



Associated Students of the University of Washington

Senate Floor Minutes | Senate Session XXXII

January 13, 2026

Location: HUB 250

CALL TO ORDER

5:00 pm

APPROVAL OF SENATE AGENDA

Kavi Pasupathi moves to approve

Matt Freire seconds

Approved by raised hand vote.

LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Erick Jacobsen reads the following statement: The ASUW Student Senate acknowledges the Indigenous peoples of this land, and the land which touches the shared waters of all tribes and bands within the Suquamish, Tulalip, and Muckleshoot nations, and the Duwamish peoples, whose land our university currently occupies. It is our role and responsibility as guests to understand how our impact entangles the caretakers.

LEADERSHIP REPORTS | [Erick Jacobsen](#), [Joseph Riggio](#), [Julia Tanner](#)

ANNOUNCEMENTS

Erick Jacobsen: We are moving on now to the announcements and public comment period. So if you have any announcements that you'd like to make, or if you're a member of the public and you would like to submit testimony, now is the time. You will have a two minute maximum on your time.

Sonal Virk: My name is Sonal, but I am making this announcement outside of my professional capacity outside of ASUW. If you guys are interested in attending a open forum slash listening session here within the hub next Wednesday from 5:00 to 7:00 PM HUB 214. It's going to be

basically the UW Youth Transition Subcommittee members, which is myself and Rain Thompson on behalf of our newest elected mayor, Katie Wilson. We're going to be having guiding questions that will allow us to talk about the policies that she is currently passionate about and also giving you guys kind of like an open floor and more time to discuss policies that you are particularly passionate about and want to see within the Wilson administration moving forward while she is in office. So if you are interested in. You guys can go on Instagram at YDSA_UW to find the RSVP link. It'll be in the comments, but if you don't have Instagram and you're wanting to go, please find me in the back and I can give you the form to RSVP. RSVP closes tomorrow, so please let me know and yeah, thank you.

Kate Lawson: Hi, everybody. My name is Kate. I'm your Director of University Affairs. I serve on the board. I just wanted to flag for you all that every quarter the Director of University Affairs gets the opportunity to appoint certain students to faculty committees. These students will serve as in an advocacy role on these faculty committees, discussing with faculty various levels of campus policy, things like tri-campus policy, student affairs, things like that. If you have any interest in this, I'm going to be sending a form out to your Senate leadership that they'll provide to you to indicate any policy interests, interests in participating in these faculty councils and it's a really great opportunity to do some active advocacy on behalf of the ASUW. These are quarterly positions, so they'll change every quarter, but once you get into it the first time, you'll have the opportunity to get into it over and over again. So highly recommend you'll be seeing that form out from me shortly and super excited to get working with you all.

Nandana Jaideep: Hello everybody. I'm Nandana. I'm your ASUW President. Happy New Year. So nice to see you all. I love a packed room, but I just wanted to give a couple announcements. I don't know if you guys saw my e-mail today, but just I want to advertise that we're doing free pregnancy tests sponsored by ASUW and on January 20th we have our launch party. I worked on this project with our amazing Director of Programming, Grace Clarke, and a couple RSOs, We Care and Huskies for Reproductive Freedom. So we're gonna have multiple distribution sites where you can pick up these tests for free, no questions asked. We're gonna keep rolling out those locations as we get access to more of these, we'll keep ordering them and refilling them. So just something I want to make sure everybody knows about. And we all obviously still have our grad prep books available at the ASUW office. So if you guys are thinking about graduate school and you want an MCAT, LSAT anything prep book, come check that out. In addition to that, we're currently hosting a food drive from the 12th to the 20th. There's prizes involved, check it out. We're trying our best to combat food insecurity, so let us know if there's any other ideas that you guys have. Me and Erick are kind of working on something right now as well, but we'll keep you guys updated. Also, this is your last chance to RSVP for Huskies on the Hill, so make sure you guys do that. It's in February. It's my favorite ASUW event every single year so that's something. And finally we have hirings that are open on Handshake right now. So if you are not graduating and you would like to work in ASUW, it's a great way to be involved, have an on campus job, go on Handshake. We will keep rolling those out as we keep opening them. So keep your eye out for that. But yeah that's all I have for you today. Thank you.

Erick Jacobsen: And can we line up at the mic now that it's working? Thank you, sorry.

Kaitlyn O'Connor: Hi guys. My name is Kaitlyn. I am the ASUW Office of Government Relations Community Organizer. Legislative session has started. Yesterday was the first day of legislative session. Legislative session moves very quickly and as bills arise our office chooses what to lobby for based off of our legislative agenda, you guys passed. So we have a couple different sign in pro opportunities. So those are the top two House bills and signing in pro just shows that you are supporting the piece of legislation that really helps with committee, when they meet in committee and then that gets moved forward. So we like to show student support. So if you want to scan those QR codes, it is very helpful. Our efforts are not complete and we are not able to do the work that we do without you guys. And then at the bottom is Husky stories and so every year we put together. A little booklet full of Husky stories. It's personal lived experiences, personal stories that we then distribute to the legislators. It creates a much more impactful lobbying experience and allows them to actually hear different stories from different people that have actually been impacted by different aspects of the legislative agenda. There's also my e-mail if you would like to testify or if you are interested in giving virtual or in person testimony. So please shoot me an e-mail if anything on the legislative agenda speaks to you, if you want to come to Olympia and yeah, as Nandana said, Huskies on the hill. Please RSVP. I know a lot of you in this room are coming, but yes, thank you.

Erick Jacobsen: I'm gonna give y'all some time to scan those QR codes. All right. We'll move on to the next announcement, and we'll make sure that if you haven't been able to fill out the QR code, feel free to come up to us at one point so we can help figure that out. Or we'll send out some more information as well. Go ahead, Reea.

Reea Dureja: Hi everyone my name is Reea I am the ASUW Elections Co Chair. You're going to see me a lot here during election season, I just want to point out sort of events EAC is hosting. I know election season is very tricky and we have gotten a lot of criticism in the past about it not being accessible, people not knowing about the fact that we have candidacy open. So this is just a way for us to make sure that you guys know what's happening. On January 30th, we have our info forum. We're going to have a lot of snacks, a lot of knowledge, and the board members that you saw today are also going to be present there to talk about their experience on the board. On February 6, we have EAC, Senate and GPSS, which is the Graduate Professional Student Senate. Hosting an event together, we're going to have a lot of arts and crafts. And then on February 12th we have JCC coming in. We have entities from JCC like Queer Student Association coming in. We're going to have a lot of arts and crafts, maybe slime, we'll see. But there's going to be snacks in every single one of them so please RSVP for the event. If you RSVP, then you are entered in a draw to get one of EAC's merch, which is a tote bag, a T-shirt or a bottle. So we're giving away a lot of merch and a lot of coupons. So please RSVP. Thank you.

