



Associated Students of the University of Washington

Senate Floor Minutes | Senate Session XXXII

3/31/2026

Location: HUB 250

CALL TO ORDER

5:04 pm

APPROVAL OF SENATE AGENDA

Justin Amaral moves to suspend the rules and move SB-32-04 to the top of old business part 1

Adam Ford: Is a rules suspension necessary if the agenda hasn't been approved yet?

Erick Jacobsen: Technically yeah.

Maddeline Steppe seconds

Aiden Reeder objects

Justin Amaral: I think I should go second because I don't know why I'm getting objected, but I think it's an important bill. And I think putting it at the bottom is so that we probably won't get to it. So I think we should put it at the top or at least in old business part one.

Aiden Reeder: Can I ask a clarifying thing real quick? Does the 30-minute time point stay on regardless of if it's being moved? I think it is important that we do get to it and it's good that we do still have the 30 minute time point on it. There are a number of other statements to be made considering the two other items that are in old business. That's the reason why they're up there is because they are rather pertinent to getting out into more critically, at more critical events, and so I think that at best moving into the top of all business, keeping the time stamp, but there's a lot of other stuff that we do need to get to that I worry that, even as someone who is a supporter of a lot of the stuff on the institutional reform. We will talk in circles, I fear.

Erick Jacobsen: We are now in a period of debate.

Adam Ford: Additionally, the senate bill 32-3 is also technically a companion bill to a constitutional amendment proposal, which has not been brought to the agenda yet. And I think it would be a little pointless to discuss a bill that is largely tied to another bill, which hasn't been finished yet.

Dylan Bianchi: Adam makes a good point about the companion bill. Furthermore, old business part one exists right now for time sensitive bills. This bill isn't time sensitive. To Adam's point, it's kind of the opposite because that bill's a companion to Senate bill 32-04. It's A constitutional amendment that can't be on the ballot until this time next year. So I don't think it makes sense to prioritize 32-3 over legislation that is more pressing than needs to be passed out during spring quarter.

Joseph Riggio: I will quickly respond to the previous point. The institutional reform bill is sensitive to this year because it talks about things that have happened this year and previous years. It's not really a forward-looking bill. The constitutional amendment, if that takes more time, that takes more time. I appreciate the enthusiasm. I really do. ICE response is way more important. Food pantry, there's a really tight timeline on SAF. That's why that's up there. I am aware, don't worry, I'm aware that historically there have been stalling tactics for bills such as this. We're aware of that. But we'll get to it. Don't worry, we'll get to it eventually. With that, I'm going to move the previous question.

Joseph Riggio moves the previous question.

Avery Kirscht seconds

Approved by raised hand vote

Motion fails

Avery Kirscht moves to suspend the rules and remove R-32-06 from the agenda and add a joint resolution to reduce the impact of federal immigration enforcement on student life.

Erick Jacobsen: For context this bill was just submitted as a joint resolution with much of the original content of the R326. So that motion is from the sponsor of both bills. Are there any seconds to this motion?

Aiden Reeder seconds

Dylan Bianchi objects:

Avery Kirscht: It's a stronger front if we come as a JR and given GPSS moves a lot slower than us, it's pertinent that we pass it as a JR now. If not, and we try to do this as a JR later down the road, it can be until mid or late May that we'd be able to get anything through.

Dylan Bianchi: I absolutely support adding this bill. I just wanted to know where in the agenda it would be added. Are we adding it to old business? Are we adding it to a committee?

Avery Kirscht: My understanding is it would move into AAA. And if I need to add that to the motion, I can.

Avery Kirscht withdraws

Avery Kirscht moves to remove R-32-06 from the agenda and add JR-32-05 to Academic and Administrative Affairs.

Naomi Law seconds

Approved by raised hand vote

Adam Ford moves to approve the agenda

Avery Kirscht seconds

Approved by raised hand vote

LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Erick Jacobsen reads the following statement: The ASUW Student Senate acknowledges the Indigenous peoples of this land, and the land which touches the shared waters of all tribes and bands within the Suquamish, Tulalip, and Muckleshoot nations, and the Duwamish peoples, whose land our university currently occupies. It is our role and responsibility as guests to understand how our impact entangles the caretakers.

LEADERSHIP REPORTS| [Erick Jacobsen](#), [Joseph Riggio](#), [Julia Tanner](#), [Jothsna Sundar](#)

ANNOUNCEMENTS

Dylan Bianchi: Hi everybody, I'm Dylan, I'm AAA Chair, but this is actually outside my AAA capacity. I've been helping the student group, South Asians Resisting Imperialism on a Senate bill that would add caste discrimination as a protected class within UW's discrimination policies. If anyone is interested in sponsoring or co-sponsoring that bill, please reach out to me. The group is looking for students of a South Asian background to contribute their experiences to the bill.

