transparency of like things happening in steering that y'all don't know about, especially because some of that did come to the floor and it was very confusing if you didn't attend the steering meeting because why would you? So yeah, technically the Clerk report is not like in our bylaws, but that is also an option. If anyone has questions.

Erick Jacobsen: Yeah, they've never been done before. They're not required.

ADJOURNMENT

Avery Kirscht moves to adjourn

Elizabeth McAleer seconds

Bridget Pasco objects

Avery Kirscht yields

Bridget Pasco: We're getting progressively close to the end of the school year, and I would like to be able to get things done. We're sort of in the middle of something. Thank you.

Kira McKey moves the previous question

Kavi Pasupathi seconds

Approved by raised hand vote

Approved by raised hand vote

Adjourned 7:33 pm

Minutes Prepared by Jothsna Sundar
Clerk for the ASUW Student Senate
