ASUW

Associated Students of the University of Washington

Board of Directors | Agenda | Session 125

October 16, 2025 | Husky Union Building 303 | 5:30 PM

The ASUW acknowledges the stewards of Coast Salish lands, the lands on which we currently sit, and the UW occupies. We acknowledge the original and current caretakers; Duwamish, Suquamish, Tulalip, and Muckleshoot nations and peoples.

Zoom Link | ASUW Board of Directors Agendas and Minutes | Captions

Call to Order

Nandana calls this meeting to order at 5:32 pm.

Land Acknowledgement

*Roll Call | 16 total. *10 voting. 6 quorum | # present (P). # excused (E). # absent (A).*

P | *President – **Nandana Jaideep**

P | *Vice President – **Sonal Virk**

P | Director of Personnel – **Owen Rivera**

P | Director of Finance and Budget – **Khushi Loomba**

P | Director of Communications – **Peyton Sax**

P | *Director of Internal Policy – **Ashwin Anand**

P | *Director of Diversity Efforts – **Valeria Perez-Leyva**

AE | *Director of University Affairs – **Kate Lawson**

P | *Director of Programming – **Grace Clarke**

P | *Director of Community Relations – **Jessica Phan**

P | *Director of Campus Partnerships – **Audriana Scott**

P | *GPSS Vice President of Internal Affairs – **Juan Mora**

P | *Student Senate Speaker – **Erick Jacobsen**

P | Board of Directors Coordinator – **Caroline Huguely**

P | Associate Director of Student Activities – **Marshall Traverse**

P | Husky Union Building Director – **Carrie Moore**

<u>Public Forum</u>

Owen says the Frontend Developer application will be closing tonight.

<u>Approval of the Agenda</u>

Erick motions to approve.

Valeria seconds.

Agenda approved with unanimous consent. 5:34 pm.

<u>Approval of the Minutes</u>

Owen motions to approve.

Ashwin seconds.

10/9 minutes approved with unanimous consent. 5:36 pm.

<u>Consent Agenda</u>

Nandana says they will take a couple of minutes to look over the Board Bills on the Consent Agenda.

Peyton points out issues with the Zoom and adaptor cord.

Nandana says they will recess until 5:40 pm.

Nandana says the problem still hasn't been resolved, so they will extend the recess until 5:42 pm.

Nandana says the problem still hasn't been resolved, so they will extend the recess until 5:44 pm.

Nandana calls this meeting back to order at 5:44 pm.

Ashwin explains that Board Bill 2.01 is to appoint members to EAC. He explains that **Reaa** and **Tammy** interviewed candidates, and he approves of who they selected. He explains that Board Bill 2.02 is to appoint members to the Judicial Committee. He says **Brian** and **Sejal** have returned to finish their two-year term. He adds that the other three appointees, **Nardos**, **Sanjana**, and **Madisen**, are new.

Sonal explains that Board Bill 2.03 is to appoint two at-large members to the HUB Board of Representatives. She explains that she wanted one ASUW JCC employee to sit on the committee, so she put names on a wheel. She says **Nina**, the Director of the Office of Student Health Relations, was chosen. She explains that the second appointee, who is external to ASUW, is **Cranston Coke**. She says **Cranston** has a lot of insight and works at the HUB front desk.

Erick asks if this needed to go through the open selection process.

Sonal says no. She explains that it only needs to go through her and then the Board of Directors.

Nandana says that last year, for example, she appointed people from the Student Senate who were interested.

Erick motions to approve the Consent Agenda.

Grace seconds.

Consent Agenda approved unanimously. 5:47 pm.

Nandana congratulates the new appointees.

New Business

- BOARD BILL 3.12 An Act to Reallocate Unspent Office Renovation Funds for Additional Office Supplies and Furnishings

Sonal explains that this is leftover money from Board Bill 3.04, which was passed by the BOD on September 5. She says the remaining amount of money that was not spent is $5,026.22. She says she thinks the best way to spend this extra money is to put it back into office supplies and furnishings.