Bryce Scholten: Hello ASUW Seattle, My name is Bryce Colton. I'm the speaker of the ASUWT Senate and the Senator for Politics, Philosophy and Public Affairs. Nothing I say here today is a reflection of ASUWT's beliefs or ASUW Seattle or ASUWT Senate's beliefs, but rather what I have experienced through my lived experience within ASUWT. I'd like to bring to your attention ASUW

Board Bill-601 Addressing the Misrepresentations of the Intercommunications by Vice President of ASUWT. This bill should have been an email to President Watkins, the ASUWT President and whoever is responsible for cross campus communications on the ASUW Board of Directors. By addressing President Watkins as the ASUW Vice President, the ASUW Board of Directors has ignored our Senate's decisions and actions, which we find extremely disrespectful and inflammatory to both ASUWT and our Senate, I'm asking you to suspend the rules and add JR.32-2 to to your agenda today so that these issues can be addressed and the extremely damaged tri-campus relationship and health can be restored. Thank you for your time.

Zaid Naamat: Hello ASUW senate. I currently serve as the pre-major senator of ASUWT. Firstly, I want to thank everyone for the giving me the chance to speak today as I'm here to talk about issues happening between ASU Seattle and and ASUWT. I'm not here to speak on behalf of a ASUWT or my students I represent, but rather the internal issues I personally witnessed since my term has started. Following former ASUWT President Elias Feleke's removal, the ASUW Board of Directors has taken an interest in the internal operations of a ASUWT and it has expressed strong support for the former president appearing at Senate and Executive Directors meetings to support comments made by and in support of the former ASUWT President. At the same time, the ASUW President has spread false misinformation. Sorry, has spread false information about the ASUWT President, Ray Watkins, implicating them in illegal activities and addressing them as Vice President. I'm asking you to suspend the rules of order and add JR-32-2 today so that further action can be taken and we can all begin to restore tri-campus health. Thank you.

Teddy Schmidt: I'm a part of seed and also a GAVEL intern, and we are hosting a forum about the sustainability credit that has been passed last year. And yeah, this is the information for it. We hope you guys can come. There's going to be a raffle and free food. I'll give you all a second to fill out that QR code as well. This was a resolution that we passed last year and our GAVEL committee is now following up with it. We're working with the UW RSO Sustainability Education Initiative and RCSA's Students Expressing Environment Authentication Group. So pretty cool.

Joseph Riggio: Do we have a QR code? Oh my gosh, you predicted it. Incredible. So hi y'all. Famously, when I'm not the Vice Speaker, I am also the Planning Committee Chair for the Student Health Fee. So again, I just want to tell you all that we had a lot of positive feedback from departmental advisors. They're like, yes, we want to make sure that students promote this survey. The provost's office even reached out and was like, yes, Joseph, we want to help you guys promote this survey. The problem was the survey closed on January 19th. So we talked with health units and whatnot, and we made a decision to get a representative sample, we only have 70% of the needed 10%. I know that's weird. So 7% of the student body has filled out or the undergraduate student body has filled out the survey so far. For us to do anything with the data, we need to hit that 10% mark, that's just a standard sample for most surveys for scientific consensus. So please, please reach out to people. Tell them that February 4th is the new closing date of the of the Student Health FEE Survey. So if you can distribute that QR code, if you're feeling like Joseph, I want to talk to like faculty and people about this. I want to spread the word. You can contact me via my Senate e-mail

and I'd be happy to help you guys out. All right. Take the Student Health Fee Survey. It's right there. It's a QR code. I know pretty much all of y'all should have done it anyway. Bye.

Erick Jacobsen: I'll leave it up for like 5 seconds and then we will go to our members on teams.

Walon Menzia: I'm testifying today to strongly encourage the placement on the agenda and consideration of JR-32-2. This joint resolution seeks to pursue accountability in a tri-campus fashion for the deeply concerning trends and behaviors of the ASUW Board of Directors to consolidate power, which have had real impacts on other campuses of our university and the public image of the University of Washington as a whole. You have the power to restore the faith and trust in our collective organization and student government by exerting your power as the Senate to hold those responsible, responsible. Let me be perfectly clear. The most important thing you can do to represent your constituents is to hold those in a power accountable when they are doing something that will harm our institution. The time to act is now. I thank you for your consideration and stewardship of fair democratic government. Thank you.

Naomi Law: My name is Naomi Law, I'm just announcing that Emails for Empowerment, the RCSA program that I started in autumn quarter is up and running again this quarter. It's a quarterly Initiative to get people to be reaching out with their elected officials more. So the idea is that you write an e-mail to an elected official of whom you are a constituent for, and then you get entered to win a gift card at the end of the quarter. And this happens every quarter. So this is just winter quarter is open now and you have a chance to win the gift card. And then there's more details on the RCSA website. Thank you.

Brandon Elliot: Hello everyone. My name is Brandon. I'm the Director of Legislative Affairs for ASUW. I just wanted to share with you all that Huskies on the Hill RSVPs are going to be closing this weekend and I want to encourage you all to apply and reach out to me if any of you guys are interested in being group leads. Now that'll be all.

Erick Jacobsen: Are there any other announcements? This can be an RSO event. This can be something you're doing with ASUW or anything.

Husna Chepkemboi: Hi guys, I'm part of the RCSA Senate's leadership position. I represent Terry Hall and we were discussing about adding more lighting on campus. If you have time after the Senate, can you please tell me which areas that are like really dark and you want more lighting. Thank you.

Ben Brown: My apologies for I just wanted to quickly make a comment. Good evening. My name is Ben Brown. I am the now former Director of Legislative Affairs for ASUW Tacoma. Here tuning in on teams to urge support for JR-32-2. I believe it is extremely important at this time to hold ASUW Board of Directors accountable. During my time with ASUWT, I was witness to multiple instances of ASUW Seattle's President, both sitting and former, attempting to undermine the efforts of ASUWT in representing their own students. As the Director of Legislative Affairs last year, I was part of the effort to officially establish Huskies on the Hill as a tri-campus legislative and planning

event because of internal and personal conflicts within ASUW Seattle or within ASUW. ASUW's previous president refused to sign the MOU. This forced Bothell and Tacoma to set aside this effort due to disorder in ASUW's own administration. I believe it's also important to note the instances that have already been noted by my former colleagues with our current administration and the disrespect that has been shown towards the current sitting ASUWT President Ray Watkins. That is all with my comment.

