



Associated Students of the University of Washington

Board of Directors | **Agenda** | Session 123

February 29, 2024 | Husky Union Building 303 | 5:30 PM

The ASUW acknowledges the stewards of Coast Salish lands, the lands on which we currently sit, and the UW occupies. We acknowledge the original and current caretakers; Duwamish, Suquamish, Tulalip, and Muckleshoot nations and peoples.

Zoom: <https://washington.zoom.us/j/4769215522> | **Meeting ID:**

Call to Order

Jacob Feleke calls the meeting to order at 5:38 pm.

Land Acknowledgement

Roll Call | 16 total. *9 voting. 5 quorum | # present (P). # excused (E). # absent (A).

P | *President – **Jacob Feleke**

P | *Vice President – **Ellis Andrews**

P | Director of Personnel – **Thomas Sefair-Lopez**

P | Director of Finance and Budget – **Melody Fung**

P | Director of Communications – **Yazmine Mendoza**

P | *Director of Internal Policy – **Francisco Dojenia**

P | *Director of Diversity Efforts – **Leah Sishu**

P | *Director of University Affairs – **Nandana Jaideep**

P | *Director of Programming – **Naomi Snow**

P | *Director of Community Relations – **Anastacia Mikaele**

AE | *Director of Campus Partnerships – **Azaan Leslie Brown**

P | *GPSS Vice President of Internal Affairs – **Amanda Chin**

P | Student Senate Vice Speaker – **Andal Sridhar**

P | Board of Directors Coordinator – **Maya Lukalapu**

P | Associate Director of Student Activities – **Christina Coop**

P | Husky Union Building Director – **Carrie Moore**

Public Forum

- [WASHPIRG Forum – Mckenna Eggers](#), Matteah Davis

Matteah introduces herself as the current chapter chair and Affordable Health Care campaign coordinator for Washington Public Insurance Research Group. She outlines the structure of WASHPIRG, mentioning its national affiliation and activities across various campuses. She provides updates on their funding drive, campaign work, and highlights from other WASHPIRG chapters nationally. She discusses specific campaigns, such as the Hunger and Homelessness Campaign's community outreach efforts and the Earth and Energy Campaign's environmental protection initiatives. Additionally, she elaborates on the Affordable Healthcare Campaign's legislative advocacy and events aimed at raising awareness about healthcare issues. She concludes by mentioning upcoming initiatives, including a week of action focused on affordable textbooks.

Jacob F. announces that if anyone from the public would like to speak with the board, now would be the time.

Francisco outlines the procedures for public comments during meetings, clarifying that public input is not limited to just the public forum but can also occur throughout the meeting. However, secret voting is not permitted, and motions cannot be withdrawn without a vote if someone objects.

Rohini emphasizes that there is a distinction in the bylaws between members of ASUW and members of the public. She clarifies that public comment applies only to members of the public. Therefore, even if there are restrictions on the duration of comments, ASUW members can speak during the meeting. She confirms that this information aligns with the discussion **Francisco** received and suggests taking it into consideration.

Andal clarifies a point from the last meeting regarding the Senate bylaws. She explains that according to the bylaws, it is the vice speaker's responsibility to introduce all bills that have passed from the Senate. Therefore, any future bills that pass through the Senate and are placed on the agenda must be presented by her. She also mentions that she has the option to yield her time to sponsors of the bill if she chooses to do so.

Jacob F. suggests that inviting OPMA to the Board meeting might be beneficial and clarifies that the decision regarding the meeting format can be finalized later.

Jacob G. announces that the filing for ASUW elections will close the following day at 5 p.m. He provides the website where filing can be done: vote.asuw.org/filing.

Approval of the Agenda

Francisco motions to approve agenda.

Naomi seconds.

8.o.o. Motion passes. Agenda has been approved.

Approval of the Minutes

Consent Agenda

- [BOARD BILL 2.33: An Act to Hire Fiona Willmer as an Office of Communications Multimedia Curator for the 2023-2024 Academic Year](#)

Thomas reads the bill. This bill aims to hire Fiona Willmer as an Office of Communications Multimedia Curator for the 2023 – 2024 Academic year.