Erick asks what the expected cost will be from the requested supplies form that employees submitted earlier in the month.

Sonal says, so far, they have spent $1,700, and she is expecting to spend another $1,700. She says she isn't sure they'll spend the full $5,000 right now, but she doesn't want to have to come back again to reallocate funding for this.

Peyton says this is awesome.

Peyton motions to approve.

Audriana seconds.

Board Bill 3.12 passes unanimously. 5:51 pm.

- BOARD BILL 3.13 - An Act to Approve Funding for Shared Media Equipment to Support Creative Storytelling and Visual Projects

Peyton reads Board Bill 3.13 aloud in its entirety.

Owen says this is great. He asks if they are planning to store this new equipment in the tech closet.

Peyton says the tech closet doesn't lock, so they will not be storing this equipment in there.

Erick asks if OCOMM will still use the cameras that they currently have. He asks what the difference is between the new cameras and the current cameras.

Peyton says yes, they will still use the cameras they currently have. She explains that the new cameras will allow more entities to use them during their events. She adds that the old cameras don't have SD cards. She explains that one new camera is a small, handheld digital camera, one is a film camera, and the other is a new, high-quality camera.

Ashwin asks if this has been run by **Khushi**.

Peyton says she has been talking with **Khushi** about this for a while, but the exact price hasn't been run by her.

Nandana adds that she has discussed this with Peyton a lot.

Khushi says that **Peyton** has planned this out well, and OCOMM needs these supplies.

Sonal asks if they will need to pay to get the film developed.

Peyton says they probably will, but she didn't add that cost to this bill.

Grace asks if they could add that cost to this bill.

Nandana says they can't amend this right now, since they don't have the exact cost for the film. She explains that once they have these supplies, they can get a quote for the cost of the film. She says they can get a quote for the development of the film and bring another Board Bill for that cost. She adds that the cost can potentially be worked into OCOMM's budget going forward.

Jessica asks how entities can reserve this equipment.

Peyton explains that her idea was to create a form, but her only reservation is the number of forms ASUW employees already need to fill out.

Owen says he isn't sure, but he thinks the cost for film development this year could be under the amount that would require them to create another Board Bill for it.

Audriana says she loves this bill. She clarifies if RSOs can rent this equipment out or not.

Peyton says only ASUW entities can use the equipment.

Grace adds that RSOs can go to STF to rent equipment.

Ashwin motions to approve Board Bill 3.13.

Sonal seconds.

Board Bill 3.13 passes unanimously. 6:01 pm.

- BOARD BILL 5.02 (R-31-32) A Resolution to Increase Student Access to SANE Exams

Erick reads Board Bill 5.02 aloud in its entirety.

Audriana explains she had a meeting with **Joel**, the Husky Health Director, where she brought up this issue. She explains that she was told the reason Husky Health doesn't have SANE nurses is because they were not able to provide enough exams per year to help survivors in court. She says HH SANE nurses were only providing around 15 exams per year.

Nandana says this is a great piece of legislation, and ASUW supports this issue. She explains, however, that HH and Student Life have brought up legal and logistical issues with an initiative like this. She explains that for these nurses to be viable sources in court, they have to be constantly practicing SANE exams. She says a nurse who practices only 15 cases a year is not as admissible in court. She explains that outside a UW context, an emergency case like this would typically go to urgent care rather than primary care. She says they could fix this issue by removing barriers that are currently preventing them from accessing the best and most viable care possible. She says HH may not be that avenue. She explains that when it comes to legal cases, it is recommended that survivors receive care at a place that is further from where the assault took place, so there is no concern with UW trying to cover things up or tampering.

Erick asks how much the number of SANE exams may increase at HH if they provide more comprehensive exams. He asks what barriers could be eliminated outside of the travel factors mentioned in the Bill.

Nandana explains that, from what she has been told, survivors would rather go to a place where they can receive the most efficient and viable care that would be admissible in court. She says HH may not be that option.