FORUMS

Erick Jacobsen: With that, we are moving on to our public forums and we only have one today, but we do have one, which is the Services and Activities Fee forum. Haley and Aiden, would you like to come up?

Haley Chee: Hello. So today I'm Haley, I'm the Chair of the Services and Activities Fee Committee.

Aiden Reeder: I'm Aiden, I'm the Vice Chair and also your Senate liaison.

Haley Chee: And we're here today to just to talk to you all a little bit more about the Services and Activity Fee Committee and what we do and where your money's going. So first, a little bit about us. I'm a fourth year undergraduate majoring in biochemistry with minors in chemistry, bioethics and Middle Eastern languages and cultures. I'm a former ASUW Senator. I was the Terry Hall representative and then I was the AAA Committee chair. I'm the former SAF Vice Chair and this is my second term as SAF chair and in my free time I enjoy baking and D&D and playing video games.

Aiden Reeder: Hi everyone, I'm a third year under my gosh. Hello everyone. I'm a third year undergraduate. I am triple majoring in biochemistry, neuroscience and microbiology, double minoring in physics and chemistry. I was the former ASUW Senate Oversight Chair before it dissolved, went poof. I currently work as a research assistant in a chemical engineering lab. Not super creative, but I also I like cooking and I also like D&D and I like sailing. Additionally, I just want to point out the image over on the yeah, it'd be the right. That's an image of me and my roommate after someone pulled the alarm at I think 12 in the 12 in the morning during finals week, I think last spring. It was terrible.

Haley Chee: OK, So what is SAF? Can I see raised hands? Who knows what SAF is already? OK, OK, we're doing pretty good. OK, so the Services and Activities fee is a student levied, student distributed fee to support and enhance the out-of-class experience of students at UW. It provides ongoing operational and capital funding for programs which protect and enrich the cultural, emotional, intellectual, physical, and social well-being of the student. That's a lot of words to say that it's money that students are paying that students distribute to various services on campus that are not directly related to classes. OK, so the committee structure, there's a committee of 11 students and if you want to join SAF applications usually open up in May on the ASUW side. So there are six students appointed by ASUW and five appointed by GPSS who can vote. Everybody's doing a really

good job this year. I'm very impressed by how things are going so far. There's the chair who we elect in spring. That's me and the Vice chair, Aiden. We also have student staff. So occasionally on Handshake, if you look, there's positions for staff to be the organizing director or the technology coordinator. We also have two non-students who serve on the committee. Currently the representative from the Office of Student Life and a representative of the Office of Minority Affairs and Diversity. So these are a few of the things that we fund so that you all are funding. So that includes ASUW with about a \$1.5 million budget. There's the Campus Sustainability Fund, the Counselling Center, the Q Center. We could go all day if I listed all of them, but there's just a lot of things that we are doing with your money. And so you know, you should be taking advantage of your resources because these are things that you are already paying for.

Aiden Reeder: Yeah, I know you can see here, you know, again, this is all publicly available information, but these are like our last year allocations for each of the each of our units, it ranges pretty widely. I think our smallest one was it, but it was the disability center. That ranges all the way up to again, Husky Health, which is our largest unit here. So it's there's a lot of money that you guys all gather together to pay. So please again, use the services. Again, this is just a breakdown of again, this stuff you guys pay. So you can see there's UPASS, STF, all that stuff that's not actually us. You have the actual Services and Activities Fee, and you also have, OK, Sorry. The fees to pay for our capital bonds for other projects that we have approved previously. Generally it's the allocation process. All of the units we meet with the units at the beginning of the year kind of going like a field trip is what we call them. And after we've we've gotten some preliminary data, we will request budget packets from each of these units. They'll give us like detailed line, line item, not super detailed line item, but enough for us to have an understanding of what they're trying to go for, what projects they're working on, how their cost is going for like personnel for various other events and then we will deliberate that first two meetings of spring. And then from there, we'll send that out to the Board of Regents.

Haley Chee: So just some of the implications of a potential health fee. I've been working with Joseph on the student health fee stuff. Yeah, except I'm doing it from my position as SAF chair. So Husky Health, the Counseling Center and Live Well receive a combined over \$9 million from SAF. What has been proposed to potentially happen if a student health fee were to be put in place was that there could be a potential phased increase in funding for the non-health units as well as potentially new units coming in and being funded by SAF. New units are kind of something that we're considering all the time anyway, but that's there would just be more potential there for that in the future. Are there any questions or comments? That QR code is for our website.

Sunny Price-Huish: I'm just going to go ahead and speak. I have my hand raised, but I have a question about if the healthy fee was to be passed. You talked about just adding units and reallocating that fee. Have you guys talked about decreasing the fee to decrease the burden?

Haley Chee: That's not something that the committee has discussed, and that's also something that would not be decided by this year's committee. We only set the fee for the next coming year, so we'll set the fee for the 2026-27 school year. This health fee wouldn't. If proposed the way it is proposed

right now, the timeline would be that it would be implemented in fall of 2027. And so that is not something that we are currently thinking about super, that's not our main priority right now. It's kind of crunch time in terms of everything else that SAF has going on. Do you have anything to add to that?

Aiden Reeder: The other thing that I would just add to that is we might go over this, the other thing we have to consider is that it's very hard, we we can always decrease the fee, but it's very hard to raise it once we have decreased it. By state legislature, we can only increase it 4% from what it was. So decreasing it is something there and it would be hard to increase it again.

Haley Chee: And that 4% increase is something on the legislative agenda. So take a look at that.

Sunny Price-Huish: Yeah, I have another question. If the health fee doesn't pass, how will you guys take the feedback of if students want more funding to these health units and implement that into the budget for the rest of this year, if that is something that we see from the data being reflected?

Haley Chee: That's something that we will discuss if we get to that point. I will say that we have, you know, there's only so much more funding that we can give our other responsibilities to other units, but that's something that we will be talking about in committee when we, if we get to that point and SAF meetings are open to the public.

Erick Jacobsen: Can you share when they are and where?

Haley Chee: Fridays 1-3 in HUB 303.

Brian Kim: I wanted to ask how the ASUW is doing with its budget process. I am tracking that it's possible the ASUW budgeting process maybe or request might differ a bit differently. So I was kind of curious like. What's happening with that?

Haley Chee: Could you clarify your question?

Brian Kim: So to clarify I was just kind of curious about where. I guess just how ASUW is doing regarding its budget process like is there any like specific like process or different way ASUW kind of gets their budget processed or?