Leah motions to approve.

Ellis seconds

Vote is unanimous. Motion passes. Bill has been adopted.

New Business

- [Board Bill 1.03: An Act to Amend the ASUW Constitution](#)

Francisco reads the bill. This bill aims to amend the ASUW Constitution.

Francisco motions to add a fourth whereas clause after the third whereas clause, that reads “whereas Under Article XIV, Section 2, Clause C-2 of the ASUW Bylaws the Board of Directors, based on review and recommendation from the Judicial Committee on the proposed amendment and ballot question, may approve both to be voted on by the ASUW membership in a referendum.”

Ellis seconds.

4.2.3. Motion passes. Amendment is adopted.

Naomi asks a question about the purpose of the bill and inquires about the timeline for reviewing the Constitution itself. She expresses a desire to understand the distinction between the bill and the process of reviewing and discussing changes to the Constitution as a board.

Francisco explains that the bill is intended to facilitate adding the ballot question and the proposed Constitution to the student body. He acknowledges the importance of reviewing the proposed Constitution and was planning on tabling the discussion until the following week. During that time, he proposed the board reviews the Constitution and adds any necessary amendments or notes before voting on the bill

Naomi seeks clarification, summarizing that **Francisco** plans to table the discussion until the next week. During that week, they will review the actual changes proposed for the Constitution and vote on whether those changes should be implemented, including whether it should become a ballot measure. She asks if all these actions will occur within the same week.

Francisco clarifies his intention by stating that he introduced the bill to inform the board that they are the final step before the ballot measure and proposed Constitution are presented to the student body. He suggests that board members review the documents in their own time, bring up any comments or questions in the next meeting, and finalize the documents before voting on the bill. He explains that this process would introduce the question and proposal to the student body.

Nandana asks for clarification on what exactly the board will be voting on next week. She asks if they are voting on the proposed Constitution itself and whether the proposed Constitution should be presented as a ballot measure.

Francisco clarifies that they will be voting on the bill, which would approve the proposed Constitution. He suggests that everyone should review the proposed Constitution and bring up any amendments next week before approving both.

Naomi questions if there will be a structured discussion format where the board can collectively discuss the actual changes made to the proposed Constitution, rather than reviewing them individually.

Ellis explains that she feels like it is their duty as board members to read through the proposed Constitution.

Nandana asks if there will be time for them to ask questions on comment next week. **Francisco** confirms that they will.

Naomi expresses her belief that the proposed changes to the ASUW organization are significant and should not be rushed. She suggests that the board take the time during their meetings to thoroughly review and discuss the proposals to ensure they align with the future vision of ASUW.

Francisco emphasizes that all board members had ample opportunities to engage with the task force and participate in discussions about the proposed changes. He highlights that the board's role as the final review process is crucial because the decisions made will have lasting impacts on the organization for years to come. Therefore, he encourages all board members to carefully review the proposals.

Leah asks **Francisco** to clarify about the civil service. **Francisco** expresses his appreciation for civil service and explains that he views his position as bureaucratic. He notes that many organizations, particularly governments, rely on civil servants who often go unnoticed by the majority of the population they serve. These individuals, such as elections officials, personnel staff, and auditors, ensure the efficient functioning of the organizational structure while elected officials focus on policy implementation and advocacy. Civil servants carry forward initiatives from previous sessions and possess the expertise to implement policies effectively.

Nandana asks if they can make amendments to the proposed constitution. **Francisco** confirms that they can.

Nandana asks if there is a written report like the one for the South Asian taskforce that they can refer to. **Francisco** explains that there is, and he will add it to the bill as an amendment.

Jacob F. asks if they can make amendments to the proposals.

Francisco motions to add “Whereas the ASUW constitutional reform task force passed its final report and filed it with the board of directors,” as the last whereas clause.

Ellis seconds.

5.0.3. Motion passes. Amendment is adopted.

Francisco asks for questions.