Erick Jacobsen: Thank you. And if any of you are writing bills and you want to call for co-sponsors like Dylan did, you are more than welcome. And if you don't know Dylan's email, you can email me. I'll get you to him.

Reea Dureja: Hey everyone, my name is Reea, I'm the EAC co-chair. So as elections are ramping up, we're going to have a lot of forums coming up. So this is the DEI&B one. It's primarily focused on VP, President, and Director of Diversity Efforts. So if you can RSVP, that will be great. I can just have it up for like a quick second if you want to do that. There's dinner provided and then we also have exciting prizes that are just going to come up. Yeah If you attend any of our forums, you get either an opportunity to win football tickets. You can also tour the UW Athletics. We also have Lululemon belt bags that are given by UW Bookstore, and we also have our merch. So please RSVP. This is a QR code for the joint Senate. It's going to be on Tuesday, 5 to 7. in this room on April 14th. So please RSVP so that we have an accurate head count on pizzas. But yeah.

Naomi Law: Hello, everyone. The Living Communities Forum is this Thursday in the Oak Denny Room from 5 to 7. Please come. There will be pizza provided and other fun snacks. There will also be a raffle. So if you RSVP, then you are entered into that.

Reea Dureja: Also, if you really want to see the candidates squirm with not knowing what the questions or the answers are, please come. I would be there to torture them. Please. Thank you.

Skylar Fridriksson: Hello, I'm Sky. I'm the personnel rep for Senate. And I, the personnel committee applications have just opened and Owen has a little speech for me to tell you. So the personnel committee is a committee that meets to evaluate job descriptions, review employee positions, and provide human resources related recommendations to the ASUW Board of Directors. In spring quarter, all personnel committee members will be chairing hiring committees for the different entities they are assigned to in order to

hire 50 plus employees for the following academic year. This committee requires a commitment of one to two hours per week during fall quarter and three hours per week in spring. We meet every Wednesday from 4:30 to 5:30. You will have the option to join all meetings via Zoom, or you can attend in person as well. All members must be able to commit for the rest of the year, and the ability to keep information confidential is crucial. So yeah, if you're interested in hiring a variety of different ASUW positions, yeah, I really recommend you join.

Radcliffe Cushman: Howdy folks. I'm the senator with YDSA and my organization, along with another coalitional partner, is putting on a public meeting to establish like a list of demands that we want to issue the university for a May Day action. That's May 1st for people who know what May Day is. Basically, we're frustrated of how the university has been treating ICE action, has been not bargaining with unions, stuff like that. So if there's issues you're passionate about or you're frustrated with the university for not taking action on, please come to this public meeting. We'll be hosting it on April 9th. That's next week on Thursday at 6 P.m. in Sieg Hall. So that's next to Mary Gates over there. Yeah. Thanks

Abigail Appleyard: I just wanted to say welcome back. Happy spring quarter. For those of you who don't know, ASUW and the Husky Health Center are going to do free STI testing again, strategically placed two weeks after spring break, and I'll let you do the math on why that is. But we are offering free chlamydia and gonorrhea testing for all UW Seattle students, if you pay into SAF, it is entirely free. And it's not like, "oh, your copay is covered". No, this has nothing to do with your insurance. So if you're concerned about your parents seeing this and having questions, it's totally unrelated. These results are 100% confidential. We have a link on the Husky Health website. So if you look up just Test Fest, you'll be able to find it. But we have appointments from, oh my gosh, April 13th through the 17th, 10 a.m. to 2 p.m. and we have about 360 slots total. So certainly a time if anyone needs to come in and get testing, I'm certain you'll be able to find the time. Yeah, so if you need that, look it up.

Pierce Wallbaum: Hello everybody, my name is Pierce. I just want to give a quick campus resource highlight. It's called Student Legal Services. So for those of you who don't know, UW does have an on-campus law firm that is dedicated only to UW students, and it does have attorneys that are able to assist with nearly all legal matters. A few of them are like immigration, housing, traffic, harassment. Basically any legal issue

we can at least assist you with. It is completely free also so you can get one-on-one legal advice from these attorneys as the office is funded through the Services and Activities Fee. So even if you're not sure if you have a case they can help you, it costs absolutely nothing to reach out and schedule an appointment. If you would like to meet with the team, please note that you do have to go to the website. It can be found just by looking up Student Legal Services UW. And online, there's a consultation intake form that you have to complete before meeting with them so there's no walk-ins, but completing the intake form will let us reach out to you and we can schedule an appointment. Thank you so much.