Ashwin asks how long it takes to get from the middle of campus to a professional healthcare center. He asks what barrier the addition of a SANE nurse at HH will eliminate in the entire process.

Erick points to lines 42-62, which say that UW Montlake is a 15–30-minute walk from campus. He explains that the barrier a SANE nurse at HH is trying to prevent is travel confusion and coordination, which is mentioned in lines 50-52.

Nandana says 15 cases a year doesn't deem nurses credible. She says at a hospital like Harborview, nurses are conducting around 15 cases a month.

Peyton asks if the low number of cases per year for HH SANE nurses is because there has only been one examiner and low publicity. She says women, in particular, are assaulted at a very high rate during the first two weeks of the school year. She asks if they promote it more, could the number of cases HH nurses provide increase. She says if you are a student who has been assaulted on campus, the ease of going to on-campus care is far more comfortable than going to a hospital. She explains that while legally permissible evidence is important, going to get an exam at all is evidence that can hold up in court.

Erick says he believes that is a point the sponsors attempted to address in lines 83-87, which say there is no shortage of patients in need of this care.

Ashwin says he is trying to understand, logistically, what having a nurse at HH provides when UW Montlake is typically no more than a 30-minute walk from campus.

Erick explains that lines 45 and 59-62 say it could be logistically and emotionally difficult for survivors to travel to medical centers, since the centers can be re-traumatizing.

Jessica asks if the SANE exams currently offered in HH are solely for the purpose of identifying medical needs. She asks if they are offering DNA collection services.

Erick says HH does not currently have SANE nurses, but they do have a type of SANE exam.

Nandana explains she believes data from the current SANE exams HH offers is why HH feels they don't have enough cases to justify having SANE nurses.

Jessica adds that she did a program with LiveWell, and they promoted the SANE exams at HH. She explains that if their intention with this Bill is to increase student access, but they don't think the logistics are there yet for that, then they need to increase the awareness of the SANE exams HH currently offers.

Erick agrees and says that falls under SARVA's current mission.

Peyton explains that if the current capacity for SANE exams at HH is only 15 people, and they start advertising it without expanding the program, she worries people will not be able to get the care they need. She says that the 15-minute walk to UW Montlake has more to do with campus safety and feeling supported by your campus than actual distance. She adds that having this resource on campus is like psychological safety for survivors.

Erick adds that HH is a very central location, and UW Montlake is south of campus. He says that with the abundance of students who live north of campus, the distance to UW Montlake for them is a huge barrier in getting the care they need.

Valeria says they also need to consider how hard it can be to get quick help at a large medical center like UW Montlake.

Grace explains that she believes it is important to pursue the option of legal action, but at the end of the day, sometimes survivors just need immediate relief. She adds that a HH SANE nurse could redirect a survivor if they want to pursue legal action. She explains that it is important to provide immediate, on-campus options.

Erick explains that the sponsors were trying to emphasize the distance and crowdedness of Montlake. He explains that if they add evidence collection at HH, then it takes away some demand at Montlake, making Montlake more efficient.

Nandana agrees that it is important to be able to quickly go to a safe space on campus to receive care. She explains that she wonders if it would be possible to partner with SANE nurses from Harborview, a large medical center. She says if those nurses rotate through HH weekly, they will still have enough cases per year to make their exams viable since they will be at Harborview most of the time while also providing care at HH.

Owen asks if the current SANE exams are advertised during A&O.

Jessica says no. She says it is specific to what LiveWell presents, so if you are not seeking out the care, you will not be aware of it.

Grace says **Nandana's** idea is a creative way to think about this initiative. She explains it is important to have consistent care, like having the same person. She explains that in a difficult moment, it is good to confide in the same person since it can make the survivor more comfortable. She agrees it would be nice to have SANE nurses who are already qualified and trained, but for the purpose of continuity, it is important to have the same point-people at HH at all times.