Nandana asks **Francisco** if they could get clarification for the question **Jacob F.** asked. **Francisco** explains that amendments can be made to the proposal but it should be done with caution.

Jacob F. explains that amendments should be made sparingly because the task force conducted their research during the past quarter and the board was given the opportunity to voice their opinions. He also asks the board to keep in mind that they should not make huge changes as they are the final approving body.

Naomi clarifies that **Jacob F.** is saying that they should not make big changes because they could have gone to the task force meetings. **Jacob F.** explains that was what was recommended and that the task force itself was used to make the proposal constitution. He acknowledges that changes and amendments can be made but advises doing that sparingly and asks **Francisco** to confirm if that is what is being advised.

Francisco confirms and emphasizes the importance of respecting the proposals from the Senate, particularly regarding the legislative article, as it originated from the Senate itself.

Naomi expresses concern about the rushed nature of the process, noting that during the Senate meeting, there was a sense of urgency despite senators expressing a desire for more time to review the proposal. She points out that there were similar votes to approve and abstains at the meeting. She feels that the timeline for review is limited, with only the next week available for a thorough examination. She explains that she understands she didn't go to the taskforce meetings but mentions that she was not included as a member of the task force.

Jacob F. asks **Andal** if she would like to provide context to the yays and nays at the Senate meeting.

Andal says that the Senate vote on it was 31 – 30 – 26. She explains that many new senators did abstain as they did not have context. She notes that the feedback on the proposed Constitution primarily stemmed from board members but also included input from senators, particularly regarding specific changes made in Senate steering and the judicial committee. She explained that the Senate special committee, established by a previous Senate order, had made changes to the initial proposal constitution from the task force, especially focusing on Article Nine, which involved the Senate membership structure. She highlighted that

certain changes proposed by the task force, such as adding an elected board, were reversed, as they believed such significant alterations required more discussion and could be addressed through Senate organic acts instead. She also mentioned the addition of protected seats into the Constitution to align with Senate bylaws and clarified the process for board bills introduced to Senate steering before Senate consideration. This process aimed to ensure that any board bill requiring additional student input had the opportunity to pass through the Senate. Lastly, she noted that specific guidelines for introducing board bills to the Senate would be established within Senate bylaws.

Jacob F. suggests considering the removal of protected seats from the Constitution and relocating them to the bylaws. He explained that as the university evolves and new services or programs emerge, the need for additional seats may change frequently. By housing this provision in the bylaws, it could streamline the process of adjusting the number of seats without requiring a constitutional amendment each time. He noted that half of the seats had recently been added to the Constitution proposal, prompting his suggestion for consideration.

Francisco clarifies that the proposal doesn't entail removing any protected seats but rather suggests keeping them in the Constitution while allowing for additional seats to be specified in the bylaws. He explains that this approach ensures that protected seats remain in the Constitution while enabling flexibility for future additions through the bylaws. He proposed an amendment for the following week to outline this arrangement, suggesting that protected seats be mentioned in the Constitution and referred to the bylaws for specifics.

Nandana expressed concern regarding the high number of abstentions in the Senate, suggesting that attributing them solely to new senators might not be accurate. She emphasized the importance of the board's role in providing input, implying that being cautious with amendments might not be the best approach considering the significance of the board's involvement. She explains that not all members have the opportunity to attend the task force but they are all required to be at board.

Francisco emphasizes the importance of thoroughly reviewing the proposed constitution and asking questions about whether its provisions truly enhance the democratic voice of the student body. He explains the goal of the task force, as approved by the board, to alleviate the centralization of power in the board of directors and distribute it across other areas of the organization. He points out specific measures taken to achieve this goal, such as the creation of

the civil service and the empowerment of the student senate, with the overall aim of enhancing student representation on campus.