Isabelle Green: So I'm requesting sponsors or co-sponsors for a bill that I'm working on to introduce major advising to the A&O part one part of first year programs. So for those that are less familiar, it's a set of online asynchronous modules that newly admitted students to the university go through before going to the live webinars and A&O part two. The goal of this is to take some of the pressure off of the orientation leaders to explain essentially all of the mechanics of planning for a major and planning out your first year in the two days that you have for A&O, and also to give students that are undecided on their major more bandwidth on what a major application looks like in different fields, what the required classes are, just making sure that students coming in know what their trajectory would look like in freshman year in their own time. So, yeah, if you would be interested in helping support that, let me know.

Erick Jacobsen: And if you don't know Isabelle's contact, I can connect you with her too. While you think of other announcements that you have, I have announcements to make on behalf of other organizations who emailed me. So the Evans, the Next Gen Civic Leadership Corps are putting on an event, Pathways to Public Service from Grad School to Governance. that they wanted us to advertise to senators. April 9th, 4 to 5.30 PM, Parrington Hall. It includes Osman Salaluddin, who is a UW student and state legislator. He's been at our last three legislative receptions, so if you recognize his face, it's probably from there. And then there's a little QR code there, or if you can't access that, here or on the link, then you can contact me. I'll send, I'll forward you their email. Also, the UW Foundation Board is looking for people, a student representative director. If you apply by April, you need to apply by April 13th if you're interested. It says this position provides a student voice in conversations that influence the university's priorities and long-term direction. That's the QR code. It's also on Handshake. I didn't check if it's paid or not. I should have done that

Aiden Reeder: Erick, what is UW Foundation

Erick Jacobsen: Oh my god, what is UW foundation? I don't know how to define it. Can you do it?

Aiden Reeder: I don't know that's why I asked.

Erick Jacobsen: Oh I thought that was like a OK. It's like. Can someone Google it? Because I don't know if I, I don't want to explain it wrong. It uses a lot of like corporate words, so I don't want to like misrepresent it. Is someone Googling that for me? Do you want to explain what UW Foundation is?

Brendan Chang: UW Foundation is like the, basically, it's like an office here on campus that employs what they're called developmental officers or like, they basically go to people or companies with money and say, give us money for things, and here's what you can personally donate to towards the school. There's different funds set up like through our office, we have what's called the RSO donation fund that organizations sometimes donate to. So that's like under the UW Foundation. Through Together UW is kind of like a little bit of part of it. But basically, it's like a bunch of people go out and ask other organizations for money. Basically, is how it works. But like, that's also how they do like donation projects to fund various things on campus. So like the new engineering building that opened up, I think this year, and then like the shell house like reconstruction and like the UW Rec like docks, that's kind of like this, this is, it's their job to like go out and like get help get the funding for that. They also talk, like try to get things for like research and like grants that happen on campus. It's basically like, like a, like a go out and get money for the university types of like office. So yeah, that's pretty much what the foundation is.

Erick Jacobsen: So it's like money. Thanks, Brendan. If you're interested in like fundraising or financial management sort of things, you can apply. Yeah. OK, does anyone else have any other announcements?

FORUMS

NOMINATIONS AND ELECTIONS

NEW BUSINESS

OLD BUSINESS PART 1

Erick Jacobsen: Old Business Part 1. The only bill we currently have in Old Business Part 1 is R327, a resolution to advocate for the establishment of a permanent staff position for the UW Food Pantry. Would the sponsor like to come up to the front plate? Such a long distance for you to travel. Would you like to read this bill out in full for us, please?

Jothsna Sundar reads [R-32-07 A Resolution to Advocate for the Establishment of a Permanent Staff Position for the UW Food Pantry](#)

Erick Jacobsen: For the record, y'all, we in steering decided to put this in old business part one because it's time sensitive. SAF is meeting this Friday and next Friday for a very long amount of time. One to seven, I think, on this Friday. It's six to seven hours. Sorry. Yeah, so a lot. So, but this is meant to be considered before that happens.

Abigail Appleyard: This bill passed favorably 12-0-0 and we had a couple of amendments. The first one was striking lines, sorry, 39 to 45, which I believe was just because there wasn't, that information wasn't necessarily fact checked, but. And then under 54, between lines 54 and 57, we added, I guess, some other clause at the end saying, indicating the need for a permanent stable position to assist in these discussions, just to kind of clarify what benefit the position would provide. And then I think we cut the word strongly, but I don't remember why we did that.

Skylar Fridriksson yields to **Reea Dureja**

Reea Dureja: Hi Jothsna, I just have one question. What's the cost of the permanent staff member? Because I cannot see the link to it. It's not embedded.

Jothsna Sundar: I will check and let you know in a second.

Erick Jacobsen: Pantry Director Professional Staff, 87,120 as the yearly salary with wages and benefits would be the cost. I can leave that up, I guess. Are there any other questions?

Radcliffe Cushman: Do you know why SAF declined in lines? 37 to 38 why SAF declined to fund a staff position like previously.