Haley Chee: That's not something I am necessarily privy to. I'm, our role is not to handle the budget processes of every unit. It's more to take the information that they give us and then use that to make decisions. So if you want more information, I would recommend reaching out to Khushi, who's the ASUW Finance and Budget Director. Yeah, but student governments traditionally do get a little bit more time to submit just because of the various boards that they have to pass for their budget information.

Erick Jacobsen: I'll just quickly add that ASUW's budget process, we're currently in the stage of our entities. Basically every part of ASUW including Senate are sending our preferred budgets to Khushi, our Director of Finance and Budget. Those are then reviewed by our Finance and Budget

Committee, which we have two representatives on one of which is a JCC senator and one of which is a senator elected from the floor. And then it goes to the Board of Directors for final approval before being sent to SAF.

Joseph Riggio: Sorry if you already covered this, but if you wanted to get involved with Services Activities Fee Committee and you wanted to join the committee, what's the sort of process for that? What's or the process of relationship? Did y'all ever cover this already? Sorry.

Haley Chee: Very lightly but so you can join SAF either by, you know, there is traditionally a Senate liaison to SAF, which is Aiden this year, elected spring quarter. Yeah, spring quarter and then ASUW appoints at-large members as well. That application typically opens up in spring quarter as well. And typically I think Khushi comes and announces it. But yeah, so it will be open sometime in spring quarter for you to join next year.

NOMINATIONS AND ELECTIONS

Erick Jacobsen: Alrighty. And now we are moving on to nominations and elections. And before we get to that, let me just note committee chairs, committee meetings are not on the agenda. I forgot to announce that earlier. In steering, we decided to remove them from the agenda so we can have more time to focus on old business and the elections. Primarily because there's been no new legislation for quite a few weeks and we didn't feel like spending 5 minutes taking attendance and then maybe 5 minutes more of actual talking was sufficient and of the best use of everyone's time. So in case you're curious about that or confused, that's the explanation. But moving on to the On Campus Affairs Committee Chair election, this is basically one of our committee chairs. You will chair a committee. You will attend the Senate Committee on Steering, which are Mondays from 4:00 to 5:30 PM. Sometimes we only go to 5:00 PM and guide discussion within your committee. This is, you are paid \$500 a quarter proportional to the amount of meetings that you attend, but I will now open up nominations. Are there any nominations? Anyone want \$1000? I will ask three more times. Are there any nominations? With that, the nominations period is closed and moving on to the elections portion.

Adam Ford: Hello, everyone. Good evening. I'm Adam Ford. For those of you who don't know me, I'm a junior history major representing Hansee Hall, and I hope to get to know you more throughout the quarter. Thank you.

Shuchitha Ajmera: Hello Everyone I'm Shuchitha Ajmera. I'm a freshman, I'm from India and my intended majors are stats and AMath and I'm very grateful to be considered for the On Campus Affairs Committee Chair.

Blair Yeh: Hello everyone. My name is Blair and this is my second quarter as an active ASUW Senate member. I intend to major in political science with a minor in German. I'm always looking to fill gaps in my schedule with things that I'm passionate about, including being an active ASUW Member in ASUW. I actually got into a car crash last week, so I'm really nervous right now. I'm like

shaking, so I have everything written down. So I'm sorry if it seems like I'm not like giving you guys attention. Thank you.

Riley Shaw: Hi, I'm Riley. I'm pursuing political science. OK, and I'm a member of the on-campus committee.

Erick Jacobsen: All righty. Stay up here, please. Sorry. And you will have one minute to answer the question. Would you like me to repeat it? I don't think I said it yet today. OK, go ahead. One minute.

Riley Shaw: Throughout high school and college, I have worked in both retail and customer service. While upholding a legal ship position as a key holder, I have gained the skills of communication on I'm sorry, communication. I'm so sorry I have this written and I was like a little nervous if you can't tell and time problem solving which would help me as a committee chair. I'm an On Campus resident. I have first-hand experiences with HFS while living in an LLC. While living in an LLC, I have gotten to experience how impactful one's living environment can be and the importance of community. While also being in Senate, I have learned how to dissect legislation and guide discussions based on it. I would help the committee into a logical framework while that furthers the argument and ensure as a possible solution. I would use my experiences to help guide the on-campus committee to improving campus life. While keeping students as my main priority, I would strive to hear as many student voices as possible while being an advocate for all. Thank you.

Blair Yeh: I've spent my time here so far on campus, making sure I get into as many positions as possible to leave a positive impact on student, residential and campus life. I'm currently your Vice Chair for the On-campus Affairs Committee, as well as a member of our GAVEL Committee and one of the ASUW Senate representatives for Alder Hall Council. I'm super passionate about student life here On Campus and I believe obtaining these positions has allowed me to have immediate impact. In Gavel, I oversee legislations like R-31-11 working with HFS to improve temporary housing conditions, R-31-17 looking to significantly lower campus parking costs for students and R-30-5, which intends to address the disparities in Student Health insurance that international students face. As one of the ASUW Senate representatives, I act as a voice here in Senate for those in my residential hall, making sure the perspectives of those in my hall are recognized. As of now, I might not have as much experience within ASUW as other nominees perchance.

Erick Jacobsen: That is your time I'm so sorry. We'll move on to Shuchitha.

Shuchitha Ajmera: Yeah, back in high school, I have led multiple student teams and initiatives that reached hundreds of students, like organizing mental health awareness campaigns for over 100 students and running community engagement drives that impacted over 150 residents. And also designing digital programs that educated more than 5000 teenagers. These experiences have taught me how to listen to different perspectives and turn ideas into actions. If nominated, I would ensure that our committee's discussions are fair and focused and that every decision benefits our campus community. Thank you.

Erick Jacobsen: All righty. And Adam, you have one minute. Would you like me to repeat the question? The question is, what experience do you have that will help you guide discussions over legislation related to residential life, RSOs and other campus, other aspects of Campus life? Whenever you're ready.

Adam Ford: I'm running for this a chairmanship for the same reason that I represent Hansee Hall, specifically in Student Senate, which is that it is an underrepresented niche in student life. It's one of the smaller halls on campus, and as a part of that, I go to hall caucus meetings each week, which is kind of like Student Senate, but specifically for hall senators. And as a part of that, I bring to the table all the things that usually get overlooked in terms of the student experience by HFS and how to remedy that. And as I speak, we're creating task forces in there right now as specifically for like amenities or for lighting on campus. And my goal is to bring this same desire to represent overlooked niches represented best by these hall councils and RSOs into discussions relating to legislation for On Campus affairs. Thank you.