Andal yields time to **Ishaan**. He clarifies that according to parliamentary procedure, inferences cannot be made from whether an abstention is for or against a motion and doesn't believe an inference can be drawn about whether the new senators were for or against a motion if they abstained. He explains that if they were against it, they would have voted against it. He explains that the Senate comprises both protected and designated seats. He distinguishes between them by stating that protected seats are safeguarded by the Constitution, whereas designated seats are established by the bylaws and other organic acts (OAs). He emphasizes that OAs cannot inherently establish a protected seat, citing two instances where this occurred, which he considers to have set a bad precedent. Instead, he notes that protected seats must be created through either a Senate order or a Senate bill and formalized via a constitutional amendment and a ballot measure. Consequently, the protected seats that were previously added via OAs are now included in this process to ensure they undergo a ballot measure for official incorporation. He clarifies that, for the time being, these seats would resemble designated seats rather than genuine protected seats, as protected seats are not subject to additional rules imposed by the Senate. He highlights that the membership of protected seats is safeguarded by the Constitution, not the Senate bylaws.

Jacob F. emphasizes the importance of not assuming the reasons behind abstentions, clarifying that abstaining simply indicates that an individual has neither voted yes nor no and should not be inferred as discomfort with voting. Regarding the process of adding protected seats, he suggests maintaining their mention in the Constitution but referencing the more easily amendable bylaws for their inclusion. He explains that this approach would streamline the process of adding new seats as needed in the future.

Leah motions to table one week.

Naomi seconds.

8.o.o. Motion passed. Bill is tabled for a period of one week.

Ellis motions to suspend the rules to change the timestamp of the executive session to start at 6:45 pm.

Francisco seconds.

Vote is unanimous. Motion passed. Executive Session moved to 6:45 pm.

Amanda calls for point of information and asks if the executive session has an allotted time and mentions that also needs to be announced to the public.

Jacob F. says that they will announce it when they get to the executive session.

- [BOARD BILL 3.27 - An Act to Grant Funding to MESH](#)

Melody gives general update. This bill will grant funding to MESH.

Naomi motions to approve.

Nandana seconds.

Ellis objects. She mentions to Melody that they tabled this for one week because they didn't have confirmation that they could fund them.

Naomi rescinds her motion.

No objections. Vote is unanimous. Motion is rescinded.

Melody motions to table Board Bill 3.27 for one week.

Naomi seconds.

Vote is unanimous. Motion passes. Bill is tabled for one week.

- [BOARD BILL 3.28 - An Act to Allocate Funding to Special Appropriation Fund](#)

Melody reads the bill. This bill aims to allocate funding to the Special Appropriation Fund.

Ellis motions to approve.

Nandana seconds.

6.0.2. Motion passes. Bill is adopted.

- [Board Bill 3.29 - An act to allocate JCC funding to QSC, GEC, and OID for Queer Prom](#)

Leah reads the bill. This bill aims to allocated JCC funding to QSC, GEC, and OID, for Queer Prom.

Francisco motions to approve.

Nandana seconds.

Vote is unanimous. Motion passes. Bill is adopted.

Jacob F. motions to suspend the rules to remove the executive session from the agenda.

Francisco seconds.

Nandana objects. She asks why it was on there in the first place.

Jacob F. explains that its Per RCW [42.30.110 Section G.](#)

2.0.5. Motion passes. Executive Session is removed.

- [BOARD BILL 4.10 - An Act to Establish a Task Force for Creating National Pan-Hellenic Council Unity Plots](#)

Francisco asks **Jacob F.** to recuse the chair to the Vice President, **Ellis Andrews.**

Jacob F. recuses himself as chair for the meeting. **Ellis** is Chair Pro Tempore.

Jacob F. reads bill. This bill aims to establish a task force for creating National Pan-Hellenic Council Unity Plots.

Chair Tempore Ellis reads Article 2 from the Rules of the Board of Directors, Section 2 Sub Section E, “if a member of the Board of Directors has a personal or financial conflict of interest relating to an agenda item being considered by the Board of Directors, they shall disclose that conflict of interest and abstain on all votes pertaining to said agenda item. They may still participate in discussion about set agenda item after they have disclosed their conflict of interest.” She asks if anyone else has any conflicts of interest to disclose.