Jothsna Sundar yields to **Aiden Reeder**

Aiden Reeder: The reason for declining to allocate these funds was because, so SAF sets a permanent increase cap on what every unit can do every year, either 35,000 or 6%, depending on whatever is higher. The food pantry last year asked for a \$70,000 increase which was significantly above and was not at all within the restrictions of what we as SAF had allocated to ensure good fiduciary responsibility. Essentially, we can continue to pay all of our other units in a fair manner. Because of this fact, and that we hadn't seen a lot of the data reports that did come up in the, I guess, in this year's budget request, we had decided not to go ahead and support that. I was in the original talks with the sponsor, and that's actually where 39 to 45 came from, because I was one of the people who was saying, even if we're not willing to fund this, I believe it is important that we do provide some, weigh some schedule for the food pantry to get to that point. But I think that the food pantry has well proved that they are willing to do whatever they need to do to get at permanent staff position because it is just so important.

Erick Jacobsen: And SAF for the record for those of you who don't remember or weren't here for our forum, the other units include Student Legal Services, the HUB, the D Center, Livewell, Husky Health Center, ASUW, GPSS, like a bunch of different things.

Jothsna Sundar: Point of order we didn't approve committee edits.

Erick Jacobsen: We can have questions in the meantime, but for you all to be aware of.

Kira McKey: I just had a question and that was whether it's intended for this position to be held by a student.

Jothsna Sundar: No, the main point is for it to not be a student so that they're like a full-time adult who does not have to like manage classes and like working for the pantry at the same time. Like an example of why would be currently for spring quarter there were issues at the pantry of whether we would be able to be open from like 8 to 5 just because a lot of people had classes on like Wednesday specifically. So there were just like, are we going to be open on Wednesday? Having a permanent staff member would prevent things like that. So no, not a student, hopefully.

Dylan Bianchi: I'd like to speak in support of this bill. I've interacted with the food pantry a bit through my work with Huskies for Housing and also through OGR here in ASUW. And I found it to be both a very important resource for students and a resource that is, as the bill mentions, under increasing demand. So I think we need to be really focused on sustainability of the food pantry going forward. Its usage is likely only going to rise and I mean, it meets like the most basic need students have, right? Food. And so I really strongly encourage us to support this bill.

Erick Jacobsen: Are there any other questions or comments?

Sklyar Fridriksson yields to **Reea Dureja**

Reea Dureja: Thank you. I just have a quick question. Was ASUW like F&B director consulted in this bill? Because I think we have around \$2 million in a general fund. Why can't we fund literally half of it for at least two years so that it gives them a little bit of relief? And we actually get more food options, a permanent staff member, takes the load off SAF, and I think, and we can just have the funding passed this week.

Jothsna Sundar yields to **Aiden Reeder**

Aiden Reeder: Based on my knowledge, I believe that there are some discussions of ASUW assisting the food pantry with various needs, but something like this, which is a reoccurring budget, that that's something that needs to come from a genuine source, not somebody that can provide some. temporary funding of which SAF, at least for the food pantry, is one of the only consistent sources that can offer that.

Erick Jacobsen: To add on to that briefly before I go to the hands, when it comes to ASUW general fund allocations, one of our major considerations in Board is, is this a one-time expense or is this a recurring expense? Because if we budget out of our reserves, then the reserves go down and they don't go back up again, unless in the future we have large gifts from the provost like we did in the past, or we over budget and use less money than we thought. So every consideration is, that \$2,000,000 is a very limited fund, and every decision is made based on is this the best usage for that fund, which this could be, but that's something to keep in mind as well, especially if you are interested in learning more and getting more involved with ASUW and finances.

Justin Amaral: Point of information. We have 2 million dollars in the general fund?

Erick Jacobsen: I don't know the exact number. last I checked, last I heard it was like 1.6 or something, but then it like was lowered and then raised and stuff. But Brendan seems to know more.

Brendan Chang: I'm pretty sure after this academic year, I think it would be close to 700. Yeah, in the general reserves. There's been a lot of spend-downs for various projects, and then also ASUW has seen a record high number of RSO funding requests. I think the funding request has run out of funds like twice, I think, something like that. So there's been a lot of spend down also on the general fund.

Erick Jacobsen: So every meeting I report all of the things we spent the general fund on, including like a bunch of RSOs, a bunch of health projects, that sort of thing. We've given to the food pantry once. I'm also working with the food pantry and Khushi, our finance and budget director, about giving them more funds for a new program and all that sort of thing. Also, for the past three years, ASUW has been in a deficit, and that money comes from the general fund. So, yes, we've been depleting.

Joseph Riggio moves to approve committee edits.

Collin Saywers seconds

Approved by unanimous consent

Kira McKey: I was wondering if we approve this bill, like regardless of whether we approve this bill or not, will SAF be able to allocate this money if it's over the \$35,000 slash 6% limit? Like is that an option at all regardless of what we do with this bill?