Erick Jacobsen: Thank you. And you can stay up here. Also, I'm so sorry, candidates, I just saw that I put 90 seconds for the question on, so you'll have an extra 30 seconds for the conclusion. If you ran out of time for your statement, you can add on to it. I'm so sorry. Again, we'll start with Adam. You have one minute.

Adam Ford: Like I said, my experience living in Hansee and how it's been overlooked has informed how much I value increasing the visibility of said overlooked groups and how I would see and how I would use that value to be a good chair for a committee full of people dedicated to that exact pursuit. Thank you.

Shuchitha Ajmera: Yeah. In conclusion, I am dedicated to making every voice on campus heard. I want to turn our ideas into action, ensure that our committee creates real impact that affects people in a good way. Together we can build a campus community we're all proud of, and I would be honored to serve as your On Campus Affairs Chair

Blair Yeh: Becoming Chair for the On Campus Affairs Committee is the perfect next in my journey and I would love to serve the student committee from this role. I'm prepared to take on any extra responsibility and would be thrilled to be given this opportunity and keep making a meaningful contribution. To the student body here with ASUW, thank you and I hope you'll help me for this position again. I'm sorry I've been reading off the screen. I'm still concussed and I can't think right now. Thank you and I wish everyone else luck.

Riley Shaw: Being on On Campus committee chair, I strive to make On Campus a place for all students. Thank you for your time and I hope to have your support. Thank you.

Drumroll commences

Blair Yeh elected On Campus Affairs Committee Chair

Erick Jacobsen: Moving on then to the Undergraduate Transfer Student Advocacy Committee Elections. We will have nominations and elections. This committee is basically what it says on the agenda. It is undergraduate transfer students. It is not only open to transfer students, but our question relates to advocating for transfer students. Are there any nominations? Are there any nominations? I will ask three more times. With that, the nominations period is closed and Matt is elected via acclamation. Congratulations, Matt. Round of applause

Matt Freire elected via acclamations

NEW BUSINESS

OLD BUSINESS

Erick Jacobsen: entering old business, our first item of the day is Organic Act 32 to an Organic Act to establish a select committee tasked with reviewing constitutional amendments. Joseph, if you'd like to come up here.

Brian Kim moves to suspend the rules and add JR 32-2 to summary of new business and general affairs committee.

Liam Knochen seconds

Dylan Bianchi objects

Brian Kim: I just figured I'm making this motion because at least me personally, I'm curious to see and learn exactly what is going on. And I also speak from personal experience where if you allow leadership officials to get away with certain decision making processes and actions. Is just gonna transpire into something bigger. So I'm not trying to take sides or anything, but I'm more saying I want at least facilitate some sort of dialogue to get a better understanding of what's going on.

Dylan Bianchi: Hi everyone Dylan chair of the AAA committee. I just want to clarify this bill was put into steering. It's been put through the standard process. It has been considered under the rules that every other bill is considered under. The difference with this bill is it contains multiple, like several instances of demonstrably false information. It's most substantive THAT clause establishes a committee that already exists. And among other things, the serious accusations leveled a member of our elected board were not checked by talking to members of our elected board. This is not the cabinet of the United States. Like they have office hours. You can go in, you can meet with them like they're very accessible. The authors of this bill did not do that. This has been tabled for one week by steering. The authors have been given an opportunity to write up some amendments so that they can maybe make some fixes, remove false information. But I guess that wasn't good enough. All right, the standard process is in play here. There is like this sort of thing will take time and so I'm going to encourage everyone to continue to maintain our standard process because again, this bill

contains false information. Its most substantive clause establishes a committee that already exists and it is poorly, if at all, researched.

Dylan Bianchi moves to previous question

Joseph Riggio: Point of Order we're not in a period of debate that was his objection.

Erick Jacobsen: We're now in a period of debate.

Joseph Riggio: Thank you. So Dylan is correct. This was I want to just provide a bit more additional context because there's been new developments. Oh God, I didn't think I'd be doing this today. OK, so as Dylan said, yes there was a back and forth between AAA Committee chair and myself. I'm a co-sponsor for this bill. I've been helping advise it and provide legal advice and whatnot. So I am in the know about this. I'm going to be transparent about that. However, during steering, I do want to add that after deliberations about the intentions and practical implications of the JR between myself and the AAA Committee chair, the AAA Chair Dylan then moved to table the JR for one week so that the sponsors had time to make amendments, which is fair. I objected stating that the amendments and the research questions can be addressed during the committee meetings, since that's typical for bills that are incomplete but still substantive. After a period of debate among the sponsor, the AAA chair and myself, the committee moved the previous question, ended debate and voted to table this joint resolution at a resounding 0 to one to five votes. That is 0 yeas, one nay or sorry, it was one to zero to five is 1 yea, 0 nays and then five abstentions. So that means the AAA chair was the only person that voted yea on the motion to table and thus we tabled it for one week. So I would encourage in this open debate, period of debate, not open debate, sorry, that other committee chairs, if you've abstained and you're continuing to abstain, that's totally fine. But I would like to hear your input on this too. Just clarifying a bit of procedure because that may be a little confusing.

Erick Jacobsen: Just clarifying a bit of procedure because that may be a little confusing. That motion does still pass. Abstentions are not counted towards the percent voting for because that abstention is saying that you don't mind either way or you have no opinion. So that was still unanimous approval. It looks a little weird, but it was still a unanimous approval. Are there any comments? We're still in a period of debate.

Elizabeth McAleer: I recognize that this is completely my fault, that I've not been keeping up as diligently as I could be with like steering and emails, et cetera. But this is the first that I'm hearing about any of this, and I am very confused and I expect that I don't speak for just myself. It was stated that this bill is meant to create something that already exists. So I would like to know why this bill was created, what its intentions are, what's going on. Thank you.

Erick Jacobsen: Yeah, you didn't miss anything. We have not sent out any information about this bill yet.

Addison Wooster: I also essentially agree with that comment. I just wanted to say looking at the bill under line 34, it says quote "something about Tri campus stuff and representation that's supposed to

be happening.” I'm not making any judgments about what this bill is about or people's grievances. I like probably most of you know nothing about what is happening, but this bill is clearly not ready to be viewed by the Senate, and I don't even know if it could qualify being viewed as a committee before this kind of more basic stuff is, you know, worked out so. I just want to comment that.

Kavi Pasupathi: I was there during the steering meeting. I did abstain from passing any judgment on this, but I do think it just it does need some more revision in terms of making things clear. So but again, I am new to campus, so I can't speak for all the things that this bill does state. So it will take some time to figure out, I do, but I do believe it'll take some time to figure out.