Jacob F. announces that himself and **Leah** as non-voting membership as they are both members of fraternities that are part of the NPHC and mentions that they are not part of

Board of the NPHC. He recuses himself from this bill except for non – voting membership.

Leah recuses herself.

Francisco suggests someone from the board to chair the taskforce meetings.

Anastacia volunteers to chair the meeting.

Francisco motions to amend that the ASUW Director of Community Relations, Anastacia Mikaele to act as chair.

Melody seconds.

5.0.2. Motion passes. Amendment is adopted.

Jacob F. yields time to **Emanuel.** He introduces himself as the president of NPHC. He explains that he would like the board to consider the possibility of allowing him to serve as co-chair or having an NPHC member serve as cochair should this continue past his tenure.

Francisco motions to add NPHC president or proxy to be cochair.

Naomi seconds.

6.0.2. Motion passes. Amendment is adopted.

Andal inquires whether this is an initiative by BSC (Board of Student Conduct) or if it has been discussed within ASUW prior.

Jacob F. explains that the proposal has been discussed among various departments and administrators, originating from BSC in 2021. He explains that while it's not the official proposal, it aligns with some of their Unity plot ideas. There have been multiple variations of the plan over the years, with the latest one coming from BSC.

Yazmine yields time to **Emanuel**. He provides additional context, stating that the NPHC plots were initially proposed in 2021. The plots installed above the commission director offices in ASUW were intended as a temporary measure to kickstart the collective process. They served as a baseline for further development, with the goal of establishing an endowment to realize the initial proposal.

Andal suggests citing specific initiatives by BSC in whereas clauses to provide context for the discussions in ASUW previously. She explains that this would lend weight to the proposal and clarify that it has been part of official discussions rather than just a statement of student opinion which can only be made through the Senate.

Jacob explains that he intentionally avoided emphasizing ASUW's role in the initiative because it was not solely their undertaking, and they were never the kickstarter behind this. He clarifies that the initiative involved individuals from NPHC and the Divine Nine. He asks whether adding a whereas clause stating that the initiative was originally attempted in 2021 to provide context would help.

Andal expresses that they don't intend for ASUW to claim credit for the idea but rather seek justification for the initiative being addressed by an ASUW task force rather than just a recommendation for UW to pursue independently.

Chair Tempore Ellis questions why the task force is being conducted through an ASUW mechanism if the intention is not to make it ASUW centric. She suggests that the project seems more aligned with the responsibilities of BSC and NPHC and expresses concern about inadvertently co-opting it under ASUW. She wonders if a working group might offer more flexibility, considering that all task forces of ASUW are now required to have full meeting minutes taken, which could present additional barriers.

Jacob F. explains that his original idea was for NPHC and ASUW to collaborate on the task. He didn't want to place too much emphasis on ASUW's involvement historically, as the initiative was primarily tasked to NPHC and coincided with a member of BSC.

Jacob F. motions to add whereas clause which states “this project was initially tasked and headed by the ASUW BSC director in coordination with the NPHC in 2021.”

Naomi seconds.

Leah objects. She suggests placing the discussion about the initiative's history closer to the top of the document for better flow.

Jacob takes it as a grammatical change.

6.0.2. Motion is passed. Amendment is adopted.

Chair Tempore Ellis asks for comments, questions, concerns and motions.

Naomi motions to approve.

Nandana seconds.

6.0.2. Motion passes. Bill is adopted.

Chair Tempore Ellis recuses herself and gives chair back to President, **Jacob Feleke**.

Old Business

Funding Updates

Discussion Items

- [24.02.29 - Constitutional Reform Taskforce Report](#) - Francisco

Francisco reads the report brief.

Jacob asks everyone to read the report and be ready to chat about it next week.