Aiden Reeder: Let me pull up the request packet again, but this year's request is within the limits. It is just at the maximum of, which is a request of 38,000, which is within the limits. Like that, that is within the limits. That's the higher one of the two that's allowed. It's just one of the higher ones. And SAF as a general rule, we have been trying to be a little bit fiscally conservative in trying to limit all of our spending so we're not spending over what we need to having to dip into all of our reserves as well. I will say that this is something that we can do and something that the resolution, all it can really do is just strongly suggest. At this point, there is one day until all of the members' initial deliberations would have had to be submitted until we begin talking about them on Friday, but in those two meetings, all of that can be considered and changed.

Erick Jacobsen: Adding a little bit on to that I believe the funding was partially awarded in previous years, so that this would just be the other portion. Is that right, Aiden?

Aiden Reeder: Like I was saying beforehand it was a matter of it was starting to get there, but this wasn't something that we were intending to fully fund until later on down the line. But as I said before, the food pantry has shown that without a doubt, this is something that they need. They've shown both in their presentation and as we mentioned that by having a permanent staff position, this enables them to be eligible for other forms of financial aid which means that that does lessen the reliance on SAF, I guess, in totality.

Erick Jacobsen: Yeah, you can also raise your hand and I can call on

Skylar Fridriksson yields to **Reea Dureja**

Reea Dureja: I appreciate, I didn't know that the general fund was run down apparently, but I'm glad that it is a little bit. And I've seen all the spending that ASUW has done, and I think this is an initiative we should really spend on. I know Erick has been working with Food Pantry for like grab and goes and stuff. I would feel much better if we have like a that clause where if SAF can't fund the full amount, because they're going to have a lot of pressure on them as well, we can support some of it so that the operations don't go bad in case SAF can't fund the whole thing because food pantry's are the biggest initiatives we should fund. We fund travel for huskies on the hill and all that stuff. We fund other things. I think this is something that we really need to fund, and we need to hold our funding more accountable. And we are for the students. We have food pantries for the students, and it should be held more accountable in terms of how we spend our money, and this is a great way.

Erick Jacobsen: So to clarify, non-senators can speak. The way it works, I don't know if I've explained this before. I prioritize senators who haven't spoken before, and then senators who have spoken, and then non-senators. And also, one thing that non-senators can't do is make motions. So if anyone would want to make an amendment on behalf of Reea, to that effect, that would have to be from a senator. And I see a hand, newly registered senator, and once again, student Francisco Dojenia!

Francisco Dojenia: I'm back. Following Reea's idea, I think I move to add an amendment to the language at the end of which would be the new line 71 saying that that in the event that SAF can't, like in the word of this language, that SAF, the SAF

committee can't fully support the funding of this new permanent staff position, then ASUW would work to fund it instead.

Francisco Dojenia moves to add an amendment specifying that "THAT in the event that the SAF Committee cannot full fund the newly establish permanent staff position, then the ASUW should work to fund it to the best of its abilities;"

Erick Jacobsen: Can you send that wording to Joseph so he can reflect it, please? I'm just gonna let this be reflected before we ask for seconds or accept points of information.

Justin Amaral seconds

Aiden Reeder: Point of information. I'm unsure whether this would honestly be a point of parliamentary inquiry, I guess, probably not procedure. I'm not against this per se, but is a resolution something that we can use to charge a fiduciary responsibility to the ASUW to do? I don't know if that can be done.

Erick Jacobsen: We can suggest anything.

Dylan Bianchi objects:

Francisco Dojenia yields

Erick Jacobsen: Thank you for saving me the walk.

Dylan Bianchi: I know I just expressed support for funding the food pantry. In this case, I'm just concerned that the money simply does not exist to fund food pantry. I know ASUW, I believe we are now out of the deficit with the current budget, but that was only a result of substantial cuts. As for the general fund, my understanding, and I could be wrong, so if Brendan or anyone else wants to correct me, it'd be appreciated. But the \$700,000 remaining was like a target that was set to spend down to preserve as a rainy day fund in case like we run a deficit again. And so I, and to, I think it was Aiden's point, the general fund is probably not the best source for hopefully renewable funding, like some of an annual salary that you need to be paid year after year after year. And then of course, within our regular budget, I just, I don't know that we have the money. And it would require more extensive re-budgeting. Other stuff would have to get cut. I just don't know that ASUW has money lying around that we can use on this, which is a pretty big expense

Erick Jacobsen: With that we are in a period of debate. Before I go to these hands, I would like to remind y'all, if we come to a point where you want to end the debate, you can move the previous question, which immediately, if it passes, starts a vote. Or if you think the objector will withdraw or the motion maker will withdraw and you're next in the queue, you can yield to them. And the motion maker and objector are always able to withdraw if they would like to.