Audriana says she loves the idea of advertising the exams HH currently has. She adds that they need to make sure survivors know, for now, the SANE exams at HH do not provide DNA collection.

Juan explains that another benefit of this Bill is the confidentiality of HH. He explains that if a survivor goes to a hospital off campus, it would be billed to their insurance instead of their student account. He explains that if the student is still on their parents' insurance, then their parents would know. He says having this care on campus will allow students to keep this information to themselves if that is what they wish. He explains that students may be hesitant to receive the care they need if they are nervous about their parents finding out, and this initiative would prevent that.

Grace says that is a great point.

Erick explains that lines 74-78 are a good vision of what this Bill is for, which is a large range of options and autonomy for survivors.

Nandana says if they do provide this service, they need to make it transparent to students that this may not be the best option when pursuing legal options.

Grace says they also need to emphasize that even if the 72-hour period to pursue legal action is over, the survivor can still receive care.

Owen suggests having advertisements in dorm halls.

Grace agrees. She says the RAs should be trained with this information.

Peyton emphasizes that this has been an initiative for a couple of years now, and it is important to have this for survivors on campus. She says if they can expand this care, it will be monumental on a University level, giving UW another leg up with the on-campus resources it provides to students. She shares her support for this.

Sonal says they should also work with UW Panhellenic and dorms to expand awareness of this resource.

Peyton asks who would be the person to oversee the implementation of this initiative.

Erick says it would fall to GAVEL, SARVA, and **Kate**.

Grace motions to approve Board Bill 5.02.

Peyton seconds.

Board Bill 5.02 passes unanimously. 6:33 pm.

- BOARD BILL 1.02 (SB-31-6) An Act to Address Adequate and Affordable Housing for Students and Establish Shared Campus Planning Meetings

Erick reads Board Bill 1.02 aloud in its entirety.

Erick clarifies that **Francisco**, last year's EAC Operations Co-Chair, was the original sponsor of this bill. He says, however, since **Francisco** graduated, the Bill is now being sponsored by **Dylan Bianchi**, the Chair of the Academic and Administrative Senate Committee.

Ashwin says that the part of the Bylaws mentioned in the Bill, Article 15, section 13, clause o, no longer exists because it is from last year's version.

Erick says he will find where it will be in the current version of the Bylaws.

Nandana passes off chairship to **Sonal**.

Erick says the new place will be Article 4, section 12, clause n.

Owen agrees that housing is becoming increasingly expensive for students, so allowing them to have their voices heard is important.

Jessica asks who on the Board would appoint people to this new committee.

Erick says it will be **Audriana**.

Nandana takes back chairship from **Sonal**.

Grace asks if the sponsors of this Bill have been in contact with the organizations mentioned in the Bill. She asks if those organizations seemed interested in having more student representation on their Boards.

Erick says he isn't sure since this Bill was submitted last year. He says that he knows last year's Student Senate Vice Speaker, **Jacob Gannon**, served on one of these committees last year, and he was consulting **Francisco** when he was drafting the Bill.

Ashwin points out that they may want to table this bill until they can get confirmation of whether or not students can actually sit on these committees.

Erick says he is okay with tabling or a delay in implementation.

Audriana asks how many students would be appointed to each committee.

Erick explains that however many students they can get is great, but starting off with one student is good.

Audriana asks if they do implement this, would they start next quarter or next school year.

Erick says it is up to **Audriana**.

Nandana clarifies if the intention of this is to appoint student representatives to existing committees. She asks if the other intention is for the Director of Campus Partnerships to host campus planning meetings with all of the committees together.

Erick says yes.

Nandana says that UDistrict Partnerships mostly deals with safety, and they don't have any effect on the city of Seattle to influence rent. She says that she knows that the CUCAC already does this work, so this Bill could create redundancies. She says she doesn't believe there is a need for another committee chaired by the DCP, who already has multiple committees they are in charge of.