Kaitlyn O'Connor yields to **Brandon Elliot**

Brandon Elliot: OK. Hello everyone. Thank you. So yeah, I just wanted to talk about the contents of this bill which makes specific claims about my office that are unjustified and and I have board meeting minutes that I can share with you all that can actually outline that we have been leading highly collaborative tri-campus efforts with agreements made across the three campuses regarding Huskies on the Hill. Since November, we've worked really hard to make sure that all people that have been requested by, whether it be DOLA's or president, have been included. I will admit there has been a lot of hands on Huskies on the Hill because we've been overly democratic in making sure that each campus can be represented to the best of their ability. Additionally, when considering this bill, there's claims made about this office regarding potential embezzlement and it uses a board bill that was used to appoint me last year when our DOLA didn't step up to the plate. I encourage you guys to really look at this bill and see that this portion of it will really open the UW to lawsuits and make it potentially open a lawsuit of defamation because that is simply not true and the the source that is used for it is clearly not, doesn't make any mention of that. I think the ASUW Senate can spend its time on better things that can actively work for the betterment of this student body, and I did not think you guys should entertain this bill.

Erick Jacobsen: All right, I'll go to Naomi and then Avery in a second, but I'm just gonna raise the volume real quick. I talk louder than anyone else.

Naomi Law: I think given all of the feedback that we've heard from the objectors and the speakers thus far, it seems inappropriate for us to continue listening to this bill or entertaining this bill, at least for this meeting. So I move to the previous question.

Naomi Law moves to previous question

Dylan Bianchi seconds

Approved by raised hand vote

Motion to add JR-32-2 to the agenda fails.

Erick Jacobsen: We are continuing with 0A-32-2, An Organic Act to Establish a Select Committee Tasked with Reviewing Constitutional Amendments. Please read the bill in its entirety whenever you're ready.

Joseph Riggio reads [OA-32-02 An Organic Act to Establish a Select Committee Tasked with Reviewing Constitutional Amendments](#)

Joseph Riggio: OK, I have permission to explain why, In pursuant of the last whereas clause, the way that this membership was chosen was if you hold a Senate liaison position or you are in the gavel committee and you are not a Vice chair because you will still have duties as a Vice chair during this committee. So please, we need you there. Essentially, this is just an expertise foundation for committee members. I do want to say that this Organic Act can be amended. Wow. If you're like Joseph, I want to sit on this committee. This is kind of cool and we think this is a good idea and we pass it. You can add your name to it. You can amend it. Add your name. You can amend. We can amend the strike people. We can amend to add people, we can amend who has a vote, who doesn't have a vote, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. So keep that in mind when discussing this piece of legislation.

Joseph Riggio continues reading OA-32-2

Erick Jacobsen: do you wanna briefly summarize this bill?

Joseph Riggio: I can briefly summarize this bill, Erick. In short, this would create a select committee. Also referred to as a special committee in other instances. This is essentially if people were here last year, you might remember something called the Oversight Committee. Well, this is basically the Oversight Committee, but temporary. What the Oversight Committee did last year was we referred to it basically organic acts and senate bills. There wasn't really much going on last year, so the committee didn't do that much. In fact, the liaison reports were the only thing that Oversight chair really did. Aiden can attest to this. He was the Oversight chair. So this will be creating a temporary committee for a committee that we dissolved last year that is actually needed this year. So unfortunately we have to do it. And the reason we're doing a or that I'm proposing a select committee and not just doing a standing committee is because I, at least from what I'm aware, I'm aware of only one constitutional amendment, but yeah, and I'm happy to answer any questions and concerns and whatnot.

Erick Jacobsen: All right. For those of you who are unfamiliar with organic acts, our organic acts are what changes our bylaws or rules. They are our powers of self-governance and the way they work is they go to steering and steering is the committee that looks it over and then it goes straight into old business. So we're in old business right now, even though it's the first time all of you are hearing it, which means that you can all make amendments if you'd like. With that said, are there any questions for Joseph, our sponsor?

Jenna Miller: I had a question about so if on line 95 through 97 we talk about how the restructuring committee will meet during the regular ASUW Senate meetings when the committee meetings occur. And is this going to be something that will take place in lieu of committee meetings for all of these members or is this going to be once an OA is passed, we will convene.?

Joseph Riggio: So once the OA is passed, this committee, well, first we need to do committee chair elections and then once we do elections, the committee can convene. I mean technically the committee can convene earlier, but it is in here that it would be upon committee chair elections. And so we would do that first. They would all pick their vice chair. But the the point of this is that the Select Committee would meet in congruence to all the other committees. So it would just be the same time as what committee meeting time would normally be. This would have the effect of pulling people out of their committees who are members of this committee. So keep that in mind. If you really like your committee and you're listed on here, you can strike yourself and that's totally fine.

Erick Jacobsen: And if that is something that any of you would like to do, you can just raise your hand and when I get to you, all you have to say as I move. To strike my name from the membership and then we'll just move into a vote on that. Pretty simple.

Abigail Appleyard: when people are in this committee, are they removed from their standing committee? So it won't affect like quorum or anything.

Joseph Riggio: It will not affect quorum. They they are now members of this committee and attendance will not be impacted in that way, yeah. Your quorum number will change. It'll go down yeah. So it'll create a committee, move the people listed into that committee, and that committee will meet whenever all the other committees meet until the conclusion.

Kira McKey: I had a question about voting power and what determines who gets voting power and what that really means.

Joseph Riggio: So essentially it came down to, so originally all these people had with vote and then Erick pointed out to me during steering that Kate Lawson would have to be without vote. Erick, do you want to explain that?

Erick Jacobsen: So members of Board are non-voting members of Senate, which means that they have all the rights of senators except they cannot vote on things. So we had to clarify that to maintain congruence with the bylaw.

Joseph Riggio: And also, so normally every, it would just be like a regular committee. The reason why we put with vote and without is per the OA per the instructions for creating a special committee or any committee, we have to specify. If we do specify membership like this, we have to indicate in the bill who does vote and it would be voting on things that you normally vote for, like in your standing committee, right? So. Any legislation that you're hearing, which in this case would be that Senate bill, the constitutional amendment vote. If your chair wants to just take votes on certain discussion items, you participate in those votes. So it would be like participating in a normal standing committee, it would just be on constitutional amendments instead.

Erick Jacobsen: Are there any other questions or comments?

Spencer Grubbs: I have a question about. So it's just, sorry, it's just like a regular, like acting like a regular committee. So meaning like if once legislation is passed out of that committee, the rest of

ASUW like the Senate would still have the ability to like propose amendments to things. They just wouldn't be like the first people to do so?