Kira McKey: I'm on F&B. I've spent what feels like a million hours looking at our budget and everything Dylan just said is correct.

Spencer Grubbs: I'm not necessarily as familiar with the budget itself but I understand and I support the idea of the proposed amendment, but I would feel more comfortable perhaps if there was specific, this might just be a pedantic thing, but like if there was a specific language saying that it's to supplement whatever stuff. SAF does agree to provide up to in case it's not enough, rather than replacing it entirely. Like, I don't know if just making that more specific because it would be to make it more of a one-time thing than a recurring thing

Aiden Reeder: The way that I see it, I suppose that they've established that at least 38, or at this point, 38,000 would be enough to get there. They get to get their permanent staff position, and that it would be anticipated in future years that they would continue to request more. So perhaps if like, again, I can't necessarily speak in any sort of guarantee for SAF because it is a committee of 11 that we all determined together. But if we didn't fund like, I don't know, 5,000, I again, I don't want to speak for F&B. I'm sure that Kira and Dylan are probably a little bit more aware in terms of that. But perhaps that would be a middle ground is that at least for this, for this to get them to that 38,000, we could match it until such a point that SAF next year would fund that much.

Erick Jacobsen: Before I continue in the queue, if the motion maker wants to withdraw and resubmit a motion that's different and slightly altered, they may, but there's also this thing called subsidiary motions, which can kind of get a little complicated, but you can amend an amendment. And if anyone wants to do that, we can figure that out.

Reea Dureja: While I appreciate being fiscally conservative If you have looked at the funding of this year and how things have been funded, we have spent around \$15,000 on getting New York Times games, 7,000 for cooking. Last year it was \$30,000 because it wasn't renewed on time. We also spent \$20,000 to fund travel for any conferences that

Board Directors attend. If anyone here thinks that that is more important than food pantry, we can have a conversation.

Husna Chepkemboi: Hello, mine is a point of information. If I gather this correctly, this position would be more of a permanent position and less of a student, like employment position. So why would the ASUW have funded if it's not student associated?

Justin Amaral: I do agree that the wording should be changed to be that we'll cover whatever they don't. But when it comes to being fiscally conservative, the ASUW has already, one, had a donation of \$8,000 to the food pantry. So we've already given them money before and helping them fund this position would help them a lot. And in terms of the New York Times games, that was right. The games and the cooking was like around a \$24,000 purchase. So I think when it comes to helping students, we should prioritize them over being fiscally conservative.

Isaac Pasco: While it is true that if we have other priorities, that we should not have like priorities before making sure students get to eat. I think that it might set a rather bad precedent to say, well, we'll cover whatever SAF can't. Without really like putting serious thought into it. I don't want it to be that in five or six years we're still having to do that, because I don't think it's necessarily, like it's not necessarily the job of students to make sure, like the ASUW have to make sure that students like get things that I think that like the university ought to make sure students get, essentially. Thank you.

Isabelle Green: Kind of jumping off what Isaac said here I think that making sure that our more vulnerable students can eat is extremely important to our purpose as a student Senate. And If necessary, I think we should consider funding cuts to some of the more extraneous charges, like trying to bribe people with New York Times subscriptions to vote. Like that's I think we could stand to do without a cooking subscription if a significant number of people don't have anything to cook. So. In terms of the issue of this becoming a recurring standard, I would include, if possible, verbiage in the bill saying that this is as much as possible to be considered a one-time expense and that it's for the additional expense of adding and like onboarding a staff member, but the like regular payments to say the staff member should not necessarily come out of the ASUW budgeting.

Erick Jacobsen: for legal reasons I will clarify that the subscriptions are available whether or not you vote. It is illegal to give someone something in exchange for a vote, even if that vote isn't necessarily for someone in specific.

Spencer Grubbs: I'd just like to clarify that this amendment would be nothing more than a suggestion to the people who are responsible for funding it and they don't necessarily have to go along with it. And then adding on to that, assuming that SAF is unable to like fulfill it up to the highest, like the exact what they are, what the food pantry is asking for, they're still seem, they still seem to be amiable to like fulfilling this goal long term. So any kind of ASUW funds being used for that would ideally be at most a one-time thing in the pursuit of this worthy cause.