~~Executive Session (Per RCW [42.30.110 Section G](#)) 6:45 pm.~~

(g) To evaluate the qualifications of an applicant for public employment or to review the performance of a public employee. However, subject to RCW [42.30.140\(4\)](#), discussion by a governing body of salaries, wages, and other conditions of employment to be generally applied within the agency shall occur in a meeting open to the public, and when a governing body elects to take final action hiring, setting the salary of an individual employee or class of employees, or discharging or disciplining an employee, that action shall be taken in a meeting open to the public;

Announcements

- [24.02.22 - Reports, Board of Directors.docx](#)

Ellis provides updates on several projects. First, she mentions cleaning and redecorating the front desk, removing clutter and organizing the space. She requests assistance in maintaining its cleanliness. Additionally, she has been working on tabling materials, using Pro Canva for design, with examples available for reference. She and **Thomas** are also working on codifying transition mechanisms into governing documents, with a transition handbook already drafted. She plans to present a bill next week to fund student access to the New York Times, aligning with voter engagement efforts. She has also reached out to stakeholders like The Daily and received positive responses. She aims to collaborate with the EAC to integrate the New York Times service with voter engagement initiatives. She shares personal achievements, including opening

a Roth IRA and completing their first 5K run. She encourages others to sign up for tabling in the spring quarter.

Jacob F. provides updates and plans for upcoming activities. He has organized a meeting with **Paul Seegert** and **Phillip Reid**, involving select JCC members. Additionally, he mentions advocacy and lobbying efforts on bills in Olympia and announcing a new Board Bill. He says that Bill 1889 just became law, and that **MJ** is very excited about that. Beyond this, he outlines some other projects his office is planning, including an examination of Federal lobbying and discussions about free exam materials legislation with **Denzil Suite** and Bill Sponsor **Erick Jacobsen**. On a personal note, he shares that his cat might be pregnant and that he is taking her to get an ultrasound to confirm whether she is or not.

Thomas explains that he has been really upset over the past few weeks and that it has taken a toll on him. He highlights that personnel complaints have been overwhelming, requesting some understanding and time to respond. He mentions that the hiring campaign is ongoing and that personnel change requests, including those from the SASC task force recommendation, have been completed. He asks people to trust the way he does his job as he has been getting comments about hirings. He explained that he will only open jobs up again if they do not receive at least 2 candidates or if the hiring committee believes that they have no qualified candidates and asks everyone to respect his decisions. Looking ahead, he reveals plans for more Disability Allyship and Accessibility Workshops and mentions a project to revamp the compensation system using a point method. On a personal level, he shares some updates from his life. He encourages others to take his MGMT 412 survey and mentions that his Capstone competition is imminent, asking for good luck wishes. Additionally, he reveals his current TV watching habits, inviting others to discuss shows like The Bachelor and Love is Blind. Lastly, he expresses excitement about his new headshots.

Melody provides updates and plans for upcoming activities. She mentions that the Budget FY 25 project is currently underway. Looking ahead, she states that she is exploring ways to spend down their general fund reserve. On a personal note, she shares that she recently acquired a Nintendo Switch and asks for game recommendations. She suggests that respecting everyone's position and recognizing their lives as students is essential. She also emphasizes the importance of early communication.

Yazmine provides updates and plans for upcoming activities within their office. They mention several ongoing projects, including the Elections & Jobs Campaign with Personnel and the EAC, as well as the development of pamphlets for ASUW funding and elections voting. Their team is working on creating a new records page and certifying the ASUW domain. They've also hired a Multimedia Curator, who will serve as the official photographer and videographer for ASUW. Their office is planning a redesign of the ASUW website. They share an update about how they ripped off all their fake nails last week and now they are painted red. They suggest increasing communication among board members and encouraging more openness to asking for help, collaborations, and questions.

Francisco explains that he is actively documenting ASUW history, updating the Bylaws, and finalizing Constitutional Reform efforts with a final task force vote scheduled. Looking ahead, he plans to work on Internal Policy, possibly create an ASUW Yearbook, and establish an ASUW Wiki and Judicial website. On a personal note, he visited his parents, got a haircut from his dad, and is preparing for final papers. He suggests better outreach efforts, including the president's emails, tabling activities, and planned town hall meetings, to engage with the student body more effectively.