Joseph Riggio: Correct. So we would still follow the same procedure as any other legislation. So we would introduce a Senate bill, it would be summary of new business and then it would get referred to this Select Committee. And then or steering would refer it and then it would go through the normal process to making committee edits and whatnot. It's just the as you'll note in the 'THAT' clauses in the duties section, it's a little bit stricter than just passing committee edits. There's a presentation that would accompany this, and that's because due to the nature of a constitutional amendment, which requires us to put a question on the ballot during the spring election period that all student ASUW member students are going to vote on. So just for the sake of argument, UW students, so it goes out to students for a vote, which is why there's the presentation, the slides and everything and doing a more comprehensive report instead of just coming up here and being like favorable, unfavorable, neutral is required for this, but that's the only like big difference between that.

Erick Jacobsen: Short answer yes. Are there any other questions, comments or any amendments?

Jenna Miller: Do you anticipate a lot of Senate bills coming in for why this committee is necessary?

Joseph Riggio: I'm aware of one Senate bill because I'm the prime sponsor of it for a constitutional amendment. So I can guarantee at least one will apply to this committee.

Erick Jacobsen: And with my knowledge as speaker, there is no more than that unless. I don't.

Jenna Miller: Why do, I'm not trying to grill you.

Joseph Riggio: That's okay.

Jenna Miller: Why then would you create this committee to look after that bill when it could go into another committee that we could then talk about?

Joseph Riggio: So Select Committee, the the first appeal to the Select Committee is you can decide the membership as a body as a Senate so we can agree who's on it and that gives us a lot of power. Like I said, my rationale was that we would put people of different expertise from who liaise to different committees in ASUW and interact with different ASUW entities. So in the nature of a constitutional committee, I think that's very valuable insight to have when reworking how our structure might look. Same with the GAVEL committee. GAVEL members have first-hand experience not only acting with or working with Board of Directors and also working with different departments and what not on campus and navigating sort of ASUW and looking at legislation pathways. So that was the reason why they're on it. The second reason why this is a select committee is because we can set it to be sort of temporary. One of the issues with the Oversight Committee is it was a standing committee, and it had to meet regardless, even if it had absolutely nothing to do. This way, we do know that a senate bill will make it to it. It is about a constitutional amendment. Those, I would argue, a constitutional amendment is probably going to have a little bit more back

and forth just because the implications are greater for that constitutional amendment compared to a student opinion resolution. Not to say that one or the other is more important. It's just there's a lot of discussion to be had and I'm trying to avoid sacrificing a committee for an undetermined amount of time to discuss a constitutional amendment when that committee can be hearing legislation, that's one relevant to their committee and two senate bills that senators have proposed that are less bureaucratic and procedural and more trying to do cool stuff like, you know, Hansee Hall renovations and parking costs and etcetera and UW dining costs and whatnot, etcetera.

Wesley Andrus: This is just a grammatical change to line one changing “in pursuant of” to “in pursuance of.”

Changed to “whereas pursuant to”

Julia Tanner: Actually, with these last comments that you talked about the intention behind the members on this committee, I'm going to move to amend this legislation and add my name to this committee as well. You gave the reasoning in steering that you didn't add my name because I'm an officer and in leadership and it would be good to kind of be kind of like neutral or kind of separated. But seeing as I have served about just over a year on judicial, not this year, but in previous years, I do have a kind of idea of Constitution of ASUW as well as constitutional amendments that have been proposed and I've kind of seen that and researched it. So I think I would be a valuable member for this committee.

Julia Tanner moves to add herself to committee membership.

Detlef Gerbing seconds

Approved by raised hand vote

Maggie Schoenfeldt: I was just curious what exactly this constitutional amendment is doing. I'm not sure if you can disclose that yet, but I thought you mentioned a ballot earlier, but I was just kind of confused since there's so much talk about it, like what it would do.

Joseph Riggio: You know, Erick, I think a good idea maybe in the coming. Weeks is we can give like a forum on like constitutional amendments or something when the time comes, because that would be very helpful information that I can't do justice right now.

Erick Jacobsen: There's three ways you can add a constitutional amendment to the ASUW constitution. One way is through a board bill. One way is through getting, I believe, 5000 signatures on a petition. And one of them is through the Student Senate. All of these ways require going through the Judicial Committee, which is an ASUW committee that looks over the language very minutely and figures out what is this doing. Is this like allowed? Is this legal, everything? And then just like handling all of the technical aspects of it. And then it goes through whichever process it was initially going to go through. So for example, with the Senate, it would go through the process of a senate bill. And then after the senate bill is, let's say, approved by the Senate, it goes to the Board of Directors. They can veto or recommit it, but in the end, Senate has an override power. No matter

what happens, if that is approved, it is then added to the ASUW elections ballot. So the elections that Reea was talking about earlier that are in spring, those will have all of the ASUW elected board positions on it, which are 8 positions, President, Vice President and six director positions. On top of that it will have constitutional amendments. Any that are passed through any of these procedures. So let's say the Senate bill is goes through this whole process. It would then be added to the ballot and then any student can be a member of ASUW and any member ASUW can vote on that election. So if you're voting in that election, you'd be then voting for this constitutional amendment and you can vote for, against or abstain. And then if the required majority passes, the amendment is adopted as a part of ASUW's constitution. Does that make sense?

Joseph Riggio: I'll just add that the reason why it is not here yet it so per the requirements of if you do look at the. Constitutional amendments section. Article is blanking on me, but it's one of the last articles. Section C That's our magical section. That's the Senate section. We do have to review, refer it to Judicial Committee and request to review so that they can go through the language and make sure that there is a professional and expert opinion. In on it, since they are our legal Advisory Board essentially. So once it goes through them, they'll provide their recommendations and whatnot to us and then it'll go through our process.

Erick Jacobsen: Are there any other questions, comments, or amendments?

Jenna Miller: I promise this is my last comment. I understand the committee. I think it's great. I would want maybe someone else to come and take my spot, but I like what I'm doing in General Affairs, so I would like to move to amend or to strike my name from the list on line 79

Jenna Miller moves to strike herself from the committee membership.

Detlef Gerbing seconds

Approved by raised hand vote.

Brennan Sandora: To clarify, because I feel like it's kind of the main point at hand in this discussion, can you at all mention what exactly this constitutional amendment is trying to achieve, just out of curiosity?