Erick says that is a fair point. He explains that UDistrict Partnerships was specifically mentioned because of the role they play in community events and urban development in the University District.

Nandana says she isn't opposed to the idea, but she is worried about its redundancy. She explains that she is leaning away from having the committee chaired by the DCP. She says she and OGR are already working to lobby at the city council level, so this may be redundant since housing prices would be a city council lobbying issue.

Erick explains that it is an option to recommit this to a Senate Committee. He says that while the city council does influence housing and rent, it doesn't hurt to have more student representation on local Boards.

Audriana says they could invite the Chairs of these Boards and hold student forums instead of appointing students.

Erick says that he thinks that it is in the current wording of the Bill that they could do forums instead of committee meetings.

Audriana clarifies if that means they wouldn't appoint any student representatives.

Erick explains that the forums would be in addition to appointing student representatives.

Audriana says she sees the benefit behind having student representatives, but she also agrees with **Nandana** that it could be redundant.

Grace says she sees the intention behind this Bill, but it seems like some things need to be reworked.

Grace motions to table Board Bill 1.02.

Ashwin seconds.

Nandana objects.

Erick yields his time to **Nandana**.

Nandana explains that if they table, they can't amend the Bill.

Erick says that is correct.

Nandana says if they recommit this instead of tabling it, they can make edits to the Bill.

Erick says yes.

Nandana says she is in support of appointing student representatives, but she is concerned about the campus planning meetings. She says the better way to do it is to put it in the President and DOLA's job descriptions to lobby at the city council instead of appointing people to another committee.

Nandana rescinds her objection.

Board Bill 1.02 tabled. 7.1.0. 6:52 pm.

- BOARD BILL 3.14 - An Act to Grant Funding to RSOs

Khushi reads Board Bill 3.14 aloud in its entirety.

Khushi explains that the F&B Committee hasn't started yet, but they still want to support RSOs with their upcoming events. She explains that she received a lot of requests after speaking at the RSO Leadership Summit.

Sonal says this is an awesome Bill. She asks if the Husky Science Olympiad RSO, which is at its capacity of $2,500, will be able to ask for more funds when the F&B Committee begins.

Khushi clarifies that they will not be able to come back and ask for more money.

Erick asks if the Excel sheet can be added as a reference on the Bill.

Khushi says she can do that.

Grace shares her appreciation for **Khushi's** commitment to getting funding for RSOs.

Peyton motions to approve.

Sonal seconds.

Board Bill 3.14 passes unanimously. 6:57 pm.

<u>Old Business</u>

None.

<u>Executive Session</u>

None.

<u>Funding Updates</u>

None.

<u>Discussion Items</u>

Erick explains that Board Bill 5.26 was originally recommitted, then amended, and finally vetoed by the Board on June 10. He says the Bill has 10 sponsors and 67 co-signers. He explains that last year's Board vetoed the Bill with the understanding that it was too premature. He says the Bill was asking for the College of Arts and Sciences plans to avoid layoffs, a public apology from **Dean Harris**, **Dean Harris** to appear before the Senate. He says if none of that happens, the Bill then asks **Dean Harris** not to be employed past 2026 and for a formal condemnation of **Dean Harris**. He says, since then, **Dean Harris** has announced that she will be stepping down after 2026, advisor layoffs were taken off the table, the advisors established a union, and **Dean Harris** indicated a desire for students to participate in the Arts and Science Advisory Council. He explains that the Senate tabled this Bill indefinitely last week with the understanding that the sponsors would either introduce a new Bill or take this current version off the table and attempt to override the Board's veto. He says that a vetoed bill cannot be amended, and they need ¾ votes in the Senate to override the veto. He explains that he wants the Boards to discuss what they would want to see in a new version of this Bill, and what the circumstances would be for the Board to not veto it.

Nandana asks if this is the same Bill as last year.

Erick says yes.

Nandana says her concern last year was the Bill's pre-condemnation of **Dean Harris**, since it didn't give them any chance to fix things. She adds that there weren't any conversations that were had with **Dean Harris** before this Bill was drafted and passed through Senate.