Maggie Schoenfeldt: This could have already been stated I'm just not super proficient in finance. So this is a question of the sponsor or someone on the SAF committee, but how much was it expected this would surpass the increase? And then also, I just want to reiterate that it was as stated in the bills language, this would be essentially like a self-funded entity if they became eligible for other funding sources. So the idea that this would be a recurring expense, I could see it maximum two times being an expense, absolute maximum, but it wouldn't necessarily be this recurring expense we're expecting

Jothsna Sundar yields to **Aiden Reeder**

Aiden Reeder: Clearing up a couple things. This is not beyond any increased limit that we have set for this year. This year's is within the defined limit of 38,000. That is what we said. That is fine. It's a matter of whether or not that that is at the max and whether or not SAF will fund all of that. That is something that none of us can necessarily guarantee or control. We can only support. I think that, as I said before, it would be plausible for SAF to, again, they're going to, food pantry is going to ask for an amount, well, SAF would give them, and then food pantry will ask for another one, and SAF, in the very likelihood, would provide them with whatever they need for this year, and further on for next. So I think that at best, it would be a one-time expense, I think. I don't want to speculate, so I'm not going to say a certain number, but it wouldn't necessarily be anything too high. I do want to ask, how is a subsidiary amendment done? Because I think the only thing that I would want to add on to this is that in the event and with the concerns of other people, this is indeed a one-time expense to match specifically the increase not met by the SAF committee this year. How would I do that?

Erick Jacobsen: it is my understanding, and Brendan or Joseph can interrupt me if I start saying something wrong, that you can just make a motion to amend it as a subsidiary amendment, and then we vote on it, and then continue within debate after it's concluded. Yes, I get thumbs up.

Brendan Chang: And it's non-objectionable.

Francisco Dojenia rescinds

Francisco Dojenia moves to add new amendment reading "that if the SAF Committee cannot fully fund the newly established permanent staff position or the SAF does not fund the total cost, then the ASUW should work to fund it to the best of its abilities or the remaining funds that SAF does not fulfill; in this event, the ASUW should consider the long-term fiscal costs of supplementing these additional costs."

Erick Jacobsen: We'll get that on the screen. Are there any seconds?

Collin Saywers seconds

Approved by raised hand vote

Avery Kirscht moves to suspend the rules and push old business 2 to 6:40 pm

Adam Ford seconds

Approved by raised hand vote

Reea Dureja: you can approve the bill afterwards. I just want to say one thing. I appreciate that we are very fiscally conservative. However, ASUW gets \$36 of SAF money that you guys pay for. It is quite literally ASUW's job to make sure all the students are well fed. They're taken care of. That's why this association was made. So that's it.

Adam Ford: In the interest of supporting one of the best services ever provided at this university, I move to approve.

Adam Ford moves to approve R-32-07

Radcliffe Cushman seconds

Drumroll

Drumroll paused for vote count

Drumroll

Approved 85-1-11

On Campus Affairs

Informal discussion on committee bonding

Off Campus Affairs

- talked about bonding
- talked about legislation ideas related to the food pantry

General Affairs

- Committee Meeting begins at 6:25pm
- Sarah took attendance for Teams, Pierce took in-person attendance
- Individual sharing of what everyone did over Spring Break & highlight!
- Adjourned at 6:41pm

Academic and Administrative Affairs

Agenda:

- SB-32-04 A Senate Bill to Amend the ASUW Constitution

Discussion:

- Isaac makes the motion to move the legislation to general meeting because of the time stamp.
- Someone seconded the motion.

Action Items:

- We moved the legislation (SB-32-04 A Senate Bill to Amend the ASUW Constitution) out of our committee into the general meeting

COMMITTEE ON ASUW RESTRUCTURING

DISCUSSION

Differences in Exec Section:

Appointment of the BOE: appointing non voting members, through a three step process through different committees. Was considered a large task by Restructuring.

Different Hiring processes/succession of the BOE

Section 8: substantial edit, makes the power of the BOE on par with the Student Senate (would lessen the amount of hours the BOE would have or heighten the power of the senate). Restructuring was confused about why a specific section about accountability got removed

Feedback for Exec Section:

Information could be repeated or cited back to prior section if brought up prior in the document (ie, mention election process or link it to the section it is at if a piece is mentioned prior)

Senate Appointment Process: naming of it does not reflect the full process, and the process overall is far too long and is a hefty task to expect done in spring quarter. Have it go through two channels: Go through Personnel and the Senate. Change name to reflect new system.

OLD BUSINESS

Dylan Bianchi moves to suspend the rules and move JR 32-05 out of AAA to the top of old business

Isaac Pasco seconds

Approved by raised hand vote

Erick Jacobsen: This was in AAA, so if Dylan would like to come up and if the sponsor would like to come up as well, we're going to joint resolution 32-5, a joint resolution to reduce the impact of federal immigration enforcement on student life. For the sake of time, Avery, would you like to summarize the bill? And also explain any differences between this and R-32-6, please.