Joseph Riggio: I can give a very brief sort of overview if you were interested. Actually, it's just really a revival of way back when. I'm going to take you on a journey history of ASUW back 2024-25, actually in the back we have we have our chair of the Task Force For Constitutional Reform. So in 2024 there was a yeah, I know long, long time ago there was a task force and their task was to review the Constitution and make changes to the Constitution seen fit. It was a Board of Directors task force and whatnot. And they wrote a whole entire proposal, a lot of different amendments. Well, a lot of what was in that proposal was not voted on. And so it went through a very, because the task force is just an advisory committee, they they don't have power. It would be the Board of Directors that would get to vote on those recommendations to to put on the ballot. So because they'd went through a different procedure than like going through Senate for instance, where we would have that power to make recommendations and whatnot. Board of Directors, they can still

review it too, and they can provide amendments and recommendations as they will, and they can do that up to two times. But if we feel really strongly about our senate bill, our constitutional amendment, we get to put that question on the ballot. So essentially. To to actually answer your question on what is on there, I I'm going to save some time by saying if you would like to know a little bit more, talk with me afterward. We will see the constitutional amendment. Don't worry. I can refer you to a folder. It's public record. It's the 2024 Constitutional Task Force folder. You can see what they did because it's basically a revival of that. So I'd be happy to talk about it.

Erick Jacobsen: I'll clarify. And Joseph, if you wouldn't mind adding that link in an appendix or a reference. But just an additional clarification, after it went through the task force, that specific constitutional amendment was on the ballot and passed with the next year, last election 2025, there was another ballot measure which reversed most of it. I don't think all of it, but most of it. And that also passed in the election. So this is bringing back some of those things. And both of those were done through board bills, not through Senate bills. Sorry for the inaccuracy y'all. This is before my time even, which is crazy.z

Adam Ford: In an act of shameless self-promotion, I move to add my name to the list.

Adam Ford moves to add his name to the committee membership.

Kira McKey seconds

Approved by raised hand vote.

Kathleen Esnaurrizar moves to add her name to the committee membership.

Corbyn Morisette seconds.

Approved by raised hand vote

Jayden Kim moves to add his name to the committee membership.

Spencer Grubbs seconds.

Approved by raised hand vote

Dylan Bianchi moves to approve OA-32-2

Kavi Pasupathi seconds

Jenna Miller objects

Dylan Bianchi yields

Jenna Miller: I would like to make a motion to add another person to this list so I do not yet want to pass it.

Erick Jacobsen: We are now in a period of debate.

Avery Kirscht moves to previous question

Avery Kirscht rescinds

Dylan Bianchi rescinds

Jenna Miller moves to add Francisco Dogenia as a member without a vote.

Isac Pasco seconds

Dylan Bianchi objects

Jenna Miller yields

Dylan Bianchi: Francisco would you want to do this?

Dylan Bianchi rescinds

Approved by raised hand vote

Kavi Pasupathi moves to approve OA-32-2

Teddy Schmidt seconds

OA-32-2 approved 89-1-8

Erick Jacobsen moving on to our next item of old business.

Erick Jacobsen yields to **Joseph Riggio**

Erick Jacobsen Reads R-32-2 summary and proposed amendments.

Erick Jacobsen: Based on our previous conversations and one of these is with the help of a senator who I'd like to name drop, but I need to pull up my outlook because I have terrible memory.

Joseph Riggio And just for the record, these are suggested amendments by the sponsor. We would need somebody to make the motion to approve these when the time comes, but we're going to have Erick just read them off for now.

Erick Jacobsen reads suggested edits

Julia Tanner: Before we commence the discussion, I wanted to move to add an adjournment time of 7:15 to the agenda.

Julia Tanner moves to suspend the rules and add an adjournment time.

Matt Freire seconds

Jude Belland objects

Julia Tanner: Just seeing as this bill has been on the agenda for a while and seeing as it's not midterm season or final season or there isn't a super cool bonding event happening after, there's a good reason to stay here for a little bit longer to get the full discussion session in and not drag this on for another week.

Jude Belland: As previously mentioned by the Speaker of the Senate, our legislative stack resolutions is quite thin, so I don't think there's much downside to pushing this to the next. Week. Moreover, despite not being in midterm season, many of us still have preoccupations after at a very strict time after 7.

Joseph Riggio: I will just come in and say it isn't wildly out of precedent for us to go overtime. This has happened many times throughout Senate's history where we adjourn at 7:15. We do have the room until 7:30, so. Now questions, comments, motions.

Abigail Appleyard moves the previous question.

Adam Ford seconds

Approved by raised hand vote

Motion fails

Joseph Riggio: Questions, comments, motions?

Spencer Grubbs: This is purely grammatical. Could there be parity between how you do University of Hawaii at Manoa because one time its an em dash

Wesley Andrus: I was just wondering about the amendments. You did your calculations based on the average number of students per university, but I assume that the top few universities would be most of the students. So do you have numbers for the top few universities?

Erick Jacobsen: So in my research it's actually usually less, but I'm gonna pull up the document because I don't know off the top of my head what the numbers are. That is in source 13. OK, this is just per state, but. If, I don't know if there's a very easy way to calculate that, but I'd say North Dakota has more universities than Washington. Most of them are smaller than the University of Washington, if not all of them. I think all of them. And I think they're all smaller than the eight in Washington combined. And it's a pretty small difference in the Washington number raw numbers. So I think their per average is like similar or low and they have less prestigious universities. So it seems to be like mostly like equal from what these numbers tell us, but it's also really difficult because I can only find statewide numbers. I can't find university wide numbers. Trust me, I tried. So from what I can see, it's not that clear that UW would theoretically have more. I can see the argument that they'd have less because they'd have higher standards, cause it's like just a raw line. It's not like a percentage of students that they let into this program. So that's my response. It's it's unclear. There's not a lot of public information that's relevant.

ADJOURNMENT

Dylan Bianchi moves to adjourn

Wesley Andrus seconds

Adam Ford objects

Dylan Bianchi: I think the failure of Julia's motion to extend our time pretty clearly indicates that the general energy of the Senate is wrap up here at 7:00. I also, I mean this bill isn't particularly time sensitive, so I think we can safely end it here. We'll have plenty of time in our next Senate meeting.

Adam Ford: I objected simply because I thought we could just quickly vote to approve these amendments. That's all.

Husna Chepkemboi: Actually, we can't quickly do anything, or else it'll just it won't be very helpful for the bill if we just quickly vote.

Laughter ensues

Pierce Wallbaum moves the previous question

Aiden Reeder seconds

Approved by raised hand vote

Approved by raised hand vote

Adjourned 7:02 pm.

Minutes Prepared by Jothsna Sundar
Clerk for the ASUW Student Senate