Erick says, to his knowledge, the sponsors tried to set up a meeting with the Dean's office, but they did not receive a response.

Nandana says **Naomi**, last year's ASUW President, was able to have a conversation with the Dean.

Peyton says that as a student in the CAS, the part of this Bill she resonated with was the need for transparency. She adds, however, with **Dean Harris** stepping down, she doesn't see the need to condemn her anymore.

Grace asks if there has been any discussion in the Senate about passing a condemnation of the College of Engineering in light of their recent RSO fee. She asks if the Senate would consider a resolution condemning the lack of financial transparency for students. She says they could site the CAS's recent actions in the Bill instead of a targeted condemnation.

Sonal asks what the Senate wants the conclusion of this Bill to be now that **Dean Harris** is resigning.

Erick says, currently, the goal of the sponsors is for the budget process to stop and reevaluate. He says, going forward, they want to see more transparency and student involvement in the budget process.

Sonal asks if they should make a new Bill to propose a new goal, since the current Board Bill 5.26 is not fully addressing the goal for financial transparency.

Jessica says that she understands the intention behind this Bill, but with all the new information in the past months, they need to reevaluate their intentions with this.

Owen agrees with **Grace's** idea of a Resolution that addresses the issue of financial transparency across the board.

Peyton says communication transparency is essential in these processes as well.

Erick clarifies that **Grace's** idea is great, but since the current Senate Resolution condemning the COE is being worked on by so many engineering RSOs, it may be best to keep it separate. He clarifies that if the sponsors removed the conditional requests, removed the condemnation, and centered the Bill around financial transparency, then the Board would be comfortable to approving.

Jessica says they can't guarantee that as a Board. She says if they address those concerns, the likelihood will increase, but they cannot ensure approval.

Nandana says she found emails from **Naomi** and **Dean Harris** about specific concerns mentioned in this Bill. She explains that the Dean's office was okay with their response being public, so she will send it to the sponsors of this Bill. She says, given the new change in administration, she would feel most comfortable if the sponsors of this Bill met with the leaders of the CAS to get the most accurate information before they decide on their course of action. She says she would be happy to be looped into those meetings.

Grace explains that she had a meeting with **Anabel Blankenship**, the Director of the Office of Inclusive Design. She says **Anabel** was expressing difficulty reaching out to entities to make them aware of their accessibility needs for events. She explains that **Anabel** expressed that it would be better if there were more systemic pathways to ensure accessible ASUW events. She asks if they could implement something like the OCOMM approval channel, but specifically for OID.

Sonal says this is an awesome idea. She agrees that there should be more of a system for OID. She says that they will also be moving OID into an ASUW 131 suite, so that will help make communication more accessible for them. She says that through Asana, a program **Peyton** is piloting, they could have a list of all programming. She explains this will make it easier for **Anabel** to see all upcoming events and their respective points of contact. She asks if OID has any representation of the Programming and Publicity Committee.

Grace says no. She explains that the committee will have a JCC representative, but they have not been appointed yet due to the PP Committee having to change their meeting time. She explains that **Peyton** and **Anabel** could work together in the approval chat to make sure entities are held accountable for having accessible events. She says too much of the work is resting on OID to reach out to people to ensure accessible events.

Erick agrees with **Sonal**. He says this would help with event planning and help with OID's workload. He adds that he would support a Board Bill that adds a separate OID seat on the PP Committee.

Valeria explains that she has had a previous conversation with **Grace** about improving accessibility for all ASUW events beyond JCC. She asks how they can make this required for all programming efforts across campus.

Grace explains that, as much as she wishes all campus programming could be accessible, the only scope she has is for ASUW. She says that it would be beneficial to have a separate OID channel because it would encourage people to plan their events earlier.

Owen says this could be added to the Personnel Policy and Bylaws to ensure this happens in future years.