Leah shares updates about the Board Bill 4.06 Task Force which is nearing completion, with activities including collecting testimonies, preparing final reports, and planning presentations for various stakeholders. She announces several commissions and groups are organizing events and initiatives, such as the OISA Sticker Contest, Pacific Art Workshop and Queer Prom. She plans to organize an inter-commission collaboration event and hold a Diversity Council meeting in March. She shares exciting updates about her involvement in modeling for the UW lululemon collection, applying for the Husky 100, applying to graduate, and celebrating the first anniversary of the UW East African Student Association. She suggests organizing a team bonding event and implementing Quarterly Town Halls to engage with students, gather opinions, input, and feedback effectively.

Nandana provides updates about The ASUW University Agenda which is awaiting approval from the senate, and that efforts are underway to restructure registration timelines and make progress on capacity-constrained majors. Discussions with university leaders regarding student representation on various committees are ongoing, and plans for the ASUW BOD Town Hall are in motion. She aims to establish stronger ties between ASUW and UWINCO, explore ways to enhance accessibility on campus, and organize another town hall in the spring quarter. She

shares her busy schedule due to competition season, highlighting recent achievements in dance competitions and academic pursuits, including switching her major and applying for an informatics minor. She supports the idea of quarterly all-staff meetings to foster better relationships and encourages a culture of professionalism, positivity, and collaboration within ASUW. She emphasizes the importance of prioritizing student needs and setting aside personal biases.

Naomi provides updates on projects she's been working on which include RSO outreach efforts, organizing an ASUW Town Hall, and expanding ASUW resources through special appropriations. In the near future, she plans to host an RSO Leadership Conference in the spring quarter, continue RSO outreach, and work on making UW resources more transparent and accessible to RSOs. She mentions that she has been relaxing. She encourages fellow board members to reach out if they need assistance or want to collaborate on an event. She echoes **Nandana's** sentiment about prioritizing communication and emphasizes the importance of collaboration to advocate for student interests effectively.

Anastacia shares updates on projects which include efforts to launch UTSAC for the spring quarter, collaborating with **Josh Lougee** on a Kraken Experience on campus, and coordinating with **Bianca** regarding a homecoming scholarship by seeking representation from each liaison area. She plans to work on projects involving Football and Academia relations, as well as supporting the OFSL with a Unity Day, especially with the upcoming philanthropic Greek Week. She mentions visiting home to meet her mom's new horse, getting a new tattoo despite the challenging healing process, learning dances for Poly Day, and serving as the MC for ASD again this year. She suggests brainstorming ideas to encourage student interaction outdoors as the weather improves.

Amanda announces that that events for the current quarter are completed, and that planning for events in the next quarter is underway. These events include the Cultural Showcase, Research Symposium, Film Festival, Diversity Summit Resource Fair, Drag Show, and CIRCLE end-of-the-year celebration. She shares that she is taking a ceramics class and plans to create a cherry blossom underglaze series.

Andal announces progress on the Senate constitutional reform, updating the Senate website, and ongoing legislative work. She plans to organize a forum with **Denzil Suite** on April 2, an EAC Candidate Forum on April 9, and potentially create an All-Senate Teams page. She also aims to conduct more workshops and one-on-one sessions with senators and liaisons. She shares that they have been accepted into a research lab for Nano Optoelectronics in the ECE department. She suggests having more Board forums in the Senate, although she mentions that these would need to be kept brief due to the current workload and that she would like to see a JCC forum sometime during spring quarter. She echoes off others and mentions the importance of communication.

Yazmine mentions all teams Senate page and that Brendan has worked with UWIT. There is now an official ASUW Teams page, but it will not be set up until Spring quarter.

Maya announces that she has been working on the SASC taskforce with **Leah** and **Khushi** and the minutes. She says she has been busy with school.

- OGR Updates - Nandana

Adjournment

Naomi motions to adjourn.

Leah seconds.

7.1.1. Motion passes. Meeting is adjourned at 7:46 pm.