Avery Kirscht: Hello everyone. This bill pertains to establishing a more concrete plan for UW response to potential federal immigration enforcement. There currently isn't anything super tangible. We've passed a few things through this body in the past. I just think there could be improvements to that. This was, as Erick was mentioning, R-32-6 previously, we recommitted it today as JR-32-5. The main differences are a few whereas clauses, which incorporate RCWs that are relevant to DEI and immigration law in Washington state, and then two that clauses, which would encourage the administration

to use UW alerts to inform students of federal immigration enforcement activity on and around campus. And then to continue advocacy efforts with OGR at the state and city levels.

Erick Jacobsen: Dylan would you like to explain any committee edits and discussions that were had?

Dylan Bianchi: I'm going to need to remember committee edits we made at the end of last quarter in addition to committee admits we made today. Yeah, so there's a point in the bill, I believe it's line 69. We made a grammatical edit to say Customs and Border Protections to Customs and Border Patrol. Then yeah, there's a point which Odegard was previously referenced as an example. That's a sorry, that's line 149 as a place where you can zoom in. We changed that to any, to buildings after operating hours to be clear because Odegard has a different system than what Avery was referencing here, where you tap your card to enter as opposed to being checked in by a UW employee. And then we added that clause requesting that, this is 178, sorry, that ASUW and GPSS hold at least one collaborative Know Your Rights workshop at least once a quarter.

Erick Jacobsen: Are there any questions?

Teddie Schmitt: I'd just like to make a grammatical edit to add RCSA in front of president-elect in my name.

Addison Wooster: This is also not really grammatical but on lines 178 and 179, the font doesn't match, which is very, I know, petty, but like just for the record.

Isaac Pasco: moves to approved committee edits

Francisco Dojenia seconds

Approved by unanimous consent

Mohammad Motadel moves to approve

Justin Amaral seconds

Ishaan Verma objects

Mohammad Motadel: Before I move to approve the bill, I noticed that nobody else had any questions or concerns, and therefore, I'm open if you have any questions or

concerns. But other than that, I think it's a good time to go ahead with the go ahead and move ahead with the bill.

Ishaan Verma: Yeah, I totally agree I just have one really small thing that I'd really like to add that I can't do if we approve this. So it's like really small, but it was asked that I do it. So I would like to please have the chance to do that. Thank you

Erick Jacobsen: We are now in a period of debate.

Pierce Wallbaum moves the previous question

Adam Ford seconds

Approved by raised hand vote

Drumroll requested

JR-32-05 approved 67-7-10

Erick Jacobsen: Alright, moving on then to the next item of old business. which is the Student Health Fee. R-32-5. Did we have a chance to present committee edits and approve them?

Joseph Riggio reads R-32-5 bill summary

Erick Jacobsen: I will let Jothsna summarize some of the discussions we had prior to break.

Jothsna Sundar: All right, y'all. So last time we started talking about just sort of how the fee would work. The questions were mostly about how the fee could be funded primarily by undergrads and, or sorry, I said that wrong, how low the fee could be and still like completely fund the health units. There were also questions about how exactly the undergrad only fee would work. We struck the clause conditioning funding on, for Husky Health, on providing like the SANE exams. And there were mainly concerns about who would have access to services if the fee is only funded by undergrads or like would grad students still be able to like have free appointments at Husky Health, other things. We haven't made many amendments, a lot of questions.

Erick Jacobsen: Questions or comments for the sponsors?

Abigail Appleyard: I kind of forget if this has come up but when you go to the health center at least, you give them your student number and that's how they're able to track,

like, you know, if you're a SAF paying student at the moment, or you know, potentially if you're an undergrad student who is paying into this. And so I think it would be pretty easy for them to distinguish who is able to get services for, or who is able to get subsidized services and who is not, just because any interaction you have starts with your student ID number, which would have that information. I think it would be kind of a shift to have to tell grad students like, oh, you know this thing that you've gotten for free, "free" in quotes, for many years. It's just you're not allowed to have it anymore. But I think logistically, it would be pretty easy, at least from my understanding, to distinguish between who is allowed to and who is not able to get services subsidized.

Erick Jacobsen: Are there any other questions or comments or any amendments that anyone would like to make? You don't know what to say. You can always say whether or not you support it and why.

Adam Ford: I think based off of what we discussed last meeting, this is definitely a piece of legislation that would be helpful for us to have time where we are much more in it to discuss it more thoroughly. And as we have reached the 7 pm mark, I move to adjourn.

Mohammad Motadel seconds,

Greyson Hedglin objects

Adam Ford withdraws motion to adjourn.

Kathleen Esnaurrizar moves to approve

Teddie Schmitt Seconds

Approved 64-8-13

ADJOURNMENT

Collin Saywers moves to adjourn

Francisco Dojenia seconds

Abigail Appleyard objects to ask about attendance vote

Abigail Appleyard rescinds objection

Approved by raised hand vote

Adjourned 7:08 pm

Minutes Prepared by Jothsna Sundar
Clerk for the ASUW Student Senate