Sonal asks if **Peyton** and **Grace** would be open to adding a specific seat for OID on the PP Committee.

Peyton and **Grace** say yes.

Sonal says having that seat would make it easier for OID to contact people further in advance and stay up to date on ASUW programming.

Peyton says she has been thinking of how to better integrate OID into ASUW, since they are such an integral part of their programming. She explains that they could review how OID's job descriptions work, with one Director focusing on approval, and the other Director focusing on OID's working relationship with OCOMM.

Ashwin agrees with **Peyton**. He shouts out **Anabel** for all her hard work so far this year.

Announcements

Nandana says getting a Bean Basket sign above the Odegaard basement is a worthwhile investment.

Peyton asks if the sign can be green.

Carrie says it has to be purple.

Nandana explains that the next step is to get approval for the sign from Odegaard.

Carrie says they got approval from the Building Coordinator at Odegaard today, and now the next step is getting a quote from the sign shop.

Nandana says she will talk to **Khushi** once she gets that quote.

Jessica explains that RCSA has their general council meetings from 4:30 pm-6:30 pm on Wednesdays in Spratlen 301. She says RCSA is wondering if another member of the Board would like to come to those meetings.

Audriana says she would like to.

Jessica explains they are possibly doing vaccinations and STI testing for all Greek organizations. She adds that she will be presenting at UW Panhellenic's ASUW Senator round table starting in November. She says if anyone is interested in presenting to let her know.

Nandana explains that she and **Audriana** met with HH on Friday, and they are open to doing Test Fest twice this year. She says they are thinking of doing the first one after Halloween. She adds that HH has also suggested having it open throughout the quarter to reduce the burden.

Nandana explains that EAC and OGR are hosting an elections forum for Seattle candidates from 5 pm – 7 pm on a Thursday. She says she thinks it is important for the Board to attend and introduce themselves. She asks if it is okay to cancel BOD that week.

Peyton asks if there is a way to create a special meeting that week.

Nandana says that it is an option if anyone has time sensitive legislation.

Sonal says she spoke with **Reaa**, who expressed a desire for the Board to introduce themselves at the event.

Audriana explains there will be a Senate Forum on October 28 and a GPSS forum on November 5 to discuss the health fee. She says they are moving on to the education and survey portion of implementing the health fee. She adds that the survey will open on November 6. She explains that they are thinking of incentives to get students to fill out the form. She asks for any ideas for incentives. She explains that the survey will be promoted in all-student emails.

Ashwin asks if this will be an increase to SAF.

Nandana says if they have a Health fee, it will take a financial burden off SAF since the money health services are currently taking from SAF can be used elsewhere. She asks if there is data backing up the need for the services the health fee can cover.

Audriana says she can ask.

Nandana explains that she and Peyton want to film a "welcome to my crib" and welcome to ASUW video. She asks if everyone can be in the office next Thursday before the Board meeting to film this.

Owen says yes. He asks if they should wait until they get the new office furniture.

Nandana says they can still do it without the new furniture.

Ashwin asks when they need to be there.

Peyton says it will be short, so maybe 30 minutes before Board starts.

Ashwin explains that he met with and toured the University Bookstore today. He says they want OCOMM to collaborate with the Bookstore more often. He says they want to connect FYP with the new dorm room simulation that the Bookstore has. He says they want more of the BOD to show up and do modeling and establish connections at the Bookstore.

Ashwin says the Microsoft SharePoint for records needs to be improved. He explains that he is currently trying to get the BOD access to the ASUW records folder. He says he wants all information and records of any planning and schedules to be put in that folder. He adds that **Alan** from SAO has sent everyone a template for their quarterly reports. He emphasizes the importance of the Board starting to work on their reports early.

Owen echoes the importance of the quarterly report because of how much it will help future Board members.

Peyton reminds everyone to finish the public quarterly report by the end of Week 6.

Adjournment

Jessica motions to adjourn.

Peyton seconds.

Meeting adjourned with unanimous consent at 7:40 pm